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ABSTRACT: In Experiment 1, we evaluated the effects of 2 lengths of progesterone exposure (CIDR; 7 vs. 14 d) before a modified CO-Synch protocol (50.0-µg injection of GnRH 6.5 d before a 25.0-mg injection of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ followed by another injection of GnRH and timed AI 2 d after PGF$_{2\alpha}$), with or without temporary weaning (TW) before GnRH treatments, on fertility of suckled multiparous *Bos indicus* cows (n = 283) and on calf performance. Timed AI (TAI) pregnancy rates for cows receiving 7 d CIDR + TW, 7 d CIDR, 14 d CIDR + TW, and 14 d CIDR were 53%, 47%, 46%, and 41%, respectively ($P > 0.10$). Calves submitted to two 48-h TW 6 d apart had lower mean BW at 240 d (187.9 ± 2.7 vs. 195.5 ± 2.7 kg; $P < 0.05$), but weight at 420 d was not affected by TW (240.1 ± 5.1 kg). In Experiment 2, we evaluated the effect of no treatment and treatment with or without a CIDR insert between GnRH and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ treatments of a modified CO-Synch protocol on pregnancy rate to TAI, and throughout a 90-d breeding season in suckled multiparous *Bos indicus* cows (n = 453). The inclusion of a CIDR between first GnRH and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ treatments of a modified CO-Synch protocol did not improve pregnancy rate (29 and 33% for cows receiving CO-Synch + CIDR and CO-Synch protocol, respectively), and cycling cows had poorer TAI pregnancy rates than anestrous cows treated with either synchronization protocol (21.7 vs. 40.7%; $P < 0.05$). However, regardless of treatment with CIDR, cows submitted to TAI protocol had greater ($P < 0.05$) pregnancy rates at 30 (54.8 vs. 11.2%), 60 (72.1 vs. 38.8%), and 90 d (82.0 vs. 57.9%) of breeding season than untreated cows.
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INTRODUCTION

*Bos indicus* cows have long periods of postpartum anestrous, a short length of estrous behavior, and a high incidence of estrus at night (Pinheiro et al., 1998; Meneghetti and
Vasconcelos, 2008) that contribute to poor reproductive efficiency. Several treatments have been developed recently allowing induction of ovulation in anestrous and cycling cows and artificial insemination without the need for detection of estrus, with positive impacts on reproductive efficiency of beef herds. In a series of studies, Meneghetti et al. (2009) proposed a synchronization of ovulation protocol that used estradiol benzoate + progesterone for synchronization of new follicular wave recruitment, and provided satisfactory rates of ovulation (~ 90%) and pregnancy (~ 50%) in anestrous and cycling Bos indicus cows. Fixed-time AI (TAI) protocols that have the ability to consistently achieve pregnancy rates greater than 50% are essential for increasing the use of AI in commercial beef operations (Johnson and Jones, 2005).

Timed AI protocols using progesterone + estradiol are less expensive and have been shown to be more efficient in postpartum cows under tropical climates than those based on synchronization of follicular wave emergence with GnRH (Baruselli et al., 2002), because the probability of ovulation to a GnRH treatment is lower in anestrous than in cycling cows (Fernandes et al., 2001; Chebel et al., 2006) and in beef than in dairy cows (Vasconcelos et al., 1999; Saldarriaga et al., 2007). A commercial source of estradiol is not available for estrous cycle manipulation in most countries, so other products (e.g. GnRH, LH, or hCG) have been used to induce ovulation of dominant follicles and to synchronize follicular waves. Protocols using GnRH and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ have afforded acceptable results in Bos taurus females (pregnancy rate > 50%; Lamb et al., 2001; Larson et al., 2006), but lower pregnancy rates have been reported for Bos indicus cows (Saldarriaga et al., 2007; Vasconcelos et al., 2009b). We performed 2 experiments to evaluate strategies to improve fertility of postpartum Bos indicus cows submitted to a TAI protocol with GnRH and PGF$_{2\alpha}$. The basis of these strategies were: 1) to improve ovulation rate to the first ovulatory stimulus of the protocol by pre-treating cows with an
intravaginal progesterone insert and temporary weaning (TW), and improve ovulation rate to the second ovulatory stimulus of the protocol with TW (Experiment 1); and 2) to improve fertility after the TAI protocol providing progesterone via an intravaginal release insert between first GnRH and PGF2α treatments (Experiment 2).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Treatments

Both experiments described below were started in the first week of November (spring season in Brazil) on commercial ranches. All animals were suckled multiparous Nelore cows maintained on *Brachiaria brizantha* rotated grazing systems with water and mineral *ad libitum*. Within each experiment, cows were managed in a single group and treatments were administered simultaneously.

