Comparison of Supplemental Lighting Provided by High-pressure Sodium Lamps or Light-emitting Diodes for the Propagation and Finishing of Bedding Plants in a Commercial Greenhouse
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Abstract. High-quality young plant production in northern latitudes requires supplemental lighting (SL) to achieve a recommended daily light integral (DLI) of 10 to 12 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹. High-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps have been the industry standard for providing SL in greenhouses. However, high-intensity light-emitting diode (LED) fixtures providing blue, white, red, and/or far-red radiation have recently emerged as a possible alternative to HPS lamps for greenhouse SL. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to 1) quantify the morphology and nutrient concentration of common and specialty bedding plant seedlings grown under no SL, or SL from HPS lamps or LED fixtures; and 2) determine whether SL source during propagation or finishing influences finished plant quality or flowering. The experiment was conducted at a controlled greenhouse in West Lafayette, IN. Seeds of New Guinea impatiens (Impatiens hawkeri ‘Divine Blue Pearl’), French marigold (Tagetes patula ‘Bonanza Deep Orange’), gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii ‘Terracotta’), petunias (Petunia ×hybrida ‘Single Dreams White’), ornamental millet (Pennisetum glaucum ‘Jester’), pepper (Capsicum annuum ‘Hot Long Red Thin Cayenne’), and zinnia (Zinnia elegans ‘Zahara Fire’) were sown in 128-cell trays. On germination, trays were placed in a double-poly greenhouse under a 16-hour photoperiod of ambient solar radiation and photoperiodic lighting from compact fluorescent lamps providing a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (ambient conditions) or SL from either HPS lamps or LED fixtures providing a PPFD of 70 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. After propagation, seedlings were transplanted and finished under SL provided by the same HPS lamps or LED fixtures in a separate greenhouse environment. Overall, seedlings produced under SL were of greater quality [larger stem caliper, increased number of nodes, lower leaf area ratio (LAR), and greater dry mass accumulation] than those produced under no SL. However, seedlings produced under HPS or LED SL were comparable in quality. Although nutrient concentrations were greater under ambient conditions, select macro- and micronutrient concentrations also were greater under HPS compared with LED SL. SL source during propagation and finishing had little effect on flowering and finished plant quality. Although these results indicate little difference in plant quality based on SL source, they further confirm the benefits gained from using SL for bedding plant production. In addition, with both SL sources producing a similar finished product, growers can prioritize other factors related to SL installations such as energy savings, fixture price, and fixture lifespan.

The production of young plants from seed (plugs) for spring bedding plant markets commonly begins during late winter and early spring (Styer, 2003). For high-quality plug production, the recommended DLI is 10 to 12 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ (Pramuk and Runkle, 2005; Randall and Lopez, 2014). However, in greenhouses located in northern latitudes, the DLI is often insufficient during this time of the year, with DLIs as low as 1 to 5 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ commonly reported (Fausey et al., 2005; Pramuk and Runkle, 2005). SL refers to the practice of increasing the amount of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) available to plants in addition to what is supplied naturally through ambient solar radiation. Thus, through the provision of SL, high-quality young plants can be grown during times of the year when a lack of solar radiation may limit uniform and consistent production (Hernández and Kubota, 2012).

Numerous studies have reported that increasing the DLI with SL from HPS lamps improves young plant quality and reduces subsequent time to flower (TTF) for many bedding plant species (Hutchinson et al., 2012; Lopez and Runkle, 2008; Oh et al., 2010; Pramuk and Runkle, 2005). For example, Oh et al. (2010) observed increased seedling quality as the DLI increased from 7.6 to 17.2 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ for petunia (Petunia ×hybrida ‘Madness Red’) and pansy (Viola ×wittrockiana ‘Delta Premium Yellow’). Seedling shoot dry mass (SDM) increased linearly as the propagation DLI increased and TTF was hastened for both species (Oh et al., 2010). Albright et al. (2000) documented a similar linear relationship between SDM and total accumulated radiation, from seeding to final harvest (35 d), for butterhead leaf lettuce (Lactuca sativa ‘Ostina’ta’). Likewise, Graper and Healy (1992) found that an increased DLI led to increased growth rate and partitioning of carbohydrates into sugars for petunia ‘Red Flash’ seedlings.

