Large herbivores suppress liana infestation in an African savanna


African savannas are the last stronghold of diverse large-mammal communities, and a major focus of savanna ecology is to understand how these animals affect the relative abundance of trees and grasses. However, savannas support diverse plant life-forms, and human-induced changes in large-herbivore assemblages—declining wildfire populations and their displacement by livestock—may cause unexpected shifts in plant community composition. We investigated how herbivory affects the prevalence of lianas (woody vines) and their impact on trees in an East African savanna. Although scarce (<2% of tree canopy area) and defended by toxic latex, the dominant liana, Cynanchum viminale (Apocynaceae), was eaten by 15 wild large-herbivore species and was consumed in bulk by native browsers during experimental cafeteria trials. In contrast, domesticated ungulates rarely ate lianas. When we experimentally excluded all large herbivores for periods of 8 to 17 y (simulating extirpation), liana abundance increased dramatically, with up to 75% of trees infested. Piecewise exclusion of different-sized herbivores revealed functional complementarity among size classes in suppressing lianas. Liana infestation reduced tree growth and reproduction, but herbivores quickly cleared lianas from trees after the removal of 18-y-old exclosure fences (simulating rewilding). A simple model of liana contagion showed that, without herbivores, the long-term equilibrium could be either endemic (liana–tree coexistence) or an all-liana alternative stable state. We conclude that ongoing declines of wild large-herbivore populations will disrupt the structure and functioning of many African savannas in ways that have received little attention and that may not be mitigated by replacing wildlife with livestock.

Significance

Declines of wild megafauna are expected to transform ecosystems and are known to influence tree–grass balance in African savannas, but the effects of large herbivores on lianas are unknown. Using diet analysis, long-term exclusion experiments, and smaller-scale manipulations, we show that liana infestation occurred rapidly after the loss of large herbivores and suppressed tree growth and reproduction. We show theoretically that extended absence of herbivores could potentially generate a liana-dominated alternative state—but both experimental and theoretical results indicate that herbivore reintroduction can reverse endemic infestation, even after decades. We found low functional redundancy among wild herbivore species and between wildlife and livestock. Our results suggest that diverse large-herbivore assemblages promote resilience and robustness of savannas to liana encroachment.
rapid increases in liana abundance analogous to those in Neotropical forests. The determinants of liana cover in savannas and the impacts of lianas on savanna trees are essentially unstudied, and lianas are often omitted from savanna plant censuses (25).

We combined small- and large-scale field experiments with diet analyses to understand the effects of large herbivores on lianas and liana–tree interactions in a semiarid Kenyan savanna. We hypothesized that browsers control liana abundance, thereby mitigating the negative effects of lianas on trees. Specifically, we predicted that native herbivores frequently eat lianas but that livestock rarely do; that experimental exclusion of large herbivores would increase the abundance and size of lianas; and that liana infestation would reduce tree growth and reproduction. We also experimentally assessed the resilience of this system to nearly two decades of large-herbivore exclusion, predicting that liana cover would decrease rapidly when large herbivores were “reintroduced” (by removing exclosure fences) after 18 y. Last, for insight into the potential dynamics of lianas and trees on even longer timescales, we developed a simple differential equations model analogous to the SIR (susceptible-infected-recovered) class of models commonly used in epidemiology.

Results
We collected data at the Mpala Research Centre and Conservancy in the Laikipia highlands of central Kenya (0°20´ N, 36°53´ E, mean annual rainfall ~630 mm). The tree community is dominated by several species of Acacia sensu lato (25, 26). Caustic creeper (Cynanchum viminalis [syn. Sarcostemma viminalis], Apocynaceae, henceforth “Cynanchum”; ref. 27), a wind-dispersed, succulent vine with a woody trunk, is the dominant climber and accounts for >85% of vine infestations in tree canopies. The branching stems of Cynanchum produce white latex.