**Experiment 1.** The goal of this study was to evaluate the effects of 2 lengths of exposure to progesterone (7 vs. 14 d) before a modified CO-Synch protocol with or without TW before GnRH treatments on the rates of ovulation at first GnRH treatment, ovulation at second GnRH treatment, conception, and pregnancy to TAI in suckled *Bos indicus* cows. We expected that a longer treatment with progesterone would result in more cows having a persistent dominant follicle and consequently, a greater proportion would ovulate to the first GnRH treatment. Cows (n = 283; 35 ± 5 days postpartum; 4 ± 2 parturitions) with BCS at d -8 between 2.75 and 3.25 on a 1 to 5 scale (Houghton et al, 1990) on a ranch at Sao Paulo State were randomly assigned to receive 1 of the following treatments, in a 2 x 2 factorial design (Figure 1): 1) cows received an intravaginal insert containing 1.9 g of progesterone (CIDR; Pfizer Animal Health, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) between d -24 and -10, followed by a 50.0-µg i.m. injection of GnRH (1.0 mL of
Cystorelin, Merial, Paulinia, SP, Brazil) on d -8 (morning), a 25.0-mg i.m. injection of dinoprost tromethamine (PGF$_{2\alpha}$; 5.0 mL of Lutalysin, Pfizer Animal Health) on d -2 (afternoon), and GnRH on d 0 (afternoon; CIDR14; n = 71); 2) cows received a treatment similar to that described for CIDR14 group, with additional 48 h TW between d -10 and -8, and between d -2 and 0 (CIDR14+TW; n = 72); 3) cows received a CIDR insert between d -17 and -10, followed by GnRH on d -8 (morning), PGF$_{2\alpha}$ on d -2 (afternoon), and GnRH on d 0 (afternoon; CIDR7; n = 70); or 4) cows received a treatment similar to that described for CIDR7 group, with additional 48 h TW between d -10 and -8, and between d -2 and 0 (CIDR7+TW; n = 70). The PGF$_{2\alpha}$ treatment was performed 6.5 d after GnRH because in a previous study we found that decreasing this interval resulted in better synchronization rate than 7 d (Vasconcelos et al., 2000). All cows were submitted to TAI (d 0) on the same day. The TAI was performed by 3 AI technicians using semen from 3 sires randomly distributed among treatments. In this and the following experiment, during TW, calves were held in pens without physical contact with their dams, with ad libitum access to water. Immediately after TAI, calves were returned with their dams. Ovarian ultrasound examinations were performed in all cows (Aloka SSD-500 with a 7.5 MHz linear-array transrectal transducer, Tokyo, Japan) on d -24, -17, -10, -8, -2, and 2 (d 0 = TAI). Presence or absence of luteal structures on d -24, -17, and -10 was used to determine cyclicity of cows. Cows were considered anestrus when luteal tissue was absent at all examinations. Comparison of sonograms from d -8 and -2 was used to determine ovulation in response to the first GnRH treatment. Ovulation was considered to have occurred in cows having a CL at d -2 which replaced a >8.0-mm follicle observed on d -8. Comparison of sonograms from d -2 and 2 was used to determine ovulation in response to the second GnRH treatment and the CL regression. Ovulation was considered to have occurred in cows having a >7.5-mm follicle at d -2 and
absence of this follicle at d 2 and corpus luteum regression was considered in cows that had a visible CL on d -2 but did not have a visible CL on d 2. Furthermore, ovarian follicles were measured on d -10, -8, and -2 by averaging the length and width measurements from sonograms. Pregnancy diagnosis was performed 28 d after TAI by ultrasound examination. Conception rate was calculated by dividing the number of pregnant cows on d 28 by the number of cows that ovulated in response to the second GnRH treatment, and pregnancy rate was calculated by dividing the number of pregnant cows on d 28 by the number of treated cows. Calves were weaned at 240 d of age, and were subsequently maintained on Brachiaria brizantha pasture with water and mineral available for ad libitum consumption until 420 d of age. Records of weights at weaning and at 420 d of age were used to evaluate the effect of temporary weaning management on calf performance.