HPS lamps are the current industry standard for SL in greenhouses, commonly providing a PPFD (400–700 nm) of 70 to 90 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ to the plant canopy (Lopez et al., 2017). LEDs are a promising alternative to more traditional lighting sources, such as fluorescent, incandescent, and high-intensity discharge lamps, because of their energy-efficiency and long lifespans (Mitchell et al., 2012). However, advancements such as electronic ballasts and double-ended lamps have led to a competitive environment regarding the most efficient and cost-effective source for greenhouse SL. For example, recent studies have reported that commercially available LED fixtures are similar or have become more energy-efficient than double-ended HPS lamps (Nelson and Bugbee, 2014; Wallace and Both, 2016).

LEDs are solid-state semiconductor devices that are able to produce radiation with a very narrow spectrum (Stutte, 2009). Thus, one of the novel benefits from the use of LEDs is the ability to select wavelengths that elicit specific morphological or physiological plant responses (Morrow, 2008). For example, blue wavelengths of radiation (400–500 nm) serve a direct role in mediating stem extension and providing growth inhibition in a variety of crops (Cosgrove, 1981; Kigel and Cosgrove, 1991; Runkle and Heins, 2001).

Previous research found the use of experimental LED fixtures to be a viable method for the production of bedding plant seedlings and cuttings (Currey and Lopez, 2013; Randall and Lopez, 2014). For example, Currey and Lopez (2013) found little difference in the growth, morphology, and post-transplant performance of New Guinea impatiens (Impatiens hawkeri ‘Celebrate Frost’), geranium (Pelargonium ×hortorum ‘Designer Bright Red’), and petunia ‘Suncatcher
Midnight Blue’ cuttings produced under SL providing a PPFD of 70 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ from either HPS lamps or experimental LED arrays with red:blue (R:B) radiation ratios (%) of 100:0, 85:15, or 70:30. Similarly, Randall and Lopez (2014) found the quality of snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus ‘Rocket Pink’), impatiens (Impatiens walleriana ‘Dazzler Blue Pearl’), geranium ‘Bullseye Scarlet’, petunia ‘Plush Blue’, salvia (Salvia splendens ‘Vista Red’), French marigold (Tagetes patula ‘Bonanza Flame’), and pansy ‘Mammoth Big Red’ seedlings grown under experimental LED arrays with R:B radiation ratios of 100:0, 85:15, and 70:30 providing a PPFD of 100 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ was similar to or greater than those produced under HPS lamps. Randall and Lopez (2014) determined seedling quality using the quality index (QI), an objective, integrated, and quantitative measurement by which to evaluate seedlings (Currey et al., 2013).

To our knowledge, no published research has evaluated the use of LED SL in a commercial setting. Therefore, the purpose of the study was to assess the use of LED fixtures manufactured to provide SL as an alternative to traditional HPS lamps for the production of commercial greenhouse. Specifically, the objectives of this study were to 1) evaluate the effect of SL source on the morphology and nutrient concentration of bedding plant seedlings; and 2) determine whether SL source during propagation or finishing influences finished plant quality or flowering.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material and propagation environment.** Seeds of New Guinea impatiens ‘Divine Blue Pearl’, French marigold ‘Bonanza Deep Orange’, gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii ‘Terracotta’), petunia ‘Single Dreams White’, ornamental millet (Pennisetum glaucum ‘Jester’), pepper (Capsicum annuum ‘Hot Long Red Thin Cayenne’), and zinnia (Zinnia elegans ‘Zahara Fire’) were sown in 128-cell trays (14-mL individual cell volume) filled with a commercial soilless medium composed of (by vol.) 65% peat, 20% perlite, and 15% vermiculite (Fafard Super Fine Germinating Mix; Sun Gro Horticulture, Agawam, MA). Trays were placed in a commercial greenhouse environment under 86% shadecloth (8635-O-FB; Ludvig Svensson, Inc., Charlotte, NC), with a constant air temperature set point of 23 °C. The mean ± SD greenhouse air temperature from 28 Jan. to 9 Mar. 2015 was 22.9 ± 0.4 °C.