Fig. 1. Liana–herbivore–tree interactions at Mpala Research Centre, Kenya. (A) Location of Mpala and (B) southern GLADE and UHURU herbivore exclosures. Schematics of (C) UHURU and (D) GLADE experiments. (E) Relative read abundance (mean percent Cynanchum DNA per fecal sample ± SEM; light-gray bars, Left y-axis) and frequency of occurrence (percentage of samples with ≥0.1% Cynanchum DNA; dark-gray bars, Right y-axis) of Cynanchum in the diets of 20 large-herbivore species at Mpala (SI Appendix, Tables S1 and S2). (F) Consumption of transplanted lianas in 14 trials lasting 4 to 20 d (mean 9.8 d, mean percent weight loss per day across replicates 7.9 ± 1.9% SEM). Bar height is total mass transplanted, light gray is mass reweighed after the trial, and dark gray is the difference (mass lost); numbers above bars show percent of initial wet mass lost for each liana. Inset shows frequency of herbivory by different browsers in n = 247 recorded herbivory events. (G) Heavily infested A. etbaica in a total-exclusion (−all) plot in UHURU. (H) Giraffe eating transplanted liana (Movies S1–S4 show illustrative camera-trap footage). (I) Lateral spread of Cynanchum between adjacent tree canopies.
containing pregnant glycosides that can cause convulsions and paralysis in livestock (28). The large-herbivore (≥5 kg) community includes 22 wild species, along with cattle, camel, sheep, goat, and donkey. We worked in two long-term large-herbivore–exclusion experiments (Fig. 1 A–D): UHURU (Ungulate Herbivory Under Rainfall Uncertainty, established 2008) comprises four size-selective treatments applied to 1-ha plots in randomized blocks; GLADE (Glade Legacies and Defaunation Experiment, established 1999) comprises 0.5-ha total-exclusion plots (29). Here, we focused on the southernmost replicates of UHURU (n = 3 blocks, 12 plots) and GLADE (n = 2 plots), all of which are ≤2.5 km apart. The two GLADE exclosures were removed in 2017, enabling us to measure effects of herbivore reintroduction after nearly two decades of exclusion. The three unconfined control plots in UHURU, where herbivores have unfettered access, served as our reference point for the effects of exclusion.

**Consumption of Lianas by Large Herbivores.** We extracted publicly available diet data produced by DNA metabarcoding fecal samples collected at Mpala over multiple seasons and years (30–32). We analyzed data for the 20 most common herbivore species to assess the frequency and relative intensity of consumption of *Cynanchum*. For seven native species, *Cynanchum* accounted for 0.10 to 0.35% of diet (i.e., mean proportion of dietary sequence reads per sample) and occurred in 31 to 92% (median 57%) of samples (Fig. 1E). Some individuals of the four dominant browsers (dik-dik, impala, giraffe, and elephant) ate larger proportions of *Cynanchum* (up to 5.2, 4.5, 2.5, and 3.7%, respectively). By contrast, among the five livestock species, *Cynanchum* accounted for only 0.01 to 0.06% of diet, occurred in just 10 to 42% (median 21%) of samples, and never accounted for >0.5% of sequence reads in any individual sample (Fig. 1E and SI Appendix, Tables S1 and S2).

Although *Cynanchum* was frequently eaten by multiple species, it was not abundant in any species’ average diet, which could reflect either low availability or low herbivore preference. We therefore performed a cafeteria-style experiment in which we transplanted large *Cynanchum* (mean ± SEM, 14.9 ± 4.8 kg) from trees in exclosures onto trees accessible to herbivores. Transplanted lianas were rapidly consumed, losing 51.5 ± 6.4% of initial wet weight in trials lasting an average of 10 d (Fig. 1F). Most of this loss was likely due to transpiration and mass loss after translocation (Table S3). Lianas transplanted onto trees in a fenced enclosure lost only 16.5 ± 2.1% of initial wet weight over 10 d, suggesting that ~35% of transplanted liana biomass was eaten by herbivores. Camera-trap footage showed that impala, elephant, and giraffe were the main consumers of transplanted lianas (Fig. 1 F and H and Movies S1–S4). Thus, native browsers ate substantial quantities of *Cynanchum* when it was available, suggesting that its low relative abundance in herbivore diets is a function of its limited abundance in the landscape.

