RNA interference-mediated knockdown of the Halloween gene *Spookiest* (CYP307B1) impedes adult eclosion in the western tarnished plant bug, *Lygus hesperus*
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Abstract

Ecdysteroids play a critical role in coordinating insect growth, development and reproduction. A suite of cytochrome P450 monoxygenases coded by what are collectively termed Halloween genes mediate ecdysteroid biosynthesis. In this study, we describe cloning and RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knockdown of the CYP307B1 Halloween gene (*Spookiest*) in the western tarnished plant bug, *Lygus hesperus*. Transcripts for *Ly. hesperus Spookiest* (*LhSpot*) were amplified from all life stages and correlated well with timing of the pre-moult ecdysteroid pulse. In adults, *LhSpot* was amplified from heads of both genders as well as female reproductive tissues. Heterologous expression of a *LhSpot* fluorescent chimera in cultured insect cells co-localized with a fluorescent marker of the endoplasmic reticulum/secretory pathway. RNAi-mediated knockdown of *LhSpot* in fifth instars reduced expression of ecdysone-responsive genes *E74* and *E75*, and prevented adult development. This developmental defect was rescued following application of exogenous 20-hydroxyecdysone but not exogenous 7-dehydrocholesterol. The unequivocal RNAi effects on *Ly. hesperus* development and the phenotypic rescue by 20-hydroxyecdysone are causal proof of the involvement of *LhSpot* in ecdysteroid synthesis and related developmental processes, and may provide an avenue for development of new control measures against *Ly. hesperus*.


Introduction

In insects, the fundamental processes of growth, development and reproduction are coordinated by fluctuations in the level of circulating ecdysteroids, the major forms of which include ecdysone (E) and its derivative 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E). During pre-adult development, pulses of 20E trigger moulting and metamorphosis, whereas in adult females, 20E functions in oogenesis, vitellogenesis, choriogenesis and the early events of embryogenesis (Morgan & Poole, 1977; Koolman, 1982; Gilbert et al., 2002; Truman, 2005). Similar to vertebrate steroidal biosynthesis pathways, insects utilize cholesterol and/or plant sterols as ecdysteroid precursors (Gilbert et al., 2002; Niwa & Niwa, 2011); however, unlike vertebrates, insects lack genes such as squalene synthase that are critical for synthesizing cholesterol from simple precursor molecules (Gilbert et al., 2002; Gilbert & Warren, 2005; Canavoso et al., 2001). The absorbed cholesterol is transported via haemolymph lipophorin to steroidogenic organs (prothoracic glands in immatures and ovarian follicle cells in adult females) where it is step-wise converted to E by a series of conserved enzymatic reactions (Fig. 1). The best-characterized genes in this pathway are the Halloween genes, a suite of cytochrome P450 monoxygenases...
initially identified in *Drosophila melanogaster* developmental mutants (Jürgens et al., 1984; Nüsslein-Volhard et al., 1984; Wieschaus et al., 1984).

The initial step in the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway, conversion of cholesterol to 7-dehydrocholesterol, is mediated by a Rieske-domain oxygenase termed Neverland that catalyses 7,8-dehydrogenation of the cholesterol precursor. The steps converting the 7-dehydrocholesterol into 5β-ketodiol (2,22,25-trideoxyecdysone) involve multiple enzymatic steps currently known to be mediated by a short-chain dehydrogenase termed Shroud, a P450 monoxygenase(s) CYP307A1/A2 termed Spook/Spookier, and a CYP6T3 monoxygenase (Iga & Kataoka, 2012; Niwa & Niwa, 2014). Although Δ4-ketodiol and diketol have been identified as likely intermediate substrates, additional substrates and the precise nature of the reactions that yield 5β-ketodiol have yet to be fully elucidated. Consequently, these steps are frequently referred to as the ‘black box’ of ecdysteroid biosynthesis (Namiki et al., 2005; Ono et al., 2006; Rewitz et al., 2007). 5β-ketodiol is further hydroxylated to yield 5β-ketotriol (2,22,25-trideoxyecdysone), 2-deoxyecdysone and E by the Phantom, Disembodied and Shadow P450 monoxygenases, respectively. E is then converted in peripheral tissues into the active hormone, 20E, by the P450 monoxygenase Shade.