Upon hypocotyl emergence, trays of each species were immediately moved to a commercial greenhouse facility (Galema’s Greenhouse; West Lafayette, IN) where propagation SL treatments were established. These treatments consisted of either HPS lamps (600-W; P.L. Light Systems, Beaumont, ON, Canada) or LED toplights (Philips 200-W GreenPower LED toplighting modules; Philips Lighting, Rosemont, IL) with a R:B radiation ratio of 90:10 (Fig. 1). Both SL sources provided a constant PPFD of 70 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ over the course of a 16-h photoperiod (0600–2200 hr). An ambient treatment (no SL) also was established that maintained a 16-h photoperiod through day-extension lighting supplied by compact fluorescent lamps providing a PPFD of 2 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ for the duration of the photoperiod. One tray of each species was placed under each of the three radiation treatments, and trays were rotated within each treatment daily to reduce any positional effects on radiation distribution. The propagation greenhouse was maintained at a constant air temperature set point of 23 °C. Environmental data were collected by a data logger (Model CR1000; Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, UT) that measured solar PPFD with quantum sensors (LI-190; LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) and canopy air temperature using precision thermistors [fan-aspirated solar radiation shields (ST-110; Apogee Instruments, Inc., Logan, UT)] every 15 s within each treatment. The mean ± SD DLI from 4 Feb. to 30 Mar. 2015 of the ambient, HPS, and LED SL treatments was 5.4 ± 1.8, 12.1 ± 3.4, and 12.3 ± 4.0 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹, respectively. The mean ± SD canopy air temperature from 4 Feb. to 30 Mar. 2015 under HPS and LED SL was 19.8 ± 3.6 and 20.0 ± 1.8 °C, respectively. Seedlings were irrigated as needed with water-soluble fertilizer (Jack’s Professional® 20N–0P–16.6K Hi Cal Peat-Lite; J.R. Peters, Inc., Allentown, PA) providing 100 mg·L⁻¹ nitrogen (N).

**PPFD (μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹·nm⁻¹)**

**LED Fixtures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPFD (μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹)</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HPS Lamps**
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<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPFD (μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹)</td>
<td>454</td>
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<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>569</td>
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</table>

Fig. 1. Spectral quality from 400 to 700 nm delivered from light-emitting diode (LED) fixtures or high-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps providing a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 70 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at canopy level.
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the sturdiness quotient (SQ: stem caliper/stem length) of each seedling. The QI [total dry mass × (shoot:root ratio + SQ)] was then calculated according to Currey et al. (2013).

Nutrient analysis. For New Guinea impatiens, pepper, petunia, and zinnia, shoots of five seedlings within each treatment were randomly collected, triple rinsed with deionized water, and placed in a drying oven at 70 °C for at least 4 d. The combined dry mass of these five seedlings provided a single sample for nutrient analysis, with a total of five samples for each species within each treatment being analyzed for each replication. Foliar N was determined using a CHN analyzer (PerkinElmer Series II CHNS/O Analyzer; PerkinElmer Instruments, Shelton, CT). For all other elements, plant tissue from each sample was digested in a microwave (MARS6; CEM Corp., Matthews, NC) and nutrient concentration was determined using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Thermo iCAP 6300; Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, MA) as described by Frantz (2013).