**Experiment 2.** The goal of this study was to evaluate the effect of treatment with a CIDR insert between GnRH and PGF$_2$ treatments of a modified CO-Synch protocol on pregnancy rate to TAI, and the effects of TAI treatments on pregnancy rates throughout a 90-d breeding season in suckled Bos indicus cows. Cows (n = 453; 54 ± 10 days postpartum; 5 ± 3 parturitions; BCS between 3.0 and 4.25) in a ranch at Goias State were randomly assigned to receive 1 of the following treatments (Figure 2): 1) cows were submitted to natural service (1 sire per 50 cows) between d 0 and 90 of breeding season (Control; n = 152); 2) cows received a 48 h TW between d -10 and -8 of breeding season, followed by GnRH on d -8, PGF$_2$ on d -2, and TW between d -2 and 0; on d 0 (48 h after PGF$_2$ treatment) cows received GnRH, were inseminated, and reunited with their calves immediately after insemination (GPG+TW; n = 150); or 3) Cows received the same treatment described for GPG+TW group, with addition of a CIDR insert between first GnRH (d -8) and PGF$_2$ (d -2) treatments (GPG+TW+CIDR; n = 151). Cows from
GPG+TW and GPG+TW+CIDR groups were artificially inseminated the same day by 2 AI technicians randomly distributed among treatments using semen from a single sire and further exposed to natural service between d 14 and 90 of breeding season, with the same sire:cow ratio used in the control group. Blood samples were collected on d -20 and -10 from coccygeal vein of all cows into Vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ) to evaluate cyclicity by progesterone concentration analysis. Blood was allowed to clot at 4°C for 24 h and was centrifuged at 1500 x g for 15 min at room temperature. Serum was removed and frozen at -20°C until assays were performed. Concentrations of progesterone were determined in 6 assays using a solid-phase radioimmunoassay kit containing antibody-coated tubes and ¹²⁵I-labelled progesterone (Coat-a-count, Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay sensitivity was 0.01 ng·mL⁻¹, the intra-assay CVs were 13.8, 8.4, 4.7, 8.7, 7.4, and 8.3%, and the interassay CV was 4.6%. A cow was considered anestrous if serum progesterone concentrations were < 1.0 ng·mL⁻¹ in both collections. Rectal palpation examinations were performed at d 44 in GPG+TW and GPG+TW+CIDR groups to evaluate the pregnancy rate of TAI (PRTAI), and at d 74, 104, and 134 in all cows to evaluate the pregnancy rates at 30 (PR30), 60 (PR60), and 90 d (PR90) of breeding season, respectively.

**Statistical Analyses**

Both experiments were completely randomized designs. Baseline comparisons for parity, BCS, and days postpartum were previously conducted to compare treatment groups using PROC ANOVA of SAS program (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), and the distributions of cows by parity, BCS, and days postpartum were similar among treatments in both experiments.
Binomially distributed data were analyzed using PROC LOGISTIC of SAS. Explanatory variables such as treatment, cyclicity, sire (semen), and AI technician were used in the model as classes. Explanatory variables considered covariates, such as days postpartum and BCS, were previously submitted to univariate analysis, and when found to be significant were included in the multivariate models. In the final logistic regression models, variables were removed by a backward elimination (according to the Wald’s criterion) when \( P > 0.2 \). In Experiment 1, for analysis of ovulation rate in response to the first GnRH treatment (OvGnRH1), the final model included the effects of length of CIDR treatment (LCIDR), temporary weaning (TW), cyclicity, and the interactions LCIDR x TW and LCIDR x cyclicity; for analysis of ovulation rate in response to the second GnRH treatment, conception, and pregnancy rate, the final models included the effects of LCIDR, TW, cyclicity, OvGnRH1, and the interactions LCIDR x TW and LCIDR x cyclicity. When interactions were significant, means were compared by orthogonal contrasts (Contrast 1: effect of cyclicity in cows treated with CIDR for 7 d; Contrast 2: effect of cyclicity in cows treated with CIDR for 14 d; Contrast 3: effect of length of CIDR treatment in anestrous cows; Contrast 4: effect of length of CIDR treatment in cycling cows). In Experiment 2, a high correlation was found between BCS and cyclicity, which caused multicollinearity, and only cyclicity remained in the model. For analysis of PRTAI, PR30, PR60, and PR90, the final models included the effects of treatment, cyclicity, and the interaction between treatment and cyclicity. When effects of treatments on a dependent variable were significant, means were compared by orthogonal contrasts (Contrast 1: "Control" vs. "GPG+TW" + "GPG+TW+CIDR"; Contrast 2: "GPG+TW" vs. "GPG+TW+CIDR").