Given the indispensable role of ecdysteroids in regulating and coordinating the critical insect processes of growth, development and reproduction, the lack of functional redundancy amongst the Halloween suite of genes is surprising. Identification or prediction of Halloween genes in diverse insect species (Namiki et al., 2005; Ono et al., 2006, 2012; Sztal et al., 2007; Christiaens et al., 2010; Iga & Smagghe 2010; Marchal et al., 2010; Yamazaki et al., 2011; Hentze et al., 2013; Jia et al., 2013a, b; Luan et al., 2013; Pondeville et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013; Shahzad et al., 2015), as well as other arthropods (Rewitz & Gilbert, 2008; Cabrera et al., 2015), has revealed that each gene is more similar to its respective orthologues than to other P450 monoxygenases, suggesting the specific steps in ecdysteroid biosynthesis are catalysed by a single enzyme. Paralogues, however, have been identified for the CYP307 P450 monoxygenases, which have undergone lineage-specific duplications/losses, with three paralogues CYP307A1 (Spook, Spo), CYP307A2 (Spookier, Spok) and CYP307B1 (Spookiest, Spot) identified in various species, albeit with only two of the three present in any one species (Rewitz et al., 2007; Sztal et al., 2007; Rewitz & Gilbert, 2008). In *D. melanogaster*, duplication of the ancestral Spok gene, thought to be specific to the Drosophilidae, probably yielded Spo. Spok-like sequences, however, have recently been annotated in *Chilo suppressalis* (AHW57298) (Wang et al., 2014), *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (AJN91167), *Dendroctonus armandi* (ALD15893) (Dai et al., 2015), *Nilaparvata lugens* (AIW79977) and *Laodelphax striatellus* (AGU16448) (Jia et al., 2015), with RNA interference (RNAi)-based knockdown in the last species reportedly supporting a functional role in development. The third paralogue, Spot, is thought to be a lineage-specific duplication of Spo. To date, Spot-like sequences have been identified/predicted in *Aedes aegypti* (Rewitz et al., 2007), *Anopheles gambiae* (Rewitz et al., 2007) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Rewitz et al., 2007; Christiaens et al., 2010). In *Apis mellifera*, Spot appears to be the only CYP307 paralogue (Rewitz et al., 2007; Yamazaki et al., 2011). This paralogue reduction is not characteristic of the Hymenoptera, as the lone CYP307-like sequence in *Nasonia vitripennis* shares greater homology with Spo than Spot (Rewitz et al., 2007). Initial analysis of the *Acythosiphon pisum* genome suggested the presence of three Spo-like sequences (Ap-Spo1–3; XM_001945726, XM_001946260 and XM_001948680).

Figure 1. Current model of the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway in insects.
with the first two genes proposed as Spo orthologues and the third as a Spot orthologue (Christiaens et al., 2010). Subsequent genome annotations have collapsed the Spo paralogues into a single gene.

Whereas the molecular basis of ecdysteroid biosynthesis has been extensively characterized in holometabolous insects, our understanding of this pathway in hemimetabolous insects is limited to a few species –
Ac. pism (Christiaens et al., 2010), Bemisia tabaci (Luan et al., 2013), La. striatellus (Jia et al., 2013a, 2014, 2015; Wan et al., 2014a,b) and Sogatella furcifera (Jia et al., 2013b; Wan et al., 2014c). Excluded from this list are mirid plant bugs, many of which are polyphagous agricultural pests (Wheeler, 2001; Schuh, 2013). One genus of this group that is of particular economic importance is Lygus. Traditionally managed with broad-spectrum insecticides, reports of insecticide resistance in field populations (Snodgrass, 1996; Snodgrass & Scott, 2002; Snodgrass et al., 2009) underscore the need for alternative control tactics. One promising area for potential development is targeting the molecular machinery that regulates developmental and reproductive processes, such as those controlled by ecdysteroids. A generally poor understanding of the underlying physiology and limited molecular resources have hampered efforts to devise such approaches for Lygus. Recent transcriptome assemblies (Hull et al., 2013, 2014) for the western tarnished plant bug (Lygus hesperus Knight), the dominant pest Lygus species in the western USA (Schwartz & Footit, 1998), have however greatly facilitated gene identification and annotation processes and have opened the possibility of exploring gene functionality through the use of RNAi-mediated knockdown. Furthermore, we recently demonstrated the criticality of ecdysteroid timing in controlling the nymphal–adult moult in Ly. hesperus (Brent et al., 2016).