Finishing environment. After propagation data collection, 10 randomly selected seedlings from each tray within the HPS and LED SL treatments were transplanted into 11.4-cm diameter (600-mL) containers (Dillen Products, Middlefield, OH) filled with a commercial soilless medium composed of (by vol.) 75% peat, 20% perlite, and 5% vermiculite (Fafard 2; Sun Gro Horticulture). Transplants were moved into a separate finishing greenhouse with 18/15 °C (day/night) air temperature set points. Each set of 10 transplants was equally distributed into one of two SL treatments for finishing, which consisted of either HPS lamps (600-W; P.L. Light Systems) or LED toplights (Philips 200-W GreenPower LED toplighting modules; Philips Lighting) providing a constant PPFD of 70 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ over the course of a 16-h photoperiod (0600–2200 hr). Instantaneous PPFD was collected using a data logger (Model CR1000; Campbell Scientific, Inc.) with quantum sensors (LI-190; LI-COR Biosciences). In addition, mean air temperature within each SL treatment was recorded every 15 min by a data logger (Watchdog 2800 Weather Station; Spectrum Technologies, Aurora, IL). The mean ± SD DLI from 23 Mar. to 9 June 2015 under the HPS and LED SL treatments was 14.5 ± 4.8 and 15.0 ± 5.2 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹, respectively. The mean ± SD daily air temperature from 23 Mar. to 9 June 2015 under HPS and LED SL was 20.5 ± 2.4 and 20.1 ± 2.4 °C, respectively. As necessary, plants were irrigated using a water-soluble fertilizer (Jack’s Professional® 20N-4.4P-16.6K General Purpose; J.R. Peters, Inc.) providing 200 mg·L⁻¹·N⁻¹.

Finishing environment data collection. After transplant, plants were evaluated daily for first flower with all petals fully reflexed to calculate the TTF from the transplant date. Data were subsequently collected on plant height, number of nodes below the first open flower, and SDM. For ornamental millet, plants were harvested 42 d after transplant, and TTF was not collected.

Statistical analysis. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design, with trays assigned randomly to each SL treatment and species evaluated separately. The experiment was replicated twice over time for each of the species and morphological and nutrient data were pooled. The effect of SL treatment was compared by analyses of variance using SAS (SAS version 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) mixed model procedure (PROC MIXED) and Tukey’s honestly significant difference test at P ≤ 0.05 for seedling data. The effect of SL source during propagation (P), finishing (F), and their interaction (P×F) was compared by analyses of variance for finishing data (Table 1).

Results

Stem length and caliper. The effect of SL treatment on stem length was variable among species (Fig. 2A). For New Guinea impatiens, stem length under ambient conditions was 23% and 12% greater than those produced under LED and HPS SL, respectively. Conversely, French marigold and ornamental millet had greater stem lengths under HPS SL. Specifically, stem length of French marigold was 14% greater under HPS compared with LED SL, whereas stem length of ornamental millet was 24% greater under HPS SL compared with ambient conditions. For the remaining four species, no significant differences in stem length were observed between radiation treatments.

Regardless of species, stem caliper was reduced for seedlings produced under ambient conditions compared with LED or HPS SL (Fig. 2B). For example, stem caliper was 18% and 20% (New Guinea impatiens), 36% and 35% (French marigold), 45% and 54% (ornamental millet), 15% and 22% (petunia), and 19% and 21% (zinnia) greater under HPS SL, compared with ambient conditions. For the remaining four species, no significant differences in stem caliper were observed between SL treatments for any of the species.

LA and nodes. Generally, LA was greatest for seedlings produced under SL (Fig. 2C). For example, LA was 76% and 72% (gerbera), 62% and 63% (French marigold), 115% and 116% (ornamental millet), 54% and 105% (petunia), and 94% and 102% (zinnia) greater under LED and HPS SL, respectively, compared with ambient conditions. In addition, LA of petunia increased 33% under HPS compared with LED SL. LAR was greatest for gerbera, New Guinea impatiens, French marigold, pepper, petunia, and zinnia produced under ambient radiation compared with both LED and HPS SL (Fig. 3). In addition, LAR was 38% and 34% greater under HPS compared with LED SL for pepper and petunia, respectively.