**Effects of Herbivore Exclusion on Liana Infestation.** All measures of liana abundance and infestation severity were lowest in unfenced (“+all”) plots and increased monotonically with the successive exclusion of elephant and giraffe (“−mega”), mesoherbivores (predominantly impala, “−melo”), and dik-dik (“−all”), although not all treatments differed significantly in pairwise contrasts (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Mean proportion of trees infested (Fig. 2A) and percent cover of lianas on tree canopies (Fig. 2C) were suppressed most strongly by mega- and meso-herbivores, with limited additional effect of dik-dik, whereas herbivores of all sizes contributed similarly to reducing the mean number of individual lianas per tree (Fig. 2D). The subset of responses measured in the 17-y GLADE – all exclosures were two- to threefold stronger than those in the 8-y UHURU – all plots (Fig. 2 C and D). These results were reproduced in a separate survey in November 2018 (10 y into UHURU), when we measured plant species composition by passing a 6-m pin from the ground through the canopy and recording all vegetation touching the pin. *Cynanchum* abundance increased monotonically from +all to –all treatments, accounting for nearly 10% of the vegetation in some exclosure plots (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). Moreover, mean height of *Cynanchum* pin hits decreased from –mega, to –melo, to –all plots (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B), as expected if browsers in each size class ate the lianas within reach. These data show that herbivores exert strong top-down control on lianas, that effects of different-sized herbivores are additive and complementary (not redundant), and that infestation severity increases over time in the absence of herbivores.

In contrast to lianas, mean density and estimated biomass of trees (>1-m tall) in UHURU were greatest in –melo exclosures and least in +all and –all plots (although the density response was not statistically significant; Fig. 2B). The discrepant responses of trees and lianas reinforce our conclusion that herbivores’ effects on lianas were direct and consumptive, as opposed to purely indirect effects mediated by tree density.

Liana recruitment was greatest in exclosures and beneath tree canopies (Fig. 2 E and F), suggesting strong influences of both herbivores and the number and proximity of large adult lianas. Juvenile lianas in open habitat (i.e., not beneath trees) were almost nonexistent in +all plots and most abundant in –all plots, showing that dik-dik play a major role in suppressing recruitment outside tree canopies (Fig. 2E). Across all treatments, however, juvenile liana density was more than an order-of-magnitude greater beneath tree canopies than in the open, indicating that trees provide associational refuges from even the smallest ungulates (26). The annual growth rate of individually tagged liana stems did not differ significantly across exclosure treatments, but the fastest growing ~2% of stems grew 43 to 593 cm/y, showing that liana growth and tree-to-tree spread can be rapid.

Using data from semiannuall (wet and dry season) surveys in UHURU, we found that *Cynanchum* also constituted an appreciable fraction of the understory after 10 y—but only in –all plots, where they accounted for an average of 3% (max 18%) and 2.5% (max 12%) of understory pin hits per plot in October 2018 (wet) and February 2019 (dry), respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). In contrast, *Cynanchum* was essentially undetected in the understory of the other three treatments (e.g., one solitary pin hit in a single –melo plot in 2019), further underscored the role of dik-dik in suppressing recruitment. Mean prevalence of *Cynanchum* in the understory of –all plots increased exponentially across 20 surveys from 2008 to 2019 (R² = 0.87; SI Appendix, Fig. S1D).

Observations in March 2021 (12.5 y into UHURU) indicated that *Cynanchum* prevalence has continued to increase in –all plots, where it dominated large swaths of understory and overstory vegetation (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Importantly, many free-standing lianas (understory individuals unsupported by trees) had reproduced, suggesting that *Cynanchum* does not need trees to persist (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A and E).

**Effects of Herbivore Reintroduction on Liana Cover.** Removal of the GLADE exclosures in 2017 provided an unusual opportunity to test the resilience of this system after 18 y without large herbivores. Shortly before fence removal, liana prevalence in these exclosures was the highest we measured anywhere (Fig. 2 C and D), with lianas covering >50% of the average tree canopy. Within 2 mo of fence removal, mean liana cover decreased by more than half, to 23.9 ± 3.7%; Fig. 3), indicating that the change in the deconstructed exclosures was the result of herbivory.