In this study, we build on those findings and report transcriptome-based identification of a single Spo-like sequence that shares significantly greater sequence homology with Spot orthologues than with either Spo or Spok. The transcript is expressed in select adult tissues and throughout development, with transcript abundance fluctuating inversely with previously reported ecdysteroid levels. RNAi-mediated knockdown and subsequent 20E rescue confirm the importance of the LhSpot transcript in controlling the timing of adult eclosion and development of adult characteristics. These are important initial steps toward developing control approaches that target a potential vulnerability of this key pest.

Results
Identification and phylogenetic characterization of LhSpot
To identify the first cytochrome P450-catalysed step in Ly. hesperus ecdysteroid biosynthesis (ie CYP307), we performed a tBLASTn search of our recent transcriptome assembly (Hull et al., 2014) using queries consisting of representative Spo sequences from five insect orders: Diptera (Dr. melanogaster), Lepidoptera (Bombyx mori), Hymenoptera (Na. vitripennis), Coleoptera (T. castaneum) and Hemiptera (Ac. pism and La. striatellus). All of the queries generated the same unigene hit with an e-value < 10^{-127}. The next closest unigene hits had e-values ranging between 10^{-32} to 10^{-46}, none of which exhibited homology with the Halloween genes, suggesting the presence of a single Spo-like sequence in our transcriptome assembly. Multiple Spo paralogues (ie Spo, Spok and Spot), however, have been reported in various species from multiple insect orders (Rewitz et al., 2007). The presence of a single sequence in Ly. hesperus may be the result of exclusion of temporally or spatially restricted transcripts (eg transcripts specifically regulated in development) in our transcriptome assembly, or alternatively may reflect loss of those genes.

Using cDNA generated from fifth instars 2 days after the moult and primers designed to the putative Spo-like open reading frame (ORF), we amplified a 1482-nucleotide (nt) product that encodes a 493-amino acid protein with a predicted molecular weight of 56.1 kDa. The unigene ORF shares considerable identity (54.7%) with Spo orthologues in multiple insect orders (Rewitz et al., 2015; Shahzad et al., 2015). Amino acid substitutions specific to Spot paralogues that are located near the putative helix C are indicated with closed ovals, those near helix I with open squares and miscellaneous spot specific changes are indicated with open ovals. Residues comprising the Ly. hesperus Spot hydrophobic targeting sequence are outlined. Abbreviations: ApSpo, Acyrthosiphon pism Spotkiste (XP_001948715); PhSpo, Pediculus humanis corporis Spotkiste (XP_002425350); AmSpo, Apis mellifera Spotkiste (BAJ54121); LhSpo, Ly. hesperus Spotkiste; TcSpok, Tribolium castaneum Spotkiste (EFA5873); AgSpot, Anopheles gambiae Spotkiste (AAV28189); LsSpot, Laodelphax striatellus Spotkiste (A0398303); NISpot, Nilaparvata lugens Spotkiste (AW79978); ApSpo, Ac. pism Spotkiste (XP_001945781); SiSpo, Sogatella furcifera Spotkiste (AGL16443); NlSpo, N. lugens Spotkiste (AW79977); PhSpo, P. humanis corporis Spotkiste (XP_002425996); DaSpo, Dendroctonus armandi Spotkiste (ALD15989); TcSpo, T. castaneum Spotkiste (EFA11558); BmSpo, Bombyx mori Spotkiste (BAM73587); HaSpo, Helicoverpa armigera Spotkiste (AID54856); CsSpo, Chilo suppressalis Spotkiste (AHW57298); CmSpok, Capnophorocoris medialis Spotkiste (AJN91167); AgSpo, An. gambiae Spotkiste (AHB59617); DmSpo, Dro sophila melanogaster Spotkiste (EDP28053); DmSpo, Dr. melanogaster Spotkiste (AAQ05973).
domain (PxxFxPE/DRF) (Fig. 2). Although the consensus sequences for two additional P450 motifs, helix C (WxxR) and helix I (A/GGxD/ETT/S), have diverged from that seen in the other Halloween proteins (Namiki et al., 2005; Ono et al., 2006; Iga & Smagghe, 2010; Marchal et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2013; Cabrera et al.,...
corporis melanogaster sequence is actually a Spot orthologue. Based on these notated in the database and that the La. striatellus relatedness with Spot we suggest that it has been misan-
tated as CYP307A1; however, given the phylogenetic clade (Fig. 3B). The lone exception to that sequence clustered away from Spo and Spok in a largely further support this designation as the LhSpo-like whereas in the Spot group identity was 48.6% (Table S1), we limited the analysis to 20 sequences annotated as Ac. pisum (as expected given the shared hemimetabolous lineage) we examined its phylogenetic relationship with Halloween proteins in Dr. melanogaster, Bo. mori, Na. vitripennis, Ac. pisum and T. castaneum (Fig. 3A). Consistent with the sequence analyses and the initial annotation, the unigene sequence aligned to the Spo clade, with highest similarity (as expected given the shared hemimetabolous lineage) to Ac. pisum Spo. To increase the phylogenetic resolution, we limited the analysis to 20 sequences annotated as Spo, Spok or Spot. When aligned with this larger clan-
specific data set, sequence conservation between the unigene and the Spo/Spok orthologues averaged 38.5%, whereas in the Spot group identity was 48.6% (Table S1), suggesting that the LhSpo-like sequence is a Spot orthologue. Phylogenetic analyses (maximum likelihood, neighbour joining, minimum evolution and the Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean method (UPGMA)) further support this designation as the LhSpo-like sequence clustered away from Spo and Spok in a largely Spot-specific clade (Fig. 3B). The lone exception to that clade is a sequence from La. striatellus (AGI92303) annotated as CYP307A1; however, given the phylogenetic relatedness with Spot we suggest that it has been misan-
notated in the database and that the La. striatellus sequence is actually a Spot orthologue. Based on these phylogenetic relationships and shared sequence similarities, we have annotated the LhSpo-like sequence as a Spot orthologue (LhSpot; GenBank accession KT818622).