The number of nodes increased for seedlings produced under SL compared with ambient conditions for five of the species evaluated (Fig. 2D). For example, the number of nodes increased by 33% and 33% (gerbera), 25% and 35% (French marigold), 55% and 50% (ornamental millet), 38% and 52% (petunia), and 19% and 16% (zinnia) under LED and HPS SL, respectively, compared with ambient conditions. However, differences in the number of nodes between SL treatments were not observed.

Root and shoot dry mass. The greatest accumulation of RDM and SDM occurred under LED or HPS SL for all species (Fig. 2E and 2F). For example, RDM increased 345% and 296% (gerbera), 183% and 139% (New Guinea impatiens), 392% and 340% (French marigold), 112% and 100% (ornamental millet), 455% and 381% (petunia), and 369% and 297% (zinnia) under LED and HPS SL, respectively, compared with ambient conditions. Similarly, SDM increased by 165% and 131% (gerbera), 68% and 63% (New Guinea impatiens), 162% and 119% (ornamental millet), 204% and 218% (petunia), and 195% and 195% (zinnia) under LED and HPS SL, respectively, compared with ambient conditions. No significant differences in RDM or SDM were observed between SL sources.

SQ and QI. The SQ for gerbera, New Guinea impatiens, and ornamental millet was greatest under LED and HPS SL, with no significant differences observed between the two SL sources (Fig. 2G). However, the SQ of French marigold, pepper, and zinnia grown under LED SL was 15%, 23%, and

Table 1. Analyses of variance (ANOVA) for the effects of supplemental lighting source during propagation (P), finishing (F), or their interaction (P×F) on time to flower (TTF), height at flowering (Ht), number of nodes below first open flower, and shoot dry mass (SDM) at flowering for New Guinea impatiens (Impatiens walleriana ‘Divine Blue Pearl’), French marigold (Tagetes patula ‘Bouanza Deep Orange’), gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii ‘Terracotta’), petunia (Petunia xhybrida ‘Single Dreams White’), ornamental millet (Pennisetum glaucum ‘Jester’), and zinnia (Zinnia elegans ‘Zahara Fire’).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TTF</th>
<th>Ht</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>SDM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P×F</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerbera</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impatiens</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marigold</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millet</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petunia</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinnia</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, *, **, *** nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.

*Ornamental millet was harvested 42 d after transplant and TTF was not collected.
Fig. 2. (A) Stem length, (B) stem caliper, (C) leaf area, (D) number of nodes, (E) root dry mass (RDM), (F) shoot dry mass (SDM), (G) sturdiness quotient, and (H) quality index for New Guinea impatiens, French marigold, gerbera, pepper, petunia, ornamental millet, and zinnia seedlings collected 28, 14, 35, 21, 21, 14, and 21 d after germination, respectively (mean ± SD; n = 10). Seedlings were grown under supplemental lighting provided by light-emitting diode (LED) fixtures, high-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps, or no supplemental lighting (ambient). Means sharing a letter are not statistically different by Tukey’s honestly significant difference test at $P \leq 0.05$. 
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in QI values between LED and HPS SL were compared with ambient conditions. Differences under LED and HPS SL, respectively, comprised 322% (petunia), and 405% and 311% (zinnia) and 108% (ornamental millet), 412% and 422% and 355% (French marigold), 120% increased by 266% and 206% (gerbera), LED and HPS SL compared with ambient produced under HPS SL.

For many of the micronutrients, concentrations were lower under LED compared with HPS SL. For example, concentrations of boron (B), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and zinc for zinnia grown under HPS SL were 13%, 183%, 121%, and 23% greater, respectively, than those produced under LED SL. Similarly, concentrations of B, copper, Fe, Mn, and molybdenum for New Guinea impatiens grown under HPS SL were 15%, 28%, 126%, 108%, and 21% greater, respectively, than those produced under LED SL.