Continuous data (Experiment 1) were analyzed by the PROC GLM of SAS program. Explanatory variables such as treatment and cyclicity were used in the model as classes. A
previous test for normality of residues (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variances (F-max test) indicated that variables fulfilled the assumptions for analysis of variance. For the least squares analysis of variance models, all 2-way interactions were tested and variables having $P > 0.2$ were removed from the final models. For analysis of follicular diameter on d -10, the final model included the effects of LCIDR, cyclicity, and the interaction between LCIDR and cyclicity; for the analysis of follicular diameter on d -8 and follicular growth between d -10 and -8, the final models included the effects of LCIDR, TW, cyclicity, and the interactions LCIDR x TW and LCIDR x cyclicity; for analysis of follicular diameter on d -2, the final model included the effects of LCIDR, TW, cyclicity, OvGnRH1, and the interactions LCIDR x TW and LCIDR and cyclicity. When interactions were significant, means were compared by the following orthogonal contrasts: C1: effect of cyclicity in cows treated with CIDR for 7 d; C2: effect of cyclicity in cows treated with CIDR for 14 d; C3: effect of length of CIDR treatment in anestrous cows; C4: effect of length of CIDR treatment in cycling cows. For the analysis of calf performance data (body weight at 240 d, body weight at 420 d, body weight gain from Day 0 to 240, body weight gain from d 0 to 420, body weight gain from d 240 to 420, average daily body weight gain from d 0 to 240, average daily body weight gain from d 0 to 420, and average daily body weight gain from d 240 to 420), the final models included the effects of TW, calf gender, and the interaction TW x calf gender.

For all experiments and dependent variables, differences were considered significant when $P < 0.05$, whereas tendencies were considered when $0.1 < P \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

Experiment 1
There was an interaction between cyclicity and length of CIDR treatment on follicular diameter on d -10 and on follicular growth between d -10 and -8 ($P < 0.05$; Table 1). Follicle diameter on d -8 was affected by cyclicity (anestrous: $12.87 \pm 0.14$ mm; cycling: $11.50 \pm 0.34$ mm; $P < 0.05$; Table 1) and length of CIDR treatment (7 d: $11.70 \pm 0.21$ mm; 14 d: $12.68 \pm 0.24$ mm; $P < 0.05$; Tables 1 and 2), but not by TW ($P > 0.1$; Table 2). Follicular diameter on d -2 was not affected ($10.89 \pm 0.14$ mm; $P > 0.1$) by any of the analyzed explanatory variables.

Ovulation in response to the first GnRH treatment was affected by cyclicity (anestrous: $94.0\%$ [219/233]; cycling: $78.0\%$ [39/50]; $P < 0.05$; Table 1) and TW (with TW: $94.4\%$ [134/142]; without TW: $87.9\%$ [124/141]; $P < 0.05$; Table 2). Corpus luteum regression rate of cows that ovulated to the first GnRH was $93.8\%$ (242/258). Ovulation to the first GnRH treatment affected ($P < 0.05$) the ovulation rate to the second GnRH treatment ($98.1\%$ [253/258] vs. $64.0\%$ [16/25], and tended ($P < 0.1$) to affect pregnancy rate ($48.1\%$ [124/258] vs. $32.0\%$ [8/25]) for cows that ovulated and did not ovulate to the first GnRH treatment, respectively. Follicular diameter on d -2 and conception rate were not affected by any of the analyzed explanatory variables ($10.81 \pm 0.18$ mm and $49.1\%$ [132/269], respectively; $P > 0.1$). Pregnancy rate was not affected by treatments or cyclicity ($P > 0.1$; Tables 1 and 2).

Body weights (240 and 420 d), body weight gains (0 to 240 d, 0 to 420 d, and 240 to 420 d), and average daily body weight gains (0 to 240 d, 0 to 420 d, and 240 to 420 d) were affect by calf gender ($P < 0.05$; Table 3). Temporary weaning affected body weight at 240 d, body weight gains (0 to 240 d and 240-420 d), and average daily body weight gains (0 to 240 d and 240 to 420 d; $P < 0.05$; Table 3).

*Experiment 2*
Pregnancy rate to TAI was affected by cyclicity (Table 3; $P < 0.05$), but no effects of treatment (Table 4; $P > 0.1$) and treatment x cyclicity were detected (GPG+TW/Anestrous: 39.5%; GPG+TW/Cycling: 19.5%; GPG+TW+CIDR/Anestrous: 41.6%; GPG+TW+CIDR/Cycling: 24.6%; $P > 0.1$). Pregnancy rates at 30, 60, and 90 d of breeding season were affected by treatment and cyclicity (Table 4; $P < 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

In this series of studies, pre-synchronization with a CIDR device either for 7 or 14 d in postpartum Nelore cows afforded rates of ovulation to the first and second GnRH treatments with synchronization of ovulation greater than previous reports in beef cows. In addition, pre-synchronization with a CIDR device either for 7 or 14 d provided similar synchronized ovulation rates to the second GnRH injection in anestrous (95.3%) and cycling (94.0%) Nelore cows. Also, the inclusion of a CIDR between the first GnRH and PGF$_{2alpha}$ treatments did not improve pregnancy rates in postpartum Nelore cows submitted to a modified CO-Synch protocol.