**Effects of Lianas on Trees.** Trees infested with lianas grew 5.2 ± 3.2 cm per year. Trees from which we experimentally removed herbivores increased three times more over the same period (14.9 ±
3.5 cm). Uninfested, unmanipulated control trees grew intermediately (8.0 ± 1.4 cm; Fig. 4A). Tree reproductive output also declined as a function of infestation severity, with heavily infested *Acacia etbaica* producing up to 85% fewer fruits and flowers than lightly infested conspecifics (Fig. 4F). In 2021, we found that multiple heavily infested trees in —all plots had been top killed (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2G*), and we have seen trees broken under heavy masses of lianas (which can exceed 100 kg; reference Fig. 1F). Thus, lianas competitively reduce tree fitness, but individual trees can tolerate infestation up to a point and recover if lianas are removed.

**Theoretical Model.** We built a simple, spatially implicit ordinary differential equations model to qualitatively explore the long-term dynamics of trees and lianas (*SI Appendix, Supplementary Information Text* and Fig. S3). This model is analogous to epidemiological models used to study disease transmission, which have recently been adapted to study the dynamics of Neotropical lianas (33). Trees and lianas compete for space and resources. Trees can be either healthy (S) or infested (I), and lianas can be either free-standing (L) or growing on trees (henceforth, climbers). We modeled infestation as a contagion process in which both free-standing and climbing lianas can spread to and infest healthy trees. Free-standing lianas and climbers both produce seeds that are wind dispersed and therefore assumed to be randomly scattered across the landscape (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). Germinating seeds give rise to free-standing lianas; we assume that these (like *Cynanchum*: ref. 34) can grow adventitious roots and thus vegetatively grow laterally until they encounter a tree that they can climb (regardless of distance). Thus, we assume that infestation by free-standing lianas is a density-dependent process. Climbers can cause new infestations via lateral spread to neighboring, possibly healthy trees. Thus, we assume that infestation by climbing lianas is a frequency-dependent process. We further assume that both seed production and vegetative growth are higher for climbers than for free-standing lianas; conversely, consistent with our empirical observations, infested trees have lower reproduction and higher mortality than healthy trees. Herbivores increase mortality of both trees and lianas. We incorporated the herbivory regime by including separate herbivore-induced mortality terms for healthy trees, infested trees, and lianas in addition to their natural death rates (*SI Appendix, Supplementary Information Text*); thus, the effects of herbivores (collectively) are either present (i.e., herbivore-induced mortality terms are positive, albeit not necessarily equivalent) or absent (i.e., herbivore-induced mortality terms are zero). Although the model is agnostic as to the “type” of herbivore exerting these effects (e.g., wild versus domestic), different intensities and regimes of herbivory can be simulated by varying the absolute and/or relative magnitudes of the herbivore-induced mortality terms for the different categories of plant (e.g., *SI Appendix, Fig. S4 C and D*). We realistically assume that lianas have a positive growth rate at least in the absence of herbivores, while trees have a positive growth rate even in the presence of herbivores (*SI Appendix, Supplementary Information Text*).
When the long-term equilibrium is endemic, reintroduction of herbivores can quickly return the system to the preextirpation healthy-tree/no-liana equilibrium if the reintroduced herbivores eat lianas at a similar rate as before. Alternatively, the system can be maintained in an endemic equilibrium with possibly lower liana abundance if the reintroduced herbivores feed less (or less effectively) on lianas (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 C and D). We emphasize that the simulations shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S4 are for illustration only and that the conclusions of our analytical stability analysis do not depend on parameterization.