**Nutrient concentration.** For many of the macronutrients, concentrations were greatest under the ambient treatment for all four species evaluated (Table 2). For example, N, phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulfur (S), calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg) concentrations of petunia were 69% and 41% (N), 64% and 64% (P), 40% and 22% (K), 9% and 9% (S), 22% and 9% (Ca), and 33% and 17% (Mg) greater under ambient conditions compared with LED and HPS SL, respectively. In addition, specific macronutrient concentrations were lower under LED SL for New Guinea impatiens, petunia, and zinnia compared with HPS SL. For example, concentrations of N, K, Ca, and Mg for petunia grown under HPS SL were 20%, 11%, 12%, and 14% greater, respectively, than those produced under LED SL. Similarly, concentrations of N, K, and Mg for zinnia grown under HPS SL were 13%, 15%, and 11% greater, respectively, than those produced under LED SL.

Similar trends were measured regarding micronutrients, with greater concentrations often observed for seedlings grown under ambient conditions (Table 3). In addition, micronutrient concentrations were often lower under LED compared with HPS SL. For example, concentrations of boron (B), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and zinc for zinnia grown under HPS SL were 13%, 183%, 121%, and 23% greater, respectively, than those produced under LED SL. Similarly, concentrations of B, copper, Fe, Mn, and molybdenum for New Guinea impatiens grown under HPS SL were 15%, 28%, 126%, 108%, and 21% greater, respectively, than those produced under LED SL.

**Finishing.** SL source during both propagation and finishing had little effect on TTF or finished plant quality for most species (Table 1). Although no interaction between propagation and finishing SL source was observed, main effects were occasionally significant. For example, the main effect of finishing SL source on TTF was significant for zinnia, and plants finished under HPS SL flowered an average of 2 d earlier compared with LED SL (data not shown). The main effect of finishing SL source on height was significant for ornamental millet and petunia, with a 21% and 8% increase, respectively, for plants finished under HPS compared with LED SL (data not shown). Similarly, ornamental millet displayed a 78% increase in SDM when finished under HPS compared with LED SL (data not shown). When grown under HPS SL during propagation, petunia had one additional node at flowering compared with those grown under LED SL (data not shown). The main effect of propagation SL source on SDM was significant for gerbera and New Guinea impatiens, with a 33% and 54% increase, respectively, for plants grown under LED compared with HPS SL (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Desired qualities for bedding plant plugs include a compact habit, thick stem caliper, high root and shoot biomass, and a reduced LA to prevent mutual shading (Oh et al., 2010; Pramuk and Runkle, 2005; Randall and Lopez, 2014). Plugs representing these qualities are generally more easily processed, shipped, and mechanically transplanted (Pramuk and Runkle, 2005). Generally, under a low-radiation environment, stem length and LA will increase through a physiological response known as shade avoidance (Franklin, 2008). In the present study, it was anticipated that plug seedlings grown under ambient radiation would exhibit symptoms of shade avoidance due to the low DLI. However, the results for stem length varied among species, and LA was generally greatest for plugs grown under LED or HPS SL compared with ambient radiation. For these five species, increases in node number also occurred under LED and HPS SL compared with ambient radiation. Thus, the increase in LA under SL was likely due in part to an increase in leaf number (nodes). However, seedlings grown under ambient radiation displayed symptoms of shade avoidance through increased LA compared with LED and HPS SL. LA provides a measure of LA per unit of total dry mass (Hunt and Cornelissen, 1997). Thus, more resources were allocated toward increased LA, rather than leaf thickness, under ambient radiation conditions, which may increase radiation interception. Although LA and stem length trends were not necessarily indicative of an insufficient DLI during ambient radiation conditions, greater LA values provide evidence for shade avoidance.

