ABSTRACT: A study was conducted to determine consumer perceptions of beef top loin steaks of known shear force and to evaluate how buying trends were modified by the tenderness and price variations of these steaks. Strip loins were cut into 2.54-cm-thick steaks, and the center steak from each strip loin was used to determine Warner-Bratzler shear force. The remaining steaks were placed into one of the following shear force categories based on that shear force and color-coded accordingly: 1) 2.27 to 3.58 kg (Red); 2) 4.08 to 5.40 kg (White); and 3) 5.90 to 7.21 kg (Blue). Randomly recruited consumers were allowed to evaluate steaks and then purchase steaks based on their findings. A $1.10/kg price difference was placed between each category. Results of the analysis indicated that consumers were able to differentiate between the three categories of tenderness (P < .05). In addition, consumers gave higher (P < .05) juiciness and flavor ratings to Red steaks than to Blue steaks. Overall satisfaction was higher (P < .05) for Red steaks than for the other two categories of steaks. The following percentages of steaks were purchased: 1) Red, 94.6%; 2) White, 3.6%; and 3) Blue, 1.8%. These results suggest that consumers could discern between categories of tenderness and were willing to pay a premium for improved tenderness.
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Introduction

Findings from the National Beef Tenderness Survey (Morgan et al., 1991) revealed that beef was too variable in tenderness. Consumer studies such as the National Consumer Retail Beef Study (Savell et al., 1987, 1989) have used grades or marbling scores to create different kinds or categories of beef for consumers to evaluate. These kinds or categories of beef have been thought to have different potential palatability characteristics, and the findings of these studies have shown that consumers found various degrees of tenderness within each category. Results of studies such as those reported by Savell et al. (1987, 1989) can be used to recategorize or possibly redraw grade lines, but they do not address whether consumers will be more satisfied, or more importantly, be willing to pay more in the marketplace for beef that is guaranteed tender. Until some measure of what real, not perceived, differences in tenderness are worth to the consumer, there is limited research information to convince those in the beef industry that tenderness is something to search for, manage, and market. Without information regarding economic incentives, most entities within the beef industry will continue to ignore tenderness, and beef will remain variable in eating quality to the consumer. Therefore, this study was designed to determine consumer perceptions of beef top loin steaks of known shear force and to evaluate how buying trends were modified by tenderness and variations of these steaks.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation. Strip loins, IMPS 180A (USDA, 1988), were cut into 2.54-cm-thick steaks with no more than .32 cm of external fat trim and 1.27-cm tails. Steaks with an exposed gluteus medius were eliminated from the study. The steaks were individually vacuum-packaged, frozen, and stored at
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Red (Tender)</th>
<th>White (Intermediate)</th>
<th>Blue (Tough)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without discount, $/kg</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 30% discount, $/kg</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without discount, $/kg</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With 30% discount, $/kg</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aBased on local retail prices.
purchase steaks. Data were collected on the number of steaks purchased from each category.

Statistical Analyses. Analysis of variance was performed using the GLM procedure of SAS (1985). The main effect of shear force category was analyzed for differences in consumer overall satisfaction, tenderness, tenderness satisfaction, juiciness, juiciness satisfaction, flavor, and flavor satisfaction. When analysis of variance indicated significance (P < .05), mean separations were performed using Tukey's test, with a predetermined significance level of P < .05. Class frequencies were compared using the Neyman-Pearson likelihood ratio test in which a general multinomial model was fit under the alternate hypothesis and pairs of class frequencies were sequentially constrained to equality in each null hypothesis.

Results and Discussion

Phase I. Table 2 presents mean scores for palatability evaluations of beef top loin steaks segmented according to Warner-Bratzler shear force.

Table 2. Mean scores for palatability evaluations of beef top loin steaks segmented according to Warner-Bratzler shear force

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Red (Tender)</th>
<th>White (Intermediate)</th>
<th>Blue (Tough)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction</td>
<td>16.91b</td>
<td>14.06c</td>
<td>12.90c</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness</td>
<td>16.61ab</td>
<td>13.66c</td>
<td>11.61d</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness satisfaction</td>
<td>16.51b</td>
<td>13.53c</td>
<td>11.53c</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiciness</td>
<td>16.49b</td>
<td>13.24c</td>
<td>12.53c</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiciness satisfaction</td>
<td>16.43b</td>
<td>13.29c</td>
<td>12.53c</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>15.81b</td>
<td>14.43bc</td>
<td>12.98c</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor satisfaction</td>
<td>16.07b</td>
<td>14.47c</td>
<td>13.34c</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on a 23-point scale: 23 = very satisfied, very tender, very juicy, or very flavorful, and 1 = very dissatisfied, not at all tender, not at all juicy, or no flavor at all.

Means within rows with different superscripts differ (P < .05).

When consumers were asked, “How did you thaw the beef?,” the majority (P < .01) of consumers reported that they thawed the product in the refrigerator the day before they wished to prepare it. The second most (P < .01) common method was reported by 15.9% of the consumers who placed the product in the refrigerator the same day they wished to prepare it. Microwaving the product and allowing the product to thaw at room temperature each accounted for 6.1% of the responses, and 1.7% of the consumers reportedly cooked the product from its frozen state.

