

APRIL 2007
 VOLUME 26
 ISSUE 1

INSIDE THIS ISSUE

Upcoming Events 4

Irrigated Agriculture is an Engine
 for Economic Activity in Rural
 Communities 5

Meet the Faculty 6

Limited Irrigation Alfalfa 7

Estimated Yield of Some Alternative
 Crops Under Varying Irrigation
 in Northeast Colorado 8

Yield Impact of Growth Stage
 Irrigation of Sunflowers 10

The Effects of Drip Irrigation
 on Corn Yield and Soil Salinity
 in the Arkansas Valley 12

Effects of Water Stress on Corn
 Production 14

Limited Irrigation and Crop Rotation
 Project Studies Methods to Reduce
 Consumptive Use 16

USDA – Agricultural Research Service
 Initiates Limited Irrigation Project 17

Limited and Full Irrigation Comparison
 for Corn and Grain Sorghum 18


Research/Outreach Team to Develop
 Rural-Urban Water Model 19

Limited Irrigation References and
 Resources on the Web 20

FROM THE GROUND UP

Agronomy News

**Limited Irrigation Management –
 Getting the Most Crop per Drop**



Principles and Practices

by Joel Schneekloth, Extension Northern Region Water Resource Specialist

Colorado producers irrigate approximately three million acres of pasture, hay, and row crops yielding receipts worth more than five billion dollars per year. However, irrigation water availability for these enterprises is declining. Dwindling agricultural water supplies due to drought,

compact compliance requirements, urban transfers, alluvial well pumping restrictions, and declining ground water from non-renewable aquifers has reduced the water available to irrigated agriculture. These water shortages have been occurring in almost every irrigated watershed and

**Colorado
 State**
 University
 Cooperative
 Extension

Colorado State University, U.S. Department of Agriculture and Colorado counties cooperating. Extension programs are available to all without discrimination. The information given herein is supplied with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by Colorado State University Extension is implied.

Estimated Yield of Some Alternative Crops Under Varying Irrigation in Northeast Colorado

by David C. Nielsen, Research Agronomist, USDA-ARS, Central Great Plains Research Station

Much of the irrigated acres in northeastern Colorado are devoted to corn grain production. Diversifying irrigated agricultural production in this region could result in water savings if alternative crops were grown that have lower water requirements than corn. Making such crop choice decisions initially requires knowledge of how yields of new crops respond to water.

Over a number of years, water use/production functions have been developed at the Central Great Plains Research Station near Akron. Such functions predict yield based on a linear relationship between total water use and crop yield. Water use is considered to be the sum of soil water extracted from the soil by the crop, growing season precipitation, and irrigation applied during the growing season. Production functions for three oilseeds, four legumes, three forages, and corn grain are shown in Table 1. These 11 production functions (along with six others) are available for easy use in a simple Excel spreadsheet (the Central Great Plains Yield Calculator, available from the author) that also includes average growing season precipitation for 15 locations in eastern Colorado, western Nebraska, and western Kansas. The calculator assumes that water is the controlling factor for yield, and that other factors (such as date of planting, fertility, weed control, insect control, timing of precipitation and irrigation, and harvest efficiency) are optimal. The calculator also assumes that there are no significant weather influences such as hail, frosts, or excessive wind that would adversely affect yield.

Using the Yield Calculator can give a farmer an idea about the yield response of

an alternative crop to the irrigation water that he would apply. Table 2 shows estimated yields for the crops whose production functions were given in Table 1 for four irrigation levels and assuming 6 inches of water was used from the soil. Average growing season precipitation was assumed for three locations in northeastern Colorado (Briggsdale, Limon, and Wray).

Oilseed Response to Irrigation

Of the three oilseed crops shown in Table 1, canola exhibits the largest response to water (175 lb/a/inch) while safflower shows the smallest response (121 lb/a/inch). Predicted yields at Briggsdale range from 1568 lb/a with 3 inches of irrigation to 3145 lb/a with 12 inches of irrigation.

Yields at all irrigation levels are lower for safflower and sunflower compared with canola, and greater in Limon and Wray compared with Briggsdale as precipitation increases moving west to east. The highest predicted yield (3548 lb/a) comes from canola grown at Wray with 12 inches of irrigation.

Legume Response to Irrigation

Legume seed response to water ranges from 148 lb/a/inch for soybean to 240 lb/a/inch for chickpea (Table 1). With 3 inches of irrigation, the greatest legume seed yield at Briggsdale was predicted for pea (2598 lb/a) and the least from dry bean (1823 lb/a). With 12 inches of irrigation, the greatest seed yield was predicted for chickpea (4645 lb/a). As with predicted oilseed yield,

Table 1. Production functions used in the Central Great Plains Yield Calculator for three oilseed crops, four legumes, three forage crops, and corn.

Crop	Production function
Oilseeds	
canola	lb/a = 175.2*(inches water use - 6.22)
safflower	lb/a = 121.4*(inches water use - 3.02)
sunflower	lb/a = 150.6*(inches water use - 6.88)
Legumes	
pea	lb/a = 181.4*(inches water use - 0.85)
chickpea	lb/a = 240.4*(inches water use - 5.80)
soybean	lb/a = 148.1*(inches water use - 0.68)
dry bean	lb/a = 193.0*(inches water use - 5.50)
Forages	
forage triticale	lb/a = 748.4*(inches water use - 3.39)
foxtail millet	lb/a = 664.4*(inches water use - 3.07)
corn silage	lb/a = 548.8*(inches water use - 5.31)
Starchy Grain	
corn	lb/a = 582.2*(inches water use - 9.13)