Arsenic(III) Oxidation and Arsenic(V) Adsorption Reactions on Synthetic Birnessite
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The oxidation of arsenite (As(III)) by manganese oxide is an important reaction in both the natural cycling of As and the development of remediation technology for lowering the concentration of dissolved As(III) in drinking water. This study used both a conventional stirred reaction apparatus and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy to investigate the reactions of As(III) and As(V) with synthetic birnessite (MnO2). Stirred reactor experiments indicate that As(III) is oxidized by MnO2 followed by the adsorption of the As(V) reaction product on the MnO2 solid phase. The As(V)–Mn interatomic distance determined by EXAFS analysis for both As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 was 3.22 Å, giving evidence for the formation of As(V) adsorption complexes on MnO2 crystallite surfaces. The most likely As(V)–MnO2 complex is a bidentate binuclear corner sharing (bridged) complex occurring at MnO2 crystal edges and interlayer domains. In the As(III)-treated MnO2 systems, reductive dissolution of the MnO2 solid during the oxidation of As(III) caused an increase in the adsorption of As(V) when compared with As(V)-treated MnO2. This suggested that As(III) oxidation caused a surface alteration, creating fresh reaction sites for As(V) on MnO2 surfaces.

Introduction

The environmental and human health impacts of elevated concentrations of As in groundwater have received increased attention because of its toxicity (1–3). The predominant forms of As in soil and water are as inorganic arsenate (As(V)) and arsenite (As(III)) (4–7). Under oxidizing and aerobic conditions, the As(V) species predominates and exists as oxyanions of arsenic acid (H2AsO4− and HAsO42−) (8). The As(III) species exists as undissociated arsenious acid (H3AsO3) below pH 9.2 (9) and predominates under reducing conditions in sediments, groundwater, and soils (8–11). The As(III) species is substantially more toxic than As(V) (12, 13) and tends to be more weakly bound to soils than As(V). This latter phenomenon is probably due to a combination of factors, including concomitant reductive dissolution of Fe oxides which adsorb both As(III) and As(V) and the tendency for As(III) to adsorb more weakly to most aluminum oxide and aluminosilicate soil minerals than As(V) (14, 15).

An important reaction in the environmental fate of As(III) is heterogeneous oxidation on soil mineral surfaces (16–21). Manganese oxides are extremely important minerals because they readily oxidize many reduced species such as As(III) (18–24), Co(II) (25, 26), Cr(III) (27), and organic molecules (28, 29). The crystalline structures of Mn oxides are of environmental interest because of the adsorptive and oxidative capabilities of these minerals. Synthetic birnessite has been extensively investigated because it is representative of many naturally occurring manganese oxide materials (18–21, 25, 30). The Na- and K-substituted birnessite are phyllosilicates, possessing layered sheet structures with edge-sharing Mn octahedra (25, 30). These materials have been described as nearly vacancy-free layers of Mn octahedra influenced by Jahn–Teller distortion when Mn(III) substitutes for Mn(IV). An ordered distribution of Mn(III)-rich rows, interlayer counterions (Na+ or K+), and octahedral vacancies completes the crystal structure (25, 30). The average chemical formula for sodium birnessite has been given as Na0.333(Mn0.722+0.055+Zn0.188+)O2 (30), indicating a partial negative charge per unit cell. Moore et al. (18) showed that the O/Mn ratio for most synthetic birnessites is near 2. For simplicity, the birnessite chemical formula will be simplified to MnO2 in this paper.

Details about the chemical mechanism of As(III) heterogeneous oxidation by birnessite are emerging (18–21). Oxidation of As(III) by synthetic birnessite is coupled with the reductive dissolution of the MnO2 surface and results in the release of Mn(II) to solution at low pH (20, 22). The net stoichiometry of the reaction is

$$\text{MnO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{AsO}_3 + 2\text{H}^+ = \text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Recent work by Nesbitt et al. (19) using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) has shown that the oxidation of As(III) by the synthetic 7 Å birnessite surface proceeds by a two-step pathway, involving the reduction of Mn(IV) to Mn(II) and MnOOH* is a Mn(III) intermediate reaction product. The reaction in eq 2 is followed by the reaction of As(III) with MnOOH*.

$$2\text{MnOOH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{AsO}_3 + 4\text{H}^+ = 2\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

An additional reaction could include the adsorption of As(V) by the MnO2 surface

$$2\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4 = (\text{MnO}_2)_2\text{AsOOH} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

where Mn–OH represents a reactive hydroxyl group on the MnO2 surface and (MnO2)2AsOOH represents the As(V) surface complex. Though considerable effort has yielded mechanistic details about the reactions of As(III) with MnO2 compounds, very little information is available about the formation of As(V) complexes after the oxidation of As(III).