When consumers were asked, “What was added to the beef as it was prepared or cooked?,” 60% reported pepper, 47.6% reported herbs or spices, and 45.5% reported salt. Tenderizer, marinade, flour, sauce, and other ingredients were added by 9.8, 8.1, 8, 12.5, and 5.8% of the consumers, respectively. Fourteen percent of the consumers reported that they added nothing to the product as it was prepared or cooked. A higher (P < .01) percentage of consumers added pepper than any other ingredient, and salt or herbs/spices was added more (P < .05) frequently than tenderizer, marinade, flour, sauce, other ingredients, or nothing.

Outdoor grilling was the predominant (P < .01) cooking method used by consumers, representing 66.6% of all steaks cooked, followed by broiling (16.7%), pan frying/sautéing (8.4%), indoor grilling (4.2%), braising (2.1%), deep frying (.8%), other (.8%), and pan braising (.4%). None of the consumers reported cooking the steaks by oven roasting, stir frying, or stewing. Neely et al. (1995) reported similar findings; the most common method of cookery for beef consumers of top loin steaks was outdoor grilling (50%). The slightly higher percentage observed in the present study is most likely due to the mild climate of the location of the study, which is more conducive to outdoor grilling, compared with the climates of the locations used by Neely et al. (1995).

Degree of doneness frequencies revealed that consumers cooked 45.5% of steaks to a medium-well
degree of doneness or higher. The following are frequencies by degree of doneness: very well done, 2.9%; well done, 19.1%; medium well, 23.5%; medium, 28.7%; medium rare, 25.4%; rare, 4%; and very rare, 0%. Steaks were less (P < .01) frequently cooked to a very well, rare, or very rare degree of doneness than to the other degrees of doneness. Additionally, a higher (P < .01) percentage of consumers preferred a medium degree of doneness than a well degree of doneness. These values are comparable to those reported by Neely et al. (1995), with 58% of consumers cooking their steaks to a medium well degree of doneness or higher.

Phases II and III. Table 3 contains the percentages of steaks purchased in Phases II and III. Twenty-eight of the 42 families attended Phase II of the study. Participants in this study were volunteers and were asked to purchase product in Phases II and III, which may have contributed to the loss of some of the participants. Of the 28 families attending Phase II, 19 purchased steaks. A total of 103 steaks was purchased; the following numbers of steaks were purchased from each category: 1) Red, 57; 2) White, 13; and 3) Blue, 33. These numbers correspond to 55.3, 12.6, and 32.0% of the steaks bought for the Red, White, and Blue categories, respectively. The higher (P < .05) percentage of steaks purchased from the Red category than from the White or Blue categories was indicative of the higher tenderness scores given to steaks in this same category. However, the fact that more (P < .01) steaks were purchased from the Blue category than from the White category does not follow the results of the tenderness evaluations. It is possible that the number of Blue steaks purchased was influenced by the amount of fat trim of some of the Blue steaks. Products that met the shear force specifications for this category was difficult to obtain, and it was necessary to use a limited number of steaks with zero fat trim and no tail. Consumer purchasing decisions may have been influenced by the lower fat trim level and marbling of these steaks.

In Phase III, 17 families purchased steaks; 111 steaks were purchased. The purchases were made via telephone communication, without visitation at the retail display. The following numbers were purchased from each category: 1) Red, 105; 2) White, 13; and 3) Blue, 2. These numbers correspond to 94.6, 3.6, and 1.8% of the steaks bought for the Red, White, and Blue categories, respectively. Although a $1.10/kg price difference was placed between each category, purchases continued to reflect the results of the evaluation scores, with a higher (P < .05) percentage of consumers purchasing steaks from the Red category than from the White or Blue categories. The disclosure of the shear force values most likely reinforced consumer purchasing decisions, resulting in the purchase of 94.6% Red steaks. The greater leanness that resulted in the Blue steaks receiving an unexpectedly high purchase rate in Phase II was not a factor in Phase III because consumers purchased by phone. The tenderness characteristics of the three categories were described to the consumers during the telephone conversation; thus, they did not see the leanness difference that they did in Phase II. The results from this study suggest that consumers can detect differences among three categories of tenderness in beef top loin steaks. Additionally, these same consumers were willing to pay a premium for guaranteed tenderness.

Implications

With the ability of consumers to discriminate among tenderness categories and their willingness to pay a premium for tender beef, it is possible that economic incentives may be used from retailers to packers to promote the production, identification, and marketing of tender beef. Future studies must identify the magnitude of price differential that would be paid for specific degree of tenderness and the mechanism for identification of tenderness categories in the live animal or carcass form.

Table 3. Percentages of beef top loin steaks purchased by consumers during initial purchase (Phase II) and repurchase (Phase III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorya</th>
<th>Phase II</th>
<th>Phase III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red (Tender)</td>
<td>55.3b</td>
<td>94.6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Intermediate)</td>
<td>12.6c</td>
<td>3.6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue (Tough)</td>
<td>32.0d</td>
<td>1.8e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aDetermined by Warner-Bratzler shear force.
b,c,dMeans within columns with different superscripts differ (P < .05).
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