Discussion

Previous work on herbivore–plant interactions in African savannas has focused almost exclusively on trees and grasses. Our results show that although lianas are scarce in an East African landscape with a largely intact native herbivore community, they can proliferate rapidly in the absence of large herbivores, with deleterious effects on tree growth and reproduction. Native browsers spanning a wide range of sizes and foraging modes—chiefly elephant (up to 5,000 kg), giraffe (~1,000 kg), impala (~50 kg), and dik-dik (~5 kg)—ate Cynanchum and contributed in different ways to suppressing its abundance: whereas megaherbivores could consume considerable biomass and clear large lianas from treetops (Figs. 1 F and H and 2 A and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S1B), smaller-bodied species were effective at limiting juvenile establishment and prevalence of free-standing lianas in the understory (Fig. 2 D–F and SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Our simple, qualitative model of liana spread predicts that in the sustained absence of large herbivores, liana abundance should continue to increase (consistent with experimental results; Fig. 2 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S1D) and that endemic liana infestation or even an all-liana state are possible (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B). Importantly, however, the ultimate outcome may not be predictable for the first decades (or longer) after the extirpation of herbivores; during the transient period, the system will be in an endemic state (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 B, Inset). Thus, the model not only predicts that an all-liana alternative stable state is possible but also suggests that it might not be preceded by any detectable early warning signals.

However, both theoretical (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 C and D) and experimental results (Figs. 3 and 4) also indicate that savannas retain the capacity to recover from severe endemic liana infestation for decades after the loss of large herbivores. These findings are noteworthy in the context of trophic rewinding, which aims to restore ecological processes by reintroducing extirpated megafauna (35). The crucial but uncertain premise of this approach is that such processes are indeed recoverable and that defaunation does not rapidly lead to recalcitrant alternative states. The dramatic recovery observed in our fence-removal experiment—where an already diverse and abundant large-herbivore community halved liana cover in just 2 mo—probably overestimates the rate
at which lianas would be removed in a system rebounding from large-scale defaunation. Nonetheless, work at larger scales is consistent with our inference that defaunation-induced savanna plant encroachment can be reversed even after decades. In Mozambique’s Gorongosa National Park, for example, ungulate populations recovering from near-extirpation have reasserted biotic control over the invasive shrub *Mimosa pigra* (36).

Our results also highlight the lack of functional redundancy among wild browsers of different sizes (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A–C), as well as between wild and domesticated browsers: even goats and camels ate *Cynanchum* rarely relative to the most abundant wild browsers (Fig. 1 E and F), and previous studies have noted “the dislike of livestock for [C.] viminale” (34, p. 121). This pattern underscores the importance of conserving functionally diverse native assemblages and is consistent with a previous study at Mpala showing that the diversity of native large herbivores was important in reducing encroachment by the shrub *Solanum campylacanthum* (37). Notably, the toxicity of secondary metabolites in both of these plants appears to be greater for domesticated than wild ungulates (28, 38). The glycosides in *Cynanchum* cause a potentially lethal poisoning syndrome (*cyananchosis*) that affects farmed cattle, goats, sheep, horses, and oriches in southern Africa (39–41) and may be a particular risk to naïve livestock (e.g., ref. 42). Although livestock may be functional proxies for wild browsers in certain respects (7), there is growing evidence that replacement of native herbivore guilds with (invariably less species-rich and functionally diverse) livestock has altered plant communities and disrupted ecosystem processes in African savannas (43, 44). *Cynanchum’s* toxicity to domesticated ungulates, its competitive effects on trees (Fig. 4) and perhaps grasses (SI Appendix, Figs. S1 and S2), and its ability to form dense mats that reduce access to forage plants and increase the odds of accidental ingestion all suggest that removing native browsers might limit the productivity and profitability of both grazing and browsing livestock. Explicit investigation of this possibility would be useful.

Our simple theoretical model omits several fundamental savanna processes (e.g., tree–grass interactions, rainfall, fire), as well as nuances such as potential effects of tree and herbivore species composition. Accordingly, and because we lack empirically validated parameter estimates, we do not use the model for quantitative prediction. Rather, the model provides an analytically simple yet powerful tool for qualitative insight into possible outcomes of liana–tree interactions on long timescales and the conditions associated with alternative stable states. The all-liana state minimally requires high per capita fitness of free-standing lianas, and although free-standing lianas do reproduce, we consider this condition relatively unlikely (perhaps explaining why we are unaware of any savanna dominated by vines to the exclusion of trees). The more likely endemnic equilibrium matches the scenario in –all exclusions maintained for up to 17 y, and the predicted reversibility of this scenario back to an essentially all-tree state accords with our data—yet our data also show that liana prevalence in –all exclusions was increasing exponentially after more than a decade (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D), indicating that no stable state has been reached. We hope that our model will stimulate further research on the long-term consequences of liana encroachment in megafauna-free savannas.

