Quantitative trait loci with additive effects on growth and carcass traits in a Wagyu–Limousin F2 population
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Summary

A whole-genome scan for carcass traits [average daily gain during the pre-weaning, growth and finishing periods; birth weight; hot carcass weight and longissimus muscle area (LMA)] was performed on 328 F2 progeny produced from Wagyu × Limousin-cross parents derived from eight founder Wagyu bulls. Nine significant (P ≤ 0.05) and four suggestive (P ≤ 0.1) QTL affecting seven growth and carcass traits were identified. Significant QTL were located on bovine chromosomes 2, 4, 7, 9, 12, 16, 17 and 29. A QTL previously reported on chromosome 2 for LMA was also detected in this study. These results provide insight into genetic differences between the Wagyu and Limousin breeds.
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Many QTL have been identified in bovine populations (http://bovineqtlv2.tamu.edu/index.html; http://www.animalgenome.org/QTLdb). Experimental comparisons of Limousin and Wagyu germplasm indicate decisive breed differences with respect to carcass attributes (Kuber et al. 2004; Pitchford et al. 2006). These differences make Limousin and Wagyu candidate breeds from which to develop populations for mapping QTL for carcass traits. Here, we report the results of a genome scan for carcass traits using a Wagyu × Limousin F2 population.

Eight Wagyu bulls were mated to 108 Limousin females to produce 121 females over a 3-year period. Three of the eight Wagyu bulls also sired six F1 males. The Wagyu–Limousin F1 bulls and females used in this study were purchased from Washington State University and moved to Miles City, MT in October 1999. The F1 males and females were inter se mated, except that matings of known relatives were avoided. These matings produced 328 F2 progeny between 2000 and 2003.

The F2 calves were weighed at birth (BWT), reared by their dams and weighed again at weaning at an average age of 175 days (SD: 14 days). Pre-weaning growth rate (ADGp) was the difference between weights at weaning and birth divided by the animal’s age at weaning. Age-adjusted weaning weight (180-day weight) was calculated as BWT plus ADGp multiplied by 180. After weaning, the calves were managed in a two-phase system: a growing phase with a ration composition of 50–54% DM, 14.4–15.6% CP and 1.06–1.18 Mcal/kg NEg and a finishing phase with a ration composition of 68–70% DM, 11.6–13.4% CP and 1.26–1.31 Mcal/kg NEg. All calves were fed the growing ration for approximately 207 days from weaning until they were switched to the finishing ration. The finishing ration was fed a minimum of 113 days until the calves were harvested. Post-weaning ADG was computed within the growing and finishing phases (ADGg and ADGf respectively) by regression of weight (measured at 28-day intervals) on age. Within year and sex, calves were randomly assigned to groups of 8–11 animals, to be harvested at 2- to 3-week intervals. Thus, the final group harvested each year had been fed the finishing ration at least 210 days. At 450–641 days of age (average 561 days), calves were transported to the abattoir the afternoon prior to harvest and held overnight with water but no feed. Hot carcass weight (HCW) was measured the day of harvest and LMA was assessed after 48 h of storage at 2°C using a planer grid at the interface of the 12th and 13th ribs.

Initially, 156 markers covering the 29 bovine autosomes were chosen from http://www.marc.usda.gov/genome/cattle/cattle.html based on marker position, suitability for multiplex reactions, ease of scoring and number of alleles (Table S1). Genotypes on all animals in the population were collected on a LiCor 4200 DNA Analysis System and independently scored by two individuals. Markers with discrepancies in scoring and genotypic errors detected using GENOPROB (Thallman et al. 2001a,b) that could not be
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resolved between the two scorers were re-amplified. A linkage map of each chromosome was constructed using CRIMAP (Green et al. 1990; http://compgen.rutgers.edu/multimap/crimap/). Average marker spacing was 17.1 cM, and the information content for detection of additive effects averaged 0.3. Loci shown to harbour QTL in preliminary analyses were fine-mapped by adding 44 markers across eight regions of interest (Table S1). After adding these markers, the inter-marker interval in the regions of interest was reduced to 6.8 cM.

QTL were identified by least-squares regression analysis using the F_2 analysis option of QTL EXPRESS (Seaton et al. 2002; http://qtl.cap.ed.ac.uk/). A profile of F-statistics was generated at 1-cM intervals for each chromosome. For BWT, ADG_p and 180-day weight, a single additive QTL was modelled with simultaneous adjustment for a contemporary group effect (year–sex–age of dam combinations). For post-weaning growth and carcass traits, the effect of a single additive QTL was modelled with simultaneous adjustment for classification effects of year and sex, and the continuous linear effect of age at harvest. For QTL that had not been previously reported, the observed significant level was adjusted to a genome-wide basis following Cheverud (2001). The observed nominal significance level was used to confirm QTL previously identified in other studies. Dominance QTL effects were not detected.