Protocols for TAI involving treatments with GnRH and PGF$_{2alpha}$ have been extensively studied in *Bos taurus* cows (Thompson et al., 1999; Geary et al., 2001; Lamb et al., 2001; Larson et al., 2006), but few studies have been performed in *Bos indicus* cattle (Williams et al., 2002; Hiers et al., 2003; Saldarriaga et al., 2007; Vasconcelos et al., 2009b). Synchronization of follicular waves is critical for the success of ovulation synchronization programs that utilize TAI (Saldarriaga et al., 2007). In Experiment 1, we used progesterone treatment and TW as strategies to stimulate follicular development prior to the first GnRH treatment, based on a previous study (Stock and Fortune, 1993) in which cows treated with a CIDR insert had prolonged growth of the dominant follicle. Results from Experiment 1 indicated that CIDR14 created a larger ovulatory
follicle than CIDR7 treatment, but no greater ovulatory response at the first GnRH treatment. Furthermore, in cows from CIDR7 treatment, follicular diameter on d -10 was greater in anestrous than in cycling animals, but no effects of cyclicity were observed in cows submitted to treatment with CIDR for 14 d. This interaction between length of CIDR treatment and cyclicity may have been due to an inhibition of LH pulses after the initial rise in circulating progesterone concentration following CIDR insertion, causing follicular turnover in some cycling cows having a dominant follicle at the time of CIDR insertion. Also, the combination between endogenous (CL) and exogenous (CIDR) progesterone during the protocol may have a negative impact on LH secretion, reducing the development of the dominant follicle (Stock and Fortune, 1993; Dias et al., 2009). The lack of a negative effect of cyclicity on follicular diameter on d -10 in cows treated with CIDR for 14 d may have been due to the fact that more cycling cows in the 14 d CIDR treatment group would have experienced spontaneous luteolysis and had older follicles present on their ovary at d -10. Interestingly, the ovulation rate to the first GnRH was found to be low (70%) in cycling cows treated with CIDR for 7 d. It is possible that in this category, insertion of the CIDR for pre-synchronization caused turnover of the dominant follicle (Sock and Fortune, 1993) and decreased subsequent LH pulse frequency, in a manner that reduced the rate of growth of the newly recruited follicle after deviation. Alternatively, comparing the ovulation rate of cyclic cows receiving CIDR14 with CIDR7, it is likely that more CIDR14 cows underwent luteolysis earlier on their own and the progesterone from the CIDR itself allowed continued growth of the last dominant follicle but prevented its turnover. When a single treatment with GnRH is performed, a lower ovulation rate is expected in anestrous than in cycling cows. Our results indicated that pre-synchronizing with progesterone prior to the first
GnRH injection was an effective strategy to improve ovulation rate, since anestrous cows ovulated in a greater proportion than cycling cows (94 vs. 78%).

Several studies have indicated that the addition of temporary weaning to synchronization protocols improved fertility (Geary et al., 2001; Vasconcelos et al., 2009a,b) due to an increase in LH pulse frequency (Mackey et al., 2000). In our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the effects of temporary weaning in cows pre-synchronized with progesterone. In Experiment 1, in which cows were pre-synchronized with a CIDR, temporary weaning did not directly affect follicular development prior to the onset of TAI protocol, but improved the ovulation rate to the first GnRH treatment, and thus may have indirectly affected follicular development of the follicle that ovulated to the second GnRH treatment. It is possible that cows submitted to TW had a greater induced-LH peak after GnRH treatment, as observed by Smith et al. (1983). The positive effect of TW on ovulation rate to the first GnRH treatment in CIDR7 cows was probably because their follicles were in the growth phase of development, whereas follicles in CIDR14 cows were more likely to be at the plateau phase. Thus, pre-synchronization with CIDR for 14 d may be used as an alternative to TW. The lack of effect of TW on ovulation rate to the second GnRH is in contrast to Vasconcelos et al. (2009b), and this may be attributed to the high rates of ovulation to the first GnRH and, thereby, follicular wave synchronization. Because there was a high ovulation rate to the first GnRH treatment in Experiment 1 and the interval between GnRH and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ treatments was 6.5 d, incomplete luteolysis could be a factor that could negatively affect the efficiency of the protocol. However, the rate of corpus luteum regression was 93.8%, in agreement with Saldarriaga et al. (2007), who reported a 93% rate of luteal regression in cows treated with PGF$_{2\alpha}$ 7 d after GnRH. These factors together contributed, in Experiment 1, to the achievement of synchronization rates greater than has been reported in beef and dairy cows.
(Vasconcelos et al., 1999; Moreira et al., 2001; Vasconcelos et al., 2001; Vasconcelos et al., 2009b). The decision of whether to use long-term treatment with CIDR or use short-term treatment with CIDR plus TW should consider the cost of inserts and the effects of TW on calf performance. In Experiment 1, TW reduced calf performance during the suckling period but did not affect it at 420 d. Producers who maintain ownership of calves to advanced ages could expect compensatory growth in TW calves as observed in Experiment 1.