To provide new insight into the reaction of As(III) with a representative Mn oxide, the objectives of this study were (1) to investigate the reactivity of As(III) with a synthetic MnO2 compound, using a stirred reactor combined with an
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advanced technique for dissolved As(III)/As(V) speciation, and use to EXAFS spectroscopy to examine the coordination environment of the surface complexes formed when As(III) and As(V) are reacted with MnO₂. This investigation was designed to link the findings of previous work (18–21) with new information derived from EXAFS spectroscopy.

**Materials and Methods**

**Synthesis of MnO₂.** Potassium birnessite, a 7 Å manganese, was synthesized following the procedures of McKenzie (26). Heated 0.4 M KMnO₄ was prepared in a 1 L glass separatory funnel with an outlet flow preset and clamped to deliver 950 mL/min. Another 250 mL separatory funnel containing heated (60 °C) concentrated HCl (Baker, Intrapure) with an outlet flow preset and clamped to deliver 66 mL/min was used. Mixing of the 0.4 M KMnO₄ with concentrated HCl was initiated by simultaneously unclamping the separatory funnels and collecting the resulting mixture in a 2.5 L glass beaker on a stirring hot plate heated at 90 °C in a fume hood. After stirring for an additional 10 min at 90 °C, the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature (23 °C), transferred to 250 mL polycarbonate centrifuge bottles, and repeatedly rinsed with deionized (DI) water. After 10 rinse cycles the potassium concentration in the rinseate was analyzed by acetylene flame atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) and was below 0.005 mM K. The MnO₂ solids were air-dried and gently crushed and sieved to pass a 100 µm sieve.

The synthetic MnO₂ was characterized by several methods, including the determination of the K content of the solid MnO₂, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, and specific surface area. The K content was determined by dissolving 0.100 g of MnO₂ in 20 mL of 2.2 M H₂O₂/0.5 M HCl followed by flame AAS analysis. The specific surface area was determined by single-point Brunauer—Emmett—Teller (BET) N₂ adsorption using a Quantisorb Jr. flow—through surface area analyzer (Quantachrome Corp.). The crystallinity of the material was analyzed by powder X—ray diffraction analysis using Cu Kα radiation.

**Reaction of As(III) with Synthetic MnO₂.** The reaction of As(III) with MnO₂ was investigated using a rotating propeller—stirred reactor in a temperature controlled bath (22 °C). A 1 L glass beaker containing either 40 or 100 mg of synthetic MnO₂ and 400 mL of 0.10 M NaCl (100 or 250 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂) was stirred and equilibrated for 1 h without gas atmospheric control. The pH of the suspensions was measured with a Corning semi—micro glass combination electrode and a Corning/lanalyzer 150. For all experiments, the suspension pH was maintained at 6.50, which was near the ambient pH of the suspensions. A stock solution containing 13.33 mM As(III) was prepared by dissolving 0.867 g of NaAsO₂ (Sigma) in 500 mL of DI water.

The batch reaction was initiated by the addition of 3 mL of 13.33 mM As(III) to the suspension, making the solution 0.10 mM As(III). The reaction was monitored by filtration of 2 mL aliquots with 0.1 µm membranes and As(III)/As(V) speciation analysis by high—performance liquid chromatography atomic absorption spectrophotometry (HPLC—HGAAAS) (32). This method separates As(III) and As(V) with a Dionex AS11 Ionpak anion exchange column in—line with a continuous flow—through hydride generator (Varian VGA 76) and a PerkinElmer 300B AA spectrometer monitoring the 193.7 nm wavelength. At the end of the stirred reaction experiments (t = 48 h), soluble Mn was measured by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP—ES) at 257.610 nm.**

