



United States Department of Agriculture  
Research, Education and Economics



Agricultural Research Service  
Date: 6/18/2010

# Natural Resources Research Update

ARS would like your feedback as to the value of this technical update. You can provide feedback about this specific update by responding to this email or send your comments to [NRRU.update@ars.usda.gov](mailto:NRRU.update@ars.usda.gov) and refer to technical update number: 252267

Title: Carbon and nitrogen pools in soil aggregates separated by dry and wet sieving methods

Contributing scientist: U.M. Sainju

Location: USDA-ARS, Northern Plains Agricultural Research Laboratory, Sidney, Montana.

Soil aggregation influences conservation and mineralization of C and N but aggregate separation method may influence levels of aggregate size distribution and quantification of C and N pools. Aggregate size distribution and soil organic C (SOC), soil total N (STN), particulate organic C and N (POC and PON), microbial biomass C and N (MBC and MBN), potential C and N mineralization (PCM and PNM),  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ , and  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  concentrations in aggregates separated by dry and wet sieving methods were compared. The PCM, PNM, MBC, and MBN are considered as active pools, SOC and STN as slow pools, POC and PON as intermediate pools, and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  as available pools. Aggregate separation was made in soil samples from 0 to 5 and 5 to 20 cm depths with various properties and cropping systems in the northern Great Plains. Aggregate amount was higher in dry than in wet sieving in the 4.75-2.00 mm size class but the amount was higher in wet than in dry sieving in the 2.00-0.25 mm class in all sites, except Sidney. In cultivated soil, no definite trends in C pools in aggregates were observed between sieving methods. In no-till grassland soil, C pools were higher in dry than in wet sieving in the <0.25 mm but the trend reversed in the >2.00 mm fractions. In all fractions, active and available N pools were 2- to 30-fold higher in dry than in wet sieving, probably due to loss of water soluble N during wet sieving. Both C and N pools, except active C pools, in aggregates were usually higher in the <0.25 mm than in the other fractions, regardless of sieving methods. Aggregate size distribution and C and N pools determined by dry and wet sieving were well correlated, except for active N pools. Dry sieving of moist soil (water content around 25% field capacity) can be used as a rapid and reliable method of separating soil aggregates for determining C and N pools compared with wet sieving which reduces microbial activities and N mineralization due to the destruction of physical habitat of microbial communities in aggregates and excludes water soluble C and N pools (Sainju, 2006).

Sainju, U.M. 2006. Carbon and nitrogen pools in soil aggregates separated by dry and wet sieving methods. *Soil Science* 172:937-949.

For more information contact Dr. Robert Evans ([Robert.evans@ars.usda.gov](mailto:Robert.evans@ars.usda.gov))

As the principal in-house research arm of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Agricultural Research Service has a mission to: Conduct research to develop and transfer solutions to agricultural problems of high national priority and provide information access and dissemination to ensure high-quality, safe food, and other agricultural products, assess the nutritional needs of Americans, sustain a competitive agricultural economy, enhance the natural resource base and the environment, and provide economic opportunities for rural citizens, communities, and society as a whole.

The U. S. Department Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.