In experiment 2, we hypothesized that postpartum Nelore cows receiving a CIDR between GnRH and PGF$_{2a}$ treatments would have improved pregnancy rates to TAI based on previous studies in *Bos taurus* cows indicating that exogenous treatment with progesterone associated with GnRH-based synchronization protocols improved fertility in anestrous females (Thompson et al., 1999; Lamb et al., 2001; Stevenson et al., 2003; Larson et al., 2006). This positive effect of progesterone in anestrous cows may be attributed to exposure to circulating levels of progesterone that potentially improved follicular development and the likelihood of ovulation to the second GnRH treatment or to prevention of premature luteolysis in cows that did not ovulate to the first GnRH treatment (Anderson et al., 1996; Imwalle et al., 1998; Sá Filho et al., 2009), or both. Although Lamb et al. (2001) reported no beneficial effect of CIDR treatment on pregnancy rates to TAI in cyclic cows with circulating concentrations of progesterone >1.0 ng·mL$^{-1}$ at PGF$_{2a}$, a positive effect of CIDR treatment was realized among cows in which the protocol was initiated at late stages of their estrous cycle and was likely due to prevention of premature ovulation. In Experiment 2, the percentage of cycling cows was 51%, but no effect of CIDR treatment on pregnancy rate at TAI was detected, and anestrous cows had greater pregnancy rate at TAI than cycling cows regardless of CIDR treatment (40.7 vs. 21.7%). In anestrous Nelore cows synchronized with GnRH (d 0), PGF$_{2a}$ (d 7), and estradiol benzoate (EB;
TW starting 48 h before GnRH treatment increased the follicular diameter and ovulation rate, whereas in cycling cows these effects were not observed (Vasconcelos et al., 2009b). Because in Experiment 2 all cows were exposed to TW, it is likely that the ovulation rate to the first GnRH treatment was improved in anestrous but not in cycling cows, in a manner that it affected pregnancy rates. Body condition score could not be maintained in the final models because it was confounding with cyclicity. However, this high correlation between BCS and cyclicity indicates that BCS can be used as a practical tool to predict the percentage of cycling cows and results to synchronization protocols.

A lack of effect of CIDR in Bos indicus-influenced cows submitted to a GnRH-based protocol had been previously observed (Saldarriaga et al., 2007). It is possible that cows treated with CIDR had a lower ovulation rate to the first GnRH, but this response was not evaluated in the current experiment. Although the stores of LH are completely restored to normal levels in the pituitary by 15 to 20 d postpartum (Lamming et al., 1981), Saldarriaga et al. (2007) observed a greater release of LH after a GnRH treatment in anestrous than in cycling cows and Williams et al. (1982) reported a greater magnitude of LH release after GnRH treatment in suckled than in nonsuckled cows. Also, Stevenson et al. (2000) reported rates of induced ovulation between 38 and 49% when cows were treated only with GnRH, whereas ovulation rates of cows receiving a norgestomet implant at the time of GnRH treatment were between 17 and 28%. Thus, the lower fertility observed in cycling cows from Experiment 2 might have been from decreased ovulation rates to the first GnRH treatment (negative effect of progesterone from CL + CIDR on first GnRH-induced LH peak, a lack of TW effect, or both), and the ovulation rate to the second GnRH due to negative effect of progesterone (from original CL + CL from ovulation to the first GnRH + CIDR) on follicular development during the protocol (Dias et al., 2009). However,
regardless of treatment, TAI protocol reduced the mean interval between calving and conception, and improved pregnancy rates throughout the breeding season. Although data from Experiments 1 and 2 cannot be compared, the use of progesterone to pre-synchronize postpartum *Bos indicus* beef cows appears to result in better results than the use of progesterone between GnRH and PGF$_{2a}$ treatments of the CO-Synch protocol.