The marginal effect of herbivore exclusion on total tree density in the 12 southern UHURU plots (Fig. 2B) was useful in showing that liana abundance did not simply track tree density across treatments but is surprising in light of previous exclusion studies documenting stronger effects over comparable time-spans. In the GLADE experiment, for example, tree density was roughly threefold greater in exclusions than unfenced plots after 10 y (45, 46). The treatment effect on tree biomass in UHURU was more pronounced than that on overall density, reflecting the greater number of large trees in (especially –meso) exclusions, yet the persistent lack of difference between –all and +all plots remains puzzling. Although it is tempting to speculate that competition with *Cynanchum* in –all plots might have something to do with this unexpected null result, the previous findings from GLADE (where liana prevalence was also high: Fig. 2 C and D) seem at odds with this interpretation. A fuller investigation of tree dynamics in UHURU is needed.

In several respects, our results are broadly consistent with patterns reported from Neotropical forests, where the majority of liana research has occurred to date (but see ref. 47). For example, we found evidence that liana infestation reduces tree fitness and that this effect is correlated with infestation severity (Fig. 4), mirroring findings from Panama and Bolivia (48, 49). In both forest and savanna, the distribution and abundance of lianas is also linked to the availability of trellises, and the rate of spread of lianas between tree canopies is strongly influenced by the proximity of neighboring trees (Fig. 2 E and F; ref. 50). There are also similarities between the all-liana state that our model suggests is possible in savannas and the “stalled gap” phenomenon in tropical forests, where dense liana tangles arrest succession in treefall gaps (18). These similarities notwithstanding, the major differences between Neotropical forests and African savannas (climate, tree diversity and structure, liana traits, disturbance regimes) dictate caution in attempting cross-biome comparisons; deeper insights will require a better understanding of the drivers of liana abundance in savannas and savanna–forest mosaics. Chief among the unknowns are the susceptibility of lianas to fire (which is infrequent in many dry savannas, including Mpala, but is a dominant force in the savanna biome more broadly), the role of liana research in land recruitment, and survival, and the vulnerability of dominant liana species to herbivory.

Predicting how savanna plant communities are likely to change over the next century—and how those changes will affect ecologically and economically important ecosystem processes—is an important goal at the nexus of ecology and conservation (3). Presently, the integration of lianas into savanna vegetation models is precluded by a paucity of information about the diversity, distribution, and ecology of this life-form, even at the coarsest spatial and temporal scales. A first step in this regard is to catalog the distribution and abundance of lianas across the continent (21), with particular attention to forest–savanna transitions where significant shifts in floristic composition have already occurred—and where, intriguingly, liana encroachment into grasslands has been documented in conjunction with reductions in herbivore density (51). The concerted effort to understand how liana abundance and diversity have changed over recent decades in Neotropical forests provides a roadmap for similar efforts in savannas. A comparative, multi-biome approach to liana ecology has the potential to deepen our understanding of forests and savannas while extending our ability to effectively manage and conserve them.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Site and Long-Term Herbivore Exclusion Experiments.** Mpala encompasses 20,000 ha of semi-arid thorn-scrub savanna (Fig. 1). The study area is underlain by infertile red sandy loams. The woody-plant community is dominated by spinouscercous *Acacia* s.l. (including *Senegalia* and *Vachellia* spp.) trees and shrubs—predominantly *A. (S.) brevispica*, *A. (V.) etbaica*, and *A. (S.) mellifera*, with several other species occurring more patchily. The understory comprises several hundreds of species of grasses, forbs, and subshrubs (26, 52, 53). The elephant (*Loxodonta africana*), impala (*Aepyceros melampus*), dik-dik (*Madoqua cf. M. guentheri*), plains zebra (*Equus quagga*), and giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*) account for the majority of large native herbivore biomass (54). Controlled burns are not used for management, and unintentional fires are infrequent, in part because understory biomass is low and interspersed with patches of bare soil (25), in part because the trimodal annual rainfall pattern with a short dry season limits fuel accumulation, and in part because property managers practice preventative measures.

