

Cyperaceae is a cosmopolitan family of an estimated 5000 species and 100 genera (Ball et al. 2002). Members of Cyperaceae are commonly called sedges. Sedge weeds adversely affect agriculture and forest, urban, and natural areas throughout the world. The number of sedges considered to be eds has increased more than twofold in the past 40 years. Based upon a survey of more than 30 floras, weed lists, and other selected publications, we have found references to 429 sedge species in 19 genera (Table 1) that have been reported as veeds (Bryson and Carter In Press). Most sedge weeds are in the genera Cyperus, Carex, Eleocharis, Fimbristylis, Scleria, noplectus, Rhynchospora, and Kyllinga



	Species	Percent of Total
Cyperus ^a	144	34
Carex	80	19
Eleocharis	50	12
Fimbristylis	37	9
Scleria	23	5
Schoenoplectus	20	5
Rhynchospora	19	4
Kyllinga	13	3
Bulbostylis	9	2
Scirpus	9	2
Fuirena	8	2
Bolboschoenus	5	1
Lipocarpha	4	<1
Cladium	2	<1
Isolepis	2	<1
Abildgaardia	1	<1
Courtoisina	1	<1
Lepidosperma	1	<1
Oxycaryum	1	<1
[Pycreus] ^b	1	<1

Cyperus decumbens E. Govindar, the name for a different species from India published in 1973, prevents legitimate transfer of this name under Cyperus.

Historically, natural barriers and restricted migration routes prevented plants from dispersing over great distances. The current speed and ease of world transportation by humans and cargo has increased the rate and distance of dispersal of plants, including many sedge species. Of the 429 reported weedy sedges, 107 species (~ 25%) are known or suspected to be dispersed by humans and pose problems in areas other than their place of origin (Bryson and Carter In Press) (Table 2). In a survey of horticultural literature, we have found references to 152 species of sedges used as ornamentals or otherwise cultivated (Bryson and Carter, In Press). This was unanticipated. Of particular interest is the growing horticultural emphasis on sedges, especially Carex and Cyperus species, as

Table 2. Numbers and percentages of species by genus of cyperaceous weeds that are known or suspected to be dispersed by humans from Bryson

	Species	Percent of Total
Cyperus ^a	44	39
Carex	21	20
Fimbristylis	9	9
Eleocharis	8	7
Schoenoplectus	6	6
Scirpus	6	6
Kyllinga	5	5
Rhynchospora	3	3
Fuirena	2	2
Bulbostylis	1	1
Isolepis	1	1
	1	1
Total		100

Purple nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus L.), yellow nutsedge (C. esculentus L.), rice flatsedge (C. iria L.), and smallflower umbrellasedge (C, difformis L,) are still considered the worst sedge weeds in agriculture (Holm et al. 1977; Bryson and Carter In Press). Sedges, such as deeprooted sedge (C. entrerianus Boeckler), have spread rapidly in the past 20 years and now infest agriculture, forests, rangelands, and urban and natural areas (Carter & Bryson, 1996).



In most cases, sedge genera are either C3 or C4; however, five genera, Abildgaardia, Cyperus, Eleocharis, Fimbristylis, and Rhynchospora, have both C3 and C4 species (Table 3). Of these, the mostly aquatic to subaquatic Eleocharis is almost entirely C2, and all of the subgenera of Cyperus are C4 except Pyncnostachys (=Protocyperus). Although many weeds are not, some of the most competitive ones are characterized by C4 photosynthesis (Black et al., 1969; Elmore & Paul, 1983). Purple and yellow nutsedge and rice flatsedge are C4 plants; smallflower umbrellasedge is C3 (Hesla et al., 1982). Thus, presence of C4 photosynthesis is but one characteristic promoting increased competitive ability in sedge weeds.

Table 3. C3	and C4 photosynthesis in genera of weedy sedges a, b.
Cyperoideae	
Abild	gaardieae
	Abildgaardia C ₃ /C ₄
	Fimbristylis C3/C4
	Bulbostylis C ₄
Cyper	eae
	Courtoisina C3
	Cyperus
	subg. Cyperus C4
	subg. Diclidium C4
	subg. Juncellus C4
	subg. Maris cus C4
	subg. Pycreus C4
	subg. Pycnostachys (=Protocyperus) C3
	subg. Queenslandiella C4
	Kyllinga C4
	Lipocarpha C4
Scirpe	ae
	Bolboschoenus C3
	Eleocharis Cy/C4
	Fuirena C ₃
	Isolepis C ₃
	Oxycaryum C ₃
	Schenoplectus C3
	Scirpus C3
Caricoideae	
Schoe	neae
	Cladium C3
	Lepidosperma C ₃

Schoeneae	
Cla	dium C3
Lep	oidosperma C3
Rhynchosp	oreae
Rh	nchospora C3/C4
Sclerieae	
Sch	eria C3
Cariceae	
Ca	rev.C.