For petunia plugs, LA and LAR were smaller under LED compared with HPS SL. LAR of the plug was decreased under LED compared with HPS SL. These responses may be due to the increased proportion of blue wavelengths supplied by the LEDs relative to the HPS lamps. Previous research has shown that increasing the percentage of blue wavelengths included in a radiation spectrum will inhibit stem extension and leaf expansion of bedding plant plugs (Randall and Lopez, 2014; Wollaeger and Runkle, 2015). For example, Randall and Lopez (2015) found that LA was reduced for petunia ‘Dreams Midnight’, impatiens ‘Super Elfin XP Blue Pearl’, and vinca ‘Titan Dark Red’ seedlings grown under sole-source LEDs with an increased percentage of blue radiation. Similarly, Wollaeger and Runkle (2015) found that 10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of blue radiation appeared to be sufficient for the stimulation of reduced stem length and LA for impatiens ‘SuperElfin XP Red’, salvia ‘Vista Red’, and petunia ‘Wave Pink’ seedlings grown under sole-source LEDs.
Although the effect of blue radiation on plant morphology is evident in sole-source lighting applications, these responses often are inconsistent with those observed under greenhouse SL. In a greenhouse environment, the impact from the inclusion of blue radiation through SL is likely diminished due to ample blue wavelengths provided by solar radiation through SL often results in minimal plant responses. For example, Hernandez and Kubota (2012) found that an ambient solar DLI of 8.9 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ provided sufficient blue radiation for the greenhouse production of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum ‘Komeett’) seedlings. Likewise, Poel and Runkle (2017a) evaluated HPS lamps and multiple LED fixtures, with radiation ratios providing 10% to 20% blue radiation, as sources of SL for the production of geranium ‘Pinto Premium Salmon’ and ‘Ringo 200 Deep Scarlet’, pepper ‘Long Red Slim Cayenne’, petunia ‘Single Dreams White’ and ‘Wave Misty Lilac’, snapdragon ‘Montego Yellow’, and tomato ‘Supersweet’ seedlings with a target SL PPFD of 90 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. With SL providing 20% to 40% of the total DLI in their study, they found very little difference in seedling dry matter accumulation or morphology regardless of the SL source or percentage of blue radiation. However, under a low ambient greenhouse DLI, impacts from the inclusion of blue radiation on plant morphology become more prevalent. For example, Randall and Lopez (2014) found that the height of multiple bedding plant species was reduced when seedlings were grown under LED SL providing 15% to 30% blue radiation with a target PPFD of 100 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ and a low ambient DLI of <7 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹. In addition, Hernandez and Kubota (2014) found that under low-radiation conditions, with a DLI of ≈5.2 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹, cucumber seedlings grown under LED SL with a greater percentage of blue radiation displayed decreased dry mass, leaf number, and LA. In the present study, SL provided <33% of the average DLI for both the LED (10% blue) and HPS (≈2% blue) SL treatments. Thus, minimal responses to additional blue radiation from LED SL were likely observed due to contributions from solar radiation.