In conclusion, in postpartum Nelore cows pre-synchronized with CIDR, temporary weaning improved ovulation rate to the first GnRH treatment of a modified CO-Synch protocol. Because pregnancy rates at TAI were not affected by length of CIDR treatment (7 vs. 14 d), treatment CIDR7$+$TW, which is less expensive and shorter in duration, appears to be the best choice to achieve a pregnancy rate benchmark of 50%. Calves submitted to two 48-h TW 6 d apart had lower mean BW at 240 d, but those treatments did not affect BW at 420 d. The inclusion of a CIDR between the first GnRH and PGF$_{2a}$ treatments of a modified CO-Synch protocol with two TW did not improve TAI pregnancy rate.
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Table 1. Follicular diameters on d -10, -8, and -2, follicular growth between d -10 and -8, ovulation rates to the first and second GnRH treatments, conception, and pregnancy rate according to length of CIDR treatment (7 or 14 d) and cyclicity in postpartum multiparous Nelore cows submitted to a modified CO-Synch protocol (Experiment 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>CIDR 7 d</th>
<th>CIDR 14 d</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anestrous</td>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>Anestrous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. diameter (d -10)2, mm</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. diameter (d -8)3, mm</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. growth (d -10 to -8)4, mm</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulation rate (1st GnRH)5, %</td>
<td>94.7 (107/113)</td>
<td>70.0 (21/30)</td>
<td>93.3 (112/120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. diameter (day -2)6, mm</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulation rate (2nd GnRH)7, %</td>
<td>95.6 (108/113)</td>
<td>93.3 (28/30)</td>
<td>95.0 (114/120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conception rate8, %</td>
<td>53.7 (58/108)</td>
<td>46.4(13/28)</td>
<td>45.6 (52/114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy rate9, %</td>
<td>51.3 (58/113)</td>
<td>43.3 (13/30)</td>
<td>43.3 (52/120)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Cows were diagnosed as anestrous or cyclic before the treatment period by two ultrasound examinations 7 d apart. Cows were treated with a CIDR either for 7 d (d –17 to -10) or for 14 d (d -14 to -10) in a modified CO-Synch protocol (GnRH on d -8; PGF$_{2\alpha}$ on d -2; GnRH on d 0).

2 Mean diameter (mm) of the largest follicle on d -10.

3 Mean diameter (mm) of the largest follicle on d -8.

4 Average (mm) of diameter of the largest follicle on d -10 subtracted from diameter of the largest follicle on d -8.

5 Percentage of cows ovulating after 1st GnRH compared to all cows treated.

6 Mean diameter (mm) of the largest follicle on d -2.

7 Percentage of cows ovulating after 2nd GnRH compared to all cows treated.

8 Percentage of cows pregnant to TAI compared to cows that ovulated.

9 Percentage of cows pregnant to TAI compared to all cows treated.

* Contrast 1 (effect of cyclicity in cows treated with CIDR for 7 d): P < 0.05; Contrast 2 (effect of cyclicity in cows treated with CIDR for 14 d): P > 0.1; Contrast 3 (effect of length of CIDR treatment in anestrous cows): P < 0.05; Contrast 4 (effect of length of CIDR treatment in cycling cows): P < 0.05.