Data on photosynthetic pathway from Bruhl (1993, 1995), Vorster (1996), Soros & Bruhl (2000). tribal classification follows Bruhl (1995) and Muasya et al. (2000a

them to disperse rapidly into new areas and out-compete crops, or native or desirable non-native vegetation for light, tter, nutrients, and space (Westbrooks, 1998). To varying



Although it may be possible to identify immature, sterile specimens of well known sedges like purple and yellow nutsedge, reliable identification of most sedges to species requires mature, fertile specimens and oftentimes the assistance of a taxonomic expert.

Based on our extensive literature review and research efforts over the past three decades, it is readily apparent that sedges are extremely important weeds of agriculture, forest, urban, and natural areas. The increased number of sedges considered weeds over the past two decades suggests that additional sedge species may become weedy in the future. This indicates a need for increased research into the reproductive biology, physiology, growth characteristics, and environmental tolerances of sedges to determine which sedges may be safely used as ornamentals and where and which species will likely become invasive. Research is also needed to develop methods to control and eradicate recently established invasive sedges.



Table 4. Weed characteristics, many important for weedy sedge survival, spread, and competitiveness. Adapted from Baker (1965, 1974), Bryson and Carter (In Press), Muenscher (1955), Radosevich & Holt (1984), Stuckey & Barkley (1993), Rejmanek (1996), and Westbrooks (1998).

Copious production of small seeds

Coptous promotion Early maturation Extended seed dormancy and discontinuous germin Germination and survival in a wide range of enviro Long life of propagules in soil or during dispersal Durfue vegetative reproduction and fragmentation

- npatible or cross-pollinated by wind or unspecialized floral visitors I and the ability to produce seed under adverse environmental condition-te similar to associated crops or native plants al modifications (e.g., thorns, prickles, spines, urticating hairs) that caus

- th of other plants (allelopath







LITERATURE

Baker, H. G. 1965. Characteristics and modes of origin of weeds. Pp. 147-172 in H. G. Baker & G. L. Stebbins (editors), The genetics of colonizing species. Academic Press, NY.

- Baker, H. G. 1974. The evolution of weeds. Annual Rev. Ecol. Syst. 5: 1-24.
- ²⁴¹, Ball, P. W., A. A. Reznicek & D. F. Murray. 2002. Cyperaceae. Pp. 3— F. W. Ball, K. Gandhi, R. W. Kiger, D. Murray, J. L. Zarucchi, A. A. Reznicek & J. L. Strother (editors), *Flora North America*, vol. 23. Oxford Univ. Press, New York,
- Black, C. C., T. M. Chen & R. H. Brown. 1969. Biochemical basis for plant competition. Weed Science 17: 338-344.
- Bruhl, J. 1993. Sedge genera of the world (Version 2.01), Intkey, Interactive Dataset. N.C.W. Beadle Herbarium, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales, Australia. Bruhl, J. 1995. Sedge genera of the world: relationships and a new
- classification of the Cyperaceae. Australian Systematic Bot. 8: 125 305
- Bryson, C.T. and R. Carter. In Press. The Significance of Cyperaceae as Weeds. Sedges: Uses, Diversity, and Systematics of the Cyperaceae, eds. R. F. C. Naczi and B. A. Ford. Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden.
- Carter, R. & C. T. Bryson. 1996. Cyperus entrerianus: a little known aggressive sedge in the southeastern United States. Weed Technol. 10: 232–235.
- Elmore C. & R. Paul. 1983. Composite list of C4 weeds. Weed Sci. 31: 686-692
- Hesla, B. I., I. L. Tieszen & S. K. Imbamba, 1982. A systematic survey of C_3 and C_4 photosynthesis in the Cyperaceae of Kenya, East Africa. *Photosynthetica* 16: 196—205.
- Holm, L.G., D. Plucknett, J. V. Pancho & J. P. Herberger. 1977. The World's Worst Weeds: Distribution, and Biology. University Press of Hawaii, Honolulu.
- Holm, L. G., J. V. Pancho, J. P. Herberger & D. L. Plucknett. 1979. A Geographical Atlas of World Weeds. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Muasya, A. M., J. J. Bruhl, D. A. Simpson, A. Culham & M. W. Chase. 2000a. Suprageneric Phylogeny of Cyperaceae: A combined analysis. Pp. 593—601 in K. L. Wilson & D. A. Morrison (editors), *Monocots:* Systematics and evolution. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria. Muenscher, W. C. 1955. Weeds. 2nd Ed. Cornell University Press, Ithaca
- New York. Radosevich, S. R. & J. S. Holt. 1984. Weed Ecology. John Wiley & S.
- Rejmanek, M. 1996. A theory of seed plant invasiveness: the first sketch. Biol. Conservation. 78: 1771–181.
- Bor, Conservation, J. 2000. Multiple Evolutionary Origins of C₄ Photosynthesis in the Cyperaceae. Pp. 629–636 in K. Wilson & D. A Morrison (editors), Monocots: Systematics and Evolution, Royal Botanic Garden, Kew,
- Stuckey, R. L. & T. M. Barkley. 1993. Weeds. Pp.193-198 in N. R. Morin
- Vorster, P. 1996. Justification for the generic status of *Courtoisina* (Cyperaceae). *Bot. J. Lim. Soc.* 121: 271—280.
 Westbrooks, R. G. 1998. Invasive Plants, Changing the Landscape of America: Fact Book. Federal Interagency Committee for the