Differences in LA and LAR for pepper and petunia between LED and HPS SL also may have been due to differences in leaf temperature between the two treatments. The emission of radiant heat is commonly associated with the use of HPS lamps and has been found to increase canopy temperature (Faust and Heins, 1997). Poel and Runkle (2017a) reported that leaf temperature relative to air temperature was 1 to 2 °C greater under HPS compared with LED SL. Although air temperature near the canopy was similar between SL treatments in the present study, leaf temperature was not measured. Thus, greater leaf temperatures under HPS SL may have been present and contributed to differences in LA and LAR observed for pepper and petunia compared with LED SL. However, for most species in the present study, no variations in leaf temperature were observed.
differences in LA or LAR were observed between SL sources. A higher stem caliper, RDM, and SDM were observed under HPS and LED SL compared with seedlings grown under ambient radiation, although differences between the SL sources were not observed. Generally, an increased DLI results in increased dry mass per unit of fresh weight, which ultimately leads to thicker tissues (Faust et al., 2005). Multiple studies have shown that increased DLI leads to increases in the accumulation of RDM and SDM of petunia ‘Tiny Tuna Violet Ice’, ‘Double Wave Spreading Rose’, and ‘Supertunia Mini Purple’ cuttings increased by 680% and 506%, 2395% and 106%, respectively, as the proportion DLI increased from 1.2 to 8.4 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹. The QI assesses young plant quality by integrating morphological parameters linked to the perception of a high-quality seedling, with increased values generally indicating greater quality (Currey et al., 2013; Randall and Lopez, 2014). Sturdiness quotient and QI values were generally greater under both LED and HPS SL compared with ambient radiation, which can be attributed to the increased stem caliper, RDM, and SDM. In addition, greater SQ values were observed under LED compared with HPS SL for French marigold, pepper, and zinnia. Although differences were not always significant, seedlings grown under LED SL for these three species displayed shorter stem lengths compared with those produced under HPS SL, ultimately resulting in increased SQ values.

The greatest concentrations for both macro- and micronutrients were observed for seedlings grown under ambient radiation. This response is likely due to a dilution of the nutrient concentration due to the greater SDM observed under both LED and HPS SL. This dilution effect was suggested by Kuehny et al. (1991) after observing decreased foliar concentrations of nutrients under increased irradiance. These authors were able to remedy this effect through the expression of nutrient concentration on a starch-free dry weight basis (Kuehny et al., 1991). Thus, the greater nutrient concentrations observed under ambient radiation in the present study were likely due to the concurrent lower SDM observed.

Increased percentages of blue radiation have been linked to an increase in the concentration of many essential elements (Kopsell et al., 2014; Kopsell and Sams, 2013). However, select macro- and micronutrient concentrations were greater under HPS compared with LED SL for New Guinea impatiens, petunia, and zinnia in the present study. Thus, the increased blue radiation administered under LED SL did not have a positive effect on nutrient concentration. One possibility for the increased nutrient concentrations under HPS SL, compared with LED SL, is elevated leaf temperature. As discussed previously, the emission of radiant heat from HPS lamps has been associated with elevated leaf temperatures (Poel and Runkle, 2017a). Increased leaf temperature can increase stomatal opening (Urban et al., 2017), which may lead to greater nutrient concentrations via increased mass flow. However, future research is required to confirm this hypothesis.

Generally, SL source during propagation or finishing had little effect on TTF or finished plant quality. However, during finishing, the radiation from HPS and SDM for ornamental millet, greater stem elongation for petunia, and a slight decrease in TTF for zinnia were observed when plants were grown under HPS SL compared with LED SL. Increased leaf temperatures due to the emission of radiant heat may have resulted in the increased growth and accelerated flowering for some species finished under HPS lamps. As mentioned previously, whereas canopy air temperatures between the two treatments were similar, it is possible that leaf temperature was greater under HPS SL. In addition, SL source during propagation had a limited effect on SDM at flowering, with increased values for gerbera and New Guinea impatiens when seedlings were grown under LED SL. Although differences were not significant, both gerbera and New Guinea impatiens seedlings produced greater RDM and SDM under LED compared with HPS SL during propagation. This increased dry matter accumulation may have led to accelerated establishment of transplants in the finishing environment, ultimately leading to increased SDM values at flowering.

The results from this study provide a practical comparison of LED and HPS SL for the production of bedding plant plugs and finished plant material in a commercial greenhouse. On the basis of these findings, we believe that LEDs may be used as an equivalent SL source to HPS lamps during both propagation and finish production. When the relative contribution of SL to DLI is low, spectral manipulation from LEDs for desired growth responses appears to be limited. Therefore, growers interested in SL installations can shift their primary focus from differences in plant quality and growth based on SL source to additional factors such as energy savings, price of the fixtures, and fixture lifespan.
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