Although African lianas are comparatively little studied (55), >2,000 species of lianas and vines have been cataloged continent-wide; diversity is highest in forested parts of West and Central Africa, but most savanna-
dominated stretches of East Africa also support at least 5 to 10 liana species (21). Cynanchum viminale is the most abundant scendent plant native to Mpala (others include a closely related but much rarer congener, Cynanchum gerrardii, along with herbaceous Plectranthus and Kleina spp.) and is widespread throughout Africa, Asia, and Australia. In this range, C. viminale occurs in habitats ranging from dry scrub to forest; in savannas, it is common in thickets, where it adopts a climbing habit (56), and in the absence of support it forms a short shrub (39). Like many succulent lianas, C. viminale reproduces sexually by wind-dispersed seeds and clonally from root and stem fragments, with laterally growing stems forming adventitious roots where they touch the ground—a suite of traits that facilitates rapid expansion (34).

The GLADE experiment (25) comprised six paired 70 × 70 m total exclusions and unconfined control plots (Fig. 1D), three each in bushy habitat and anthropogenic clearings (glades). Exclusions consisted of wire-mesh fencing from 0 to 50 cm and 11 strands of electrified wires up to 3 m, excluding all herbivores ≥ 1 m, excluding all mammalian herbivores ≥ 5 kg (i.e., dik-dik and everything larger). Fences in the three bushy replicates were maintained from 1999 until mid-2017, when they were removed. The UHURU experiment comprises nine replicate blocks of four treatments (Fig. 1C), three blocks each in southern, central, and northern Mpala (57). Total exclusions (–all), directly analogous to the GLADE exclusions, are surrounded by 1-m tall mesh fences and electrified wires up to 2 m, excluding all herbivores ≥ 5 kg. Mesoherbivore exclusions (–meso) consist of electrified wires and lack mesh, allowing access to herbivores ≥ 1 m but ≤ 3 m; thus, the difference between –all and –meso is effectively the presence of dik-dik, the smallest and most abundant ungulate at Mpala. Megaherbivore exclusions (–mega) consist of electrified wires at 2 m, allowing access to all herbivores except elephant and giraffe; thus, –meso and –mega differ in the presence of multiple ungulate species, but of these, impala are ~15-fold more abundant than any other and account for more biomass than all others combined (54). Unfenced open plots (+all) are marked with wooden posts and are freely accessible to all species. The impact of each herbivore size class can thus be assessed by comparing UHURU treatment pairs, while the net impact of successively larger-bodied herbivore groups can be assessed by comparing each treatment to +all (57).

Consumption of Lianas by Large Herbivores. We used DNA metabarcoding data from 1,322 fecal samples of 33 herbivore species collected at Mpala from 2013 to 2016, which we made publicly available with previous studies (30, 32). Detailed methods are in the original sources. Briefly, for each of the 20 most abundant herbivore species, we analyzed data from 6 to 163 fecal samples per species (total n = 1,176; SI Appendix, Tables 51 and S2). DNA metabarcoding used the trnL–P6 chloroplast marker. Samples were rarefied to an even sequencing depth, and taxonomic assignments were based on a comprehensive reference library of trnL–P6 sequences from locally collected and taxonomically verified specimens (53). These data could not differenti- ate the two Cynanchum species at Mpala, C. viminale and C. gerrardii, which share the same barcode (along with similar ecological habits); plant surveys in UHURU prior to 2014 likewise lumped these taxa, but subsequent data show that C. viminale is ~100-fold more abundant than C. gerrardii. We calculated herbivore metrics of functional composition of liana species in each year from 2016 to 2018 (up to 36 subplots per plot, although not all subplots were surveyed in each year). To obtain a single time-integrated plot-level value of tree density (≥1 m tall) for analysis, we first averaged across subplots in each plot over each year and then averaged these means across the 3 y. To estimate the total number of infested trees in each plot, we multiplied plot-level tree densities by the proportion of trees infested per plot. To estimate tree biomass per plot, we used data from 3,281 permanently tagged trees in UHURU that were measured at least once between 2009 to 2018 (57). We calculated biomass as a function of crown diameter (CD; average of the widest canopy axis and its perpendicular, in m) using the following equation (59):

Mass in kg = (7.49 × CD) – 7.76.