**EXAFS and Molecular Modeling Analysis.** Samples of synthetic MnO₂ treated with As(III) were prepared for EXAFS analysis by reacting 1.00 g of synthetic MnO₂ with 1.0 L of 1.0 mM As(III) in 0.10 M NaCl in a stirred 2 L glass beaker for 24 h at pH 6.50. An identical preparation was made using As(V). After settling, the As(III)/As(V) speciation and the overlying solution was analyzed by HPLC—HGAAAS, and the solids were collected and rinsed with DI water on ashless filter paper. The As(III)— and As(V)—treated samples were stored as wet pastes on the filter paper for 3 days prior to EXAFS analysis in sealed polycarbonate test tubes. Oxidation of As(III) was not a concern because this reaction had gone to completion in the initial sample preparation. Solutions of 1.0 mM As(III) and 1.0 mM As(V) were also analyzed to examine the X—ray absorption edge features of soluble As(III) and As(V) and background contributions to EXAFS spectra. EXAFS and XANES spectra were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) on beamline 4—3 using a Si(220) monochromator and a 13 element germanium semiconductor detector (33). The K edge of As (11 867 eV) was examined using an energy range of 11 667—12 867 eV, and at least 5 individual scans were collected for each sample. The XANES region of the X—ray absorption spectrum (11 860—11 900 eV) was analyzed by normalizing the fluorescence data to the edge—jump height and calculating the first derivative of the spectra. The EXAFS data were analyzed using EXAFSPAK software (34). Individual scans were averaged, and the background X—ray absorbance was removed by fitting a linear polynomial equation through the pre—edge region. This was followed by fitting a spline function through the extended X—ray absorption region of the spectra (11 920—12 867 eV) to isolate the oscillations which are the result of backscattering of outgoing photoelectrons by coherent shells of atoms around the central As atom. The EXAFS spectra were normalized using a Victoreen polynomial function and transformed from eV to Å⁻¹ units to produce the EXAFS function χ(κ), where κ (Å⁻¹) is the photoelectron wave vector. The χ(κ) function was then weighted by κl and truncated leaving a k range of 3.65—15.52 Å⁻¹ for fitting. Fourier transformation (FT) of the κl—weighted (k) function χ(k) yielded the radial structure function (RSF) in R space (Å), where peaks correspond to atomic shells around the As atom (e.g., As—O and As—Mn).

The theoretical EXAFS expression was fit to experimental data using EXAFSPAK. The As—O and As—Mn RSF peaks were isolated by selecting the R window at the minimum amplitude points around peaks. The As—O and As—Mn R windows were 0.988—1.618 and 2.535—3.073 Å, respectively for both As(III)— and As(V)—treated MnO₂. Peaks in the RSF were first analyzed individually, followed by analysis of a composite R window of 0.988—3.073 Å which contained both As—O and As—Mn shells. Individual As—O and As—Mn R windows and the composite As—O + As—Mn R window were back—Fourier transformed to produce the Fourier filtered EXAFS functions. Fourier filtered experimental EXAFS data were fit with a theoretical EXAFS equation in which the coordination number (N), the interatomic distance (R), the Debye—Waller term (σ²), and the energy offset (E₀) were used as adjustable parameters to give the best fit to the Fourier filtered data. Phase and amplitude functions for the absorber and backscatterers were defined using single—scattering curved wave assumptions in the FEFF 7.0 model (35, 36). Values of N, R, σ², and E₀ which were fitted to Fourier filtered data were then used as starting values to model the raw unfiltered EXAFS data. Error estimates of the fitted parameters were R ± 0.02 Å, N ± 20%, and σ² ± 20—30%.

Molecular modeling calculations were performed on representative Mn—As—O clusters using PC Spartan Pro and perturbed Becke—Perdew density functional calculations with full numerical polarization. In most cases, the results were similar to PM3 semiempirical and 6—31G* Hartree—Fock methods. The clusters used to simulate the As(V) surface complex on MnO₂ involved the construction of a Mn(OH)₆ octahedron (or two for a binuclear complex) with 1, 2, or 3 of the hydroxyls replaced with an HAsO₄²⁻ group. Complete
protonation of the complex was used to minimize the net charge on the complex. The oxidation state of Mn was adjusted in the calculation between Mn(II), Mn(III), and Mn(IV) by changing the total number of electrons in the system. The attachment of As(V) (e.g., monodentate vs bidentate) was also specified, and the most stable atomic configuration and interatomic distances were determined.