** Contrast 1: P > 0.1; Contrast 2: P < 0.05; Contrast 3: P < 0.05; Contrast 4: P < 0.05.
Table 2. Follicular diameters on d -10, -8, and -2, follicular growth between d -10 and -8, ovulation rates to the first and second GnRH treatments, conception, and pregnancy rate according to length of CIDR (7 or 14 d) and temporary weaning treatments in postpartum multiparous Nelore cows submitted to a modified CO-Synch protocol (Experiment 1)¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>CIDR 7 d</th>
<th>CIDR 14 d</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With TW</td>
<td>Without TW</td>
<td>With TW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. diameter (d -10)², mm</td>
<td>10.84 ± 0.24</td>
<td>10.75 ± 0.24</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. diameter (d -8)³, mm</td>
<td>12.22 ± 0.27</td>
<td>11.96 ± 0.27</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. growth (d -10 to -8)⁴, mm</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulation rate (1ˢᵗ GnRH)⁵, %</td>
<td>94.4 (68/72)</td>
<td>84.5 (60/71)</td>
<td>94.3 (66/70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foll. diameter (day -2)⁶, mm</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulation rate (2ⁿᵈ GnRH)⁷, %</td>
<td>95.8 (69/72)</td>
<td>94.4 (67/71)</td>
<td>97.1 (68/70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conception rate⁸, %</td>
<td>55.1 (38/69)</td>
<td>49.2 (33/67)</td>
<td>47.1 (32/68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy rate⁹, %</td>
<td>52.8 (38/72)</td>
<td>46.5 (33/71)</td>
<td>45.7 (32/70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Cows were treated with a CIDR either for 7 d (d -17 to -10) ± two TW (d -10 to -8, and d -2 to 0), or for 14 d (d -14 to -10) ± two TW in a modified CO-Synch protocol (GnRH on d -8; PGF₂α on d -2; GnRH on d 0).
² Mean diameter (mm) of the largest follicle on d -10.
³ Mean diameter (mm) of the largest follicle on d -8.
⁴ Average (mm) of diameter of the largest follicle on d -10 subtracted from diameter of the largest follicle on d -8.
⁵ Percentage of cows ovulating after 1ˢᵗ GnRH compared to all cows treated.
⁶ Mean diameter (mm) of the largest follicle on d -2.
⁷ Percentage of cows ovulating after 2ⁿᵈ GnRH compared to all cows treated.
⁸ Percentage of cows pregnant to TAI compared to cows that ovulated.
⁹ Percentage of cows pregnant to TAI compared to all cows treated.
Table 3. Body weights (240 and 420 d), body weight gains (0 to 240 d, 0 to 420 d, and 240 to 420 d), and average daily body weight gains (0 to 240 d, 0 to 420 d, and 240 to 420 d) of calves submitted or not to two 48-h temporary weanings at 39-68 d old (Experiment 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Calf gender</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Temporary weaning</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight at 240 d, Kg</td>
<td>199.53 ± 1.58</td>
<td>183.27 ± 1.59</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>187.85 ± 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain 0-240 d, Kg</td>
<td>169.17 ± 1.58</td>
<td>152.91 ± 1.59</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>157.51 ± 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily gain 0-240 d, Kg·day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight at 420 d, Kg</td>
<td>254.43 ± 3.12</td>
<td>225.31 ± 3.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>239.26 ± 5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain 0-420 d, Kg</td>
<td>224.12 ± 3.12</td>
<td>195.46 ± 3.04</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>208.94 ± 5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily gain 0-420 d, Kg·day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain 240-420 d, Kg</td>
<td>54.90 ± 2.98</td>
<td>42.04 ± 2.71</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>51.41 ± 2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily gain 240-420 d, Kg·day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{1}$Calves were submitted or not to two 48 h TW 6 d apart. At first TW, calves were 39-60 d old, and 47-68 d old at the second TW.
Table 4. Pregnancy rates at TAI, 30, 60, and 90 d of breeding season in postpartum multiparous Nelore cows submitted or not to a modified CO-Synch protocol (Experiment 2)\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Cyclicly</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>GPG+TW</td>
<td>GPG+TW+CIDR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preg. rate to TAI(^2), %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.7 (49/150)</td>
<td>28.5 (43/151)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preg. rate (30 d of BS)(^3), %</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2 (17/152)</td>
<td>50.0 (75/150)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preg. rate (60 d of BS)(^4), %</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.8 (59/152)</td>
<td>66.7 (100/150)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preg. rate (90 d of BS)(^5), %</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.9 (88/152)</td>
<td>78.7 (118/150)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anestrous</td>
<td>40.7 (57/140)</td>
<td>21.7 (35/161)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>36.5 (81/222)</td>
<td>43.7 (101/231)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.9 (122/222)</td>
<td>67.5 (156/231)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73.0 (162/222)</td>
<td>74.9 (173/231)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Cows were diagnosed as anestrous or cyclic before the treatment period by two evaluations of serum progesterone concentrations 10 d apart. Cows received one of the following treatments: Control - natural service between d 0 and 90 of breeding season; GPG+TW - cows received a modified CO-Synch protocol (GnRH on d -8; PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) on d – 2; GnRH on d 0) associated to two TW (d -10 to -8, and d -2 to 0); or GPG+TW+CIDR - cows received the same protocol described for GPG+TW, associated to a 6 d CIDR treatment (d -8 to -2).

\(^2\) Percentage of cows pregnant to TAI compared to all cows treated.

\(^3\) Percentage of cows pregnant at 30 d of breeding season compared to all cows treated.

\(^4\) Percentage of cows pregnant at 60 d of breeding season compared to all cows treated.

\(^5\) Percentage of cows pregnant at 90 d of breeding season compared to all cows treated.

* Contrast 1 ("Control" vs. "GPG+TW" + "GPG+TW+CIDR"): P < 0.05; Contrast 2 ("GPG+TW" vs. "GPG+TW+CIDR"): P > 0.1.
Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the treatments in Experiment 1. CIDR: treatment with an intravaginal insert containing 1.9 g of progesterone; TW: temporary weaning during 48 h; US: ultrasound examination (ovaries: d -24, d -17, d -10, d -8, d -2, and d 2; pregnancy diagnosis: d 28); GnRH: 50.0 µg i.m. injection of gonadorelin; PGF$_{2a}$: 25.0 mg i.m. injection of dinoprost tromethamine; TAI: Fixed-time artificial insemination.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the treatments in Experiment 2. TW: temporary weaning during 48 h; GnRH: 50.0 µg i.m. injection of gonadorelin; PGF$_{2a}$: 25.0 mg i.m. injection of dinoprost tromethamine; TAI: Fixed-time artificial insemination; CIDR: treatment with an intravaginal insert containing 1.9 g of progesterone; BS: blood sampling; RP: rectal palpation examination.