This equation has been used in previous studies from Mpala (25, 45, 46). We then regressed these biomass estimates as a function of height (H, in m) of the same tagged trees, yielding the following linear regression (R² = 0.69, F1,327 = 7224, P < 0.0001):

Mass in kg = (9.093 × H) – 9.10.

For this same set of permanently tagged trees (57), we calculated the mean height (in 2015) for each of the size classes used in the annual censuses of tree density described above: 1 to 2 m (1.61 m), 2 to 3 m (2.52 m), 3 to 4 m (3.48 m), and ≥ 4 m (4.69 m). We plugged these mean height values into the regression of biomass as a function of H, multiplied by the mean density of trees in each size class per plot (calculated as described above for total density), and summed these products across height classes to obtain biomass per plot. We note that the series of conversions and approximations used to estimate biomass inevitably introduces error; thus, although we are confident in the relative comparison of biomass among treatments in UHURU, the absolute values should be regarded with caution. We analyzed tree density and biomass using one-factor ANOVA.

To quantify relative abundance of Cynanchum in the plant community and test for differences in height across treatments, we used data from a canopy-intercept survey (60) in November 2018. At each of the 49 grid stakes in each plot, we placed a telescoping pole on the ground and extended it upwards. We recorded the number of contacts between plants and pole and the species and height of each hit (0 to 600 cm, encompassing the full range of heights accessible to browsers from dik-dik to giraffe). We analyzed Cynanchum pin hits (total and as percent of all species) and mean Cynanchum height using one-factor ANOVA by treatment on square-root-transformed data (excluding the +all treatment from the height analysis, as Cynanchum accounted for just three total pin hits in just two +all plots). To assess Cynanchum in the understory, we used a similar canopy-intercept approach, but with a 10-pin frame at each grid stake (n = 690 pin placements per plot) in each of 20 surveys from 2008 to 2019 (57). We fit an exponential-growth model to mean Cynanchum prevalence in the understory of all plots across surveys. We conducted these canopy-intercept surveys in all 36 UHURU plots (as opposed to just the 12 southern plots used for the other surveys in this study) and lumped C. viminale and C. gerrardii (which we did not distinguish in understory surveys prior to 2014), but C. viminale accounted for 99% of pin hits in both survey types such that C. gerrardii did not influence the overall pattern.

Effects of Herbivore Reintroduction on Liana Cover. We measured percent cover of lianas on 50 haphazardly selected trees within the GLADE – all
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exclosures in August 2016 (before fence removal) and again in July 2017 (−2 mo after fence removal). For comparison, we selected 50 trees from the UHURU plot and measured changes in liana cover from June 2016 to June 2017. We averaged data within each plot (n = 2 plots for GLADE, n = 3 plots for UHURU) and compared the change in liana cover between destructed and intact exclosure experiments with one-factor ANOVA.

Effects of Liana Infestation on Trees. In June 2016, we identified 30 liana-infested and 15 uninfested Acacia trees within the UHURU – all and –mo recorded 15 triplets of nearby individuals matched by species (A. etbaica or Acaica dreranolophium) and height (as closely as possible; mean height disparity 0.46 m). In each triplet, we randomly assigned one infested tree to a liana-removal treatment, which involved manually removing all lianas from the canopy and trunk; the average weight of lianas removed from each tree was 14.1 ± 2.4 kg. We left the other two trees in each triplet as infested and uninfested controls. We measured tree heights after the manipulation (to account for any immediate physical rebound) and again in June 2017. We analyzed change in tree height using a linear mixed-effects model, with treatment as the main effect and triplet identity as a random effect; we estimated the effects of consumption and ecosystem engineering by megaherbivores. Ecology 97, 3219–3230 (2016).
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