Results and Discussion

Synthetic MnO₂. Three dominant reflections at 2.46, 3.61, and 7.21 Å were observed in the XRD pattern (Figure 1) consistent with peaks observed for synthetic birnessite by others (18, 21, 27). The synthetic MnO₂ solid had a composition of 4.9% K, 50.5% Mn, 15.0% H₂O, and 29.6% O (% w/w). This composition is similar to a previous birnessite material used by Moore et al. (18) who investigated As(III) oxidation by synthetic birnessite which contained 1.7% and 8.2% K by weight. The MnO₂ had a specific surface area of 32.0 m² g⁻¹, measured by BET N₂ analysis similar to the MnO₂ material reported previously (26).

Batch Reactor Study. The oxidation of As(III) by synthetic MnO₂ at two different solid concentrations at pH 6.50 is shown in Figure 2. Rapid uptake of As(III) by MnO₂ is apparent as well as formation of both soluble and adsorbed As(V). Dissolved As(III) was below the detection limit at 1 h in the higher (250 mg L⁻¹) MnO₂ suspension density, and approximately 30% of the original As(III) added was unrecov-erable and presumed to be adsorbed As(V) (Figure 2b). At the termination of the reaction (48 h), the As surface coverage on MnO₂ achieved was 253 and 121 mmol As kg⁻¹ (7.91 and 3.78 μmol m⁻²As) in the 100 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ and 250 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ systems, respectively. Chromatograms collected from the 100 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ reaction provided direct, simultaneous speciation of As(III) and As(V) in solution (Figure 3). The As(III) solution concentration time series data were analyzed by fitting a first-order rate equation to the 0–0.5 and 0–2 h portions of the 100 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ and 250 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ systems, respectively (Figure 4). Efforts to fit first-order rate equations to the entire time series (0–48 h) were unsuccessful. Therefore, only the initial fast As(III) uptake reaction data was used for analysis. The rate of As(III) uptake by the 100 and 250 mg L⁻¹ suspension densities was first-order de-pendent on [As(III)]; however, the slopes in Figure 4 were not proportional to suspension density. This suggested that the measured As(III) uptake reaction rate was not an elementary rate but an apparent rate which was complicated by other surface reactions such as MnO₂ surface alterations during the As(III) oxidation reaction or competition between with As(V) product for binding sites.

The dissolved Mn²⁺ concentration was 9.09 and 5.10 μM for the 100 and 250 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ systems, respectively, showing that the production of dissolved Mn²⁺ was not stoichiometrically related to As(III) oxidation in our experiments. The nonstoichiometric relationship between As(III) oxidation and release of dissolved Mn(II) is evidence for the formation of Mn(III), which remains in the MnO₂ crystal structure as the product of a one electron-transfer reaction step (eq 2) (19). Other previous work, however, has found a nearly stoichiometric association between As(III) oxidation
of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) by MnO2 at pH 3.0 and 5.0 resulted in 1.5
remains adsorbed on the negatively charged surface.

As(III) solution and As-treated MnO2 samples, including the first derivative data for As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples. The first derivative data indicate that a nearly identical structural and electronic environment exists in the As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples. The contribution of the second shell of Mn atoms from the first shell of O atoms surrounding the As atom is also shown (arrows, Figure 6) for As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 synthetic birnessite. Arrows indicate the sine wave beat-pattern contribution from the As–Mn shell.

The theoretical EXAFS functions (χ(k)k²) for As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 and the 1.0 mM As(V) solution are shown in Figure 6. The χ(k)k² EXAFS expression was fit to the experimental data, and the resulting adjustable parameters (N, R, σ², and E₀) for As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 and the 1.0 mM As(V) solution are shown in Table 1. The fitted lines (dotted, Figure 6) for As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 represent a composite sine wave with a primary contribution from the first shell of ~3.90 O atoms surrounding the As atom in tetrahedral symmetry (As–O shell) at an average distance of 1.69 Å. Smaller, but distinct, contributions from ~2.00 Mn atoms at 3.22 Å from the As atom are also components in the fits to As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples. The contribution of the second shell of Mn atoms results in a noticeable second harmonic beat (arrows, Figure 6) in the EXAFS data.