Seven new QTL involved in growth and carcass traits were found in our study. Chromosomal landmarks, flanking markers, F-statistics, 95% confidence intervals, significance levels and effect sizes are shown in Table 1. QTL influencing fatty acid metabolism, fat deposition and palatability are reported elsewhere (L.J. Alexander et al., unpublished data). Significant QTL (genome-wide threshold \( P \leq 0.05 \)) were found for LMA (BTA2, \( F = 45.39 \)), BWT (BTA12, \( F = 11.92 \); BTA29, \( F = 11.1 \)), ADG_q (BTA4, \( F = 10.51 \)), ADG_p (BTA9, \( F =16.3 \); BTA17, \( F = 11.63 \)) and HCW (BTA7, \( F = 9.73 \); BTA16, \( F = 10.09 \); BTA29, \( F = 13.8 \)). Positive additive effects in Table 1 indicate that the substitution of a Limousin allele for a Wagyu allele increased the phenotype. Conversely, negative additive effects in Table 1 indicate that the substitution of a Limousin allele for a Wagyu allele increased the phenotype.

The QTL on BTA2 affecting LMA (Fig. 1) has been described previously by Casas et al. (1998) and is near the myostatin locus (GDF8), which has been previously

### Table 1 Location of QTL affecting growth and carcass traits in a Wagyu \(^{2}\) Limousin cattle population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BTA Trait</th>
<th>Position (cM)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>F-statistic</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Additive effect ± SE</th>
<th>Flanking markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 180-day weight</td>
<td>8 69</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5.15 ± 1.78</td>
<td>BMS410, 0; DIK2916, 7.9; BM6108, 20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 180-day weight</td>
<td>79 25</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>−8.47 ± 2.82</td>
<td>CSSM028, 56.5; BM719, 79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ADG_f</td>
<td>60 15</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>6.94E-05</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.019</td>
<td>ILSTS013, 53.8; BMS1724, 78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 ADG_f</td>
<td>30 26</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>−0.059 ± 0.017</td>
<td>BMS1825, 0.9; BMS1101, 24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 ADG_g</td>
<td>93 29</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.044 ± 0.013</td>
<td>IDVGA-51, 81.4; CA088, 93.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 ADG_g</td>
<td>2 60</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.011</td>
<td>BM7160, 16.3; BL1067, 18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ADG_p</td>
<td>8 73</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.010</td>
<td>BMS410, 0; DIK2916, 7.9; BM6108, 20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 ADG_p</td>
<td>79 24</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>−0.046 ± 0.015</td>
<td>CSSM028, 56.5; BM719, 79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 BWT</td>
<td>125 24</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>−2.08 ± 0.60</td>
<td>BMS1316, 111; BMS2724, 125.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 BWT</td>
<td>11 49</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.47</td>
<td>BMS1857, 3.8; ILSTS057, 10.6; DIK5269, 12.2; BMS764, 15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 HCW</td>
<td>0 39</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>9.48 ± 3.04</td>
<td>BM7160, 0; DIK2870, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 HCW</td>
<td>65 21</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>−14.10 ± 4.51</td>
<td>CSSM028, 56.5; BM719, 79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 HCW</td>
<td>1 33</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>11.62 ± 3.13</td>
<td>TGLA486, 0; BMS1857, 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 LMA</td>
<td>12 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>45.39</td>
<td>8.55E-11</td>
<td>1.91E-09</td>
<td>−6.19 ± 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 LMA</td>
<td>11 57</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.92</td>
<td>BMS410, 0; DIK2916, 7.9; BM6108, 20.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1ADG_p, average daily gain finishing; ADG_g, ADG growth; ADG_f, ADG pre-weaning; BWT, birth weight; HCW, hot carcass weight; LMA, longissimus muscle area.

2CI, confidence interval calculated by the method of Darvasi & Soller (1997).

3Units are kg except for LMA (cm²). Effect resulting from a Wagyu allele replacing a Limousin allele.

4Flanking marker, position (cM). In cases where the flanking marker is 1 cM or less from the QTL peak, the next flanking marker is shown.

5Approaching suggestive value.
genetic differences between the Wagyu and Limousin breeds. These results also contribute to a broader understanding of the genetic architecture of associated phenotypes.
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