The As–O interatomic distance was 1.69 Å for both As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples, which was 0.01–0.02 Å greater than previously reported As–O interatomic distances for As(V) (37–39) but shorter than the 1.78–1.79 Å reported for As(III) (37, 40). Both As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples were best described with an As–Mn shell at 3.22 Å (Table 1). The Fourier transform of the data in Figure 6

to As(V) and release of dissolved Mn at pH 4 (20). Oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) by MnO2 at pH 3.0 and 5.0 resulted in 1.5 Mn²⁺ formed for each Cr(III) oxidized (27). At pH 6.50 in the present study, however, the MnO2 particle surface contains negatively charged surface functional groups (≡Mn–O⁻); thus, soluble Mn²⁺ formed by reductive dissolution of MnO2 remains adsorbed on the negatively charged surface.

EXAFS and XANES Analysis. Synthetic MnO2 was treated with both As(III) and As(V) for EXAFS and XANES analysis. The K-edge XANES spectra and their first derivatives for the As-treated MnO2 and a 1.0 mM As(III) solution are shown in parts a and b of Figure 5. The K-edge data exhibit an absorption edge difference of 3.9 eV (or 1.95 eV per unit oxidation state change) between the As(III) solution and the As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples (Figure 5b). Noticeable differences are evident in the first derivative data for As(III) solution and As-treated MnO2 samples, including the multiple peak pattern for As-treated MnO2 samples. The first derivative data indicate that a nearly identical structural and electronic environment exists in the As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 samples. This is direct evidence that the As(III) oxidized by MnO2 solids was adsorbed as As(V).

The theoretical EXAFS functions (χ(k)k²) for As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO2 and the 1.0 mM As(V) solution are shown

The K-edge XANES spectra and their first derivatives for the As(III)- and As(V)-treated synthetic birnessite (MnO2) at pH 6.5 and a solution of 1.0 mM As(V) (solid lines). Also shown is the theoretical fit (dashed lines) of the EXAFS function (χ(k)k²) which contains As–O and As–Mn shell contributions As-treated synthetic birnessite. Arrows indicate the sine wave beat-pattern contribution from the As–Mn shell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>shell</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>R (Å)</th>
<th>σ²</th>
<th>E₀</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As(III)–MnO2</td>
<td>As–O</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.00 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>−1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As(III)–Mn</td>
<td>As–O</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>6.02 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>−1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As(V)–MnO2</td>
<td>As–O</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>7.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>−1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As–O</td>
<td>As–O</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>4.95 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>−1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* N = coordination number, R = interatomic distance (error = ±0.02 Å), σ² = Debye–Waller term, E₀ = energy offset (threshold E₀ shift in eV, used for all shells).
resulted in a distinct second shell peak in the radial structure functions (RSF) (Figure 7). The RSF data in Figure 7 were not phase-corrected and appear shifted slightly (about −0.45 Å) from interatomic distances fit by EXAFSPAK and in Table 1. The As(V) solution RSF gives an indication of As(V) which is not bound to the MnO₂ solid phase with no As–Mn shell included in the fit. The As–Mn distance of 3.22 Å is characteristic of double-corner sharing polyhedra, consistent with surface complexes of As(V) noted for Fe (hydr)oxides (38).

To help constrain As–Mn interatomic distances and identify the most likely oxidation state of Mn to which As(V) is complexed, molecular modeling calculations were applied to hypothetical As–Mn clusters. Previous investigations have speculated that As(III)-treated birnessite may produce an Mn(II)–As(V) solid phase of the form Mn₂(AsO₄)₂ (18). Because of the increased ionic radius of the Mn(II) ion, longer As–Mn interatomic distances were calculated than were observed with EXAFS. For example, the As–Mn distance in a hypothetical Mn(II)-containing Mn₂(AsO₄)₂ cluster was 3.48 Å, which is 0.26 Å longer than that observed by EXAFS. This narrows the As(V) product to a surface complex with either Mn(IV) or Mn(III) or some combination of these polyhedra. On the basis of this information, in combination with the fact that the exact same EXAFS-derived As–Mn interatomic distance was found for both As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO₂ samples, it appears that As(V) or formed from oxidation of As(III), results in a surface complex on predominantly Mn(IV) polyhedra within birnessite. The hypothetical Mn(IV)-containing clusters, including monodentatemononuclear (Mn(OH)₃(AsO₄)²⁺) and bidentate bincular (Mn₂(µ(OH))(AsO₄)³⁻), yielded As–Mn distances which were more consistent with the EXAFS results.

On the basis of our results, a structural diagram of the most likely As(V) complex was developed (Figure 8). A birnessite crystal showing linked Mn(III) and Mn(IV) octahedra and octahedral “holes” developed according to previously determined birnessite crystal structures (25, 30) is also included (Figure 8a). The proposed bidentate As(V) tetrahedron attachment mechanism to the birnessite crystal structure is supported experimentally by the presence of the As–Mn backscattering peak from a shell of Mn atoms at 3.22 Å for both As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO₂. When As(V) is reacted with Fe hydroxides (goethite or ferrihydrite), the predominant As(V)–Fe interatomic distances measured by EXAFS are between 3.24 and 3.28 Å (38, 39), indicative of a bidentate bridged complex linking adjacent apexes of two edge-sharing Fe octahedra. Considering the slightly smaller ionic radius of Mn(IV) (0.60 Å) compared with Fe(III) (0.64 Å) (41), our results are consistent with previously proposed As–Fe interatomic distances for As(V) complex formation on Fe oxides (38, 39).

**Surface Coverage and Mn(II) Dissolution.** Surface coverages achieved for As(III)- and As(V)-treated synthetic MnO₂ were 266 and 50.7 mmol kg⁻¹ As (8.79 and 1.67 μmol m⁻² As), respectively. The As(III)-treated MnO₂ sample analyzed by EXAFS had a comparable surface coverage to the 100 mg L⁻¹ MnO₂ batch reaction (7.91 mmol kg⁻¹ As). The dramatic difference in As surface coverage between the As(III)- and As(V)-treated MnO₂ samples was caused by As(III)-induced surface alteration during reductive dissolution of MnO₂. Oscerson et al. (23) found that As(III) oxidation rate increased and reached a maximum as the amount of As(III) was increased at a fixed MnO₂ suspension density. It was postulated that a barrier was formed at or near the surface of the oxide that prevented further reduction of the structural Mn(IV) in the interior of crystallites (23). This is consistent with our results, which suggest that a surface layer of covalently bound As(V) forms on the reacted MnO₂ surface which would limit further reaction of MnO₂ with As(III).
Dissolution of synthetic MnO$_2$ by As(III) (18–21) and Cr(III) (27) releases Mn$^{2+}$ ions, making fresh adsorption sites for As(V). XPS studies (19) also suggest the formation of Mn(III) from reductive dissolution of MnO$_2$ during As(III) oxidation, allowing for the possible formation of MnOOH$^-$–As(V) complexes.

The results of this investigation confirm the work of previous investigators who found that the reaction of As(III) with MnO$_2$ results in As(III) oxidation to As(V). Though we have not addressed the initial formation of an As(III)–MnO$_2$ complex prior to oxidation in this study, presumably the As(III) species also forms an inner-sphere complex on MnO$_2$ followed by electron transfer from As(III) to Mn(VI) and release of As(V) and Mn(II). The majority of As(V) formed is released to the solution, with a significant fraction (between 20% and 30% depending on suspension density) being adsorbed on the MnO$_2$ surface. The EXAFS results confirm that the MnO$_2$ surface complex on both the As(III)-altered MnO$_2$ and unaltered MnO$_2$ surfaces is an inner-sphere bidentate binuclear complex with an As–Mn interatomic distance of 3.22 Å. This research suggests that MnO$_2$ materials used at near neutral pH in environmental remediation or drinking water filtering would play a beneficial role as both an efficient oxidant of As(III) and a sorbent for As(V).
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