Heterologous expression of a LhSpot fluorescent chimera in cultured insect cells

Spo homologues in Dr. melanogaster and Bo. mori co-
localize in Drosophila S2 cells with markers of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/secretory pathway (Namiki et al., 2005; Ono et al., 2006). Cursory domain analyses (hydrophobic amino terminus with Pro/Gly-rich region), homology with microsomal cytochrome P450s and subcellular localization prediction algorithms (WoLF PSORT and Target P1.1) suggest that LhSpot also localizes to the ER/secretory pathway. To provide further insights into the presumptive subcellular localization of LhSpot, we co-expressed fluorescent chimeras of LhSpot (LhSpot-Venus) and the human KDEL (Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu) endoplasmic reticulum protein retention receptor (HsKDEL-R-mCherry), a marker of the ER/secretory pathway (van der Vlies et al., 2002; Maro-
 niche et al., 2011), in cultured Trichoplusia ni cells. Cells expressing LhSpot-Venus exhibited a diffuse green fluorescent pattern typical of ER that extensively overlaid with the HsKDEL-R-mCherry red fluorescent signal (Fig. 4), suggesting co-localization of the two proteins. Although this cellular localization is consistent with previous studies and suggests that LhSpot resides

![Figure 3: Phylogenetic relationships of the putative Lygus hesperus Spookiest (LhSpot) sequence. (A) Phylogenetic analysis using full-length Halloween protein sequences from five insect species. The respective sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and the evolutionary history was inferred using the maximum likelihood method implemented in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013). The bootstrap consensus tree is based on 1000 replicates with support values indicated at the branch points. Accession numbers of the sequences used in the analysis are indicated in parentheses and can be found in Table S3. (B) Phylogenetic relationships amongst the CYP307 group of Halloween genes. The analysis was performed as above using the CYP307A1-like sequences from Fig. 2. The evolutionary history was inferred using the maximum likelihood method based on the Jones Thornton-Taylor (JTT) matrix-based model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (−1496.1948) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. Sequence accession numbers are indicated in parentheses. Species abbreviations are: Ac. pism, Acyrthosiphon pisum; An. gambiae, Anopheles gambiae; Ap. mellifera, Apis mellifera; Bo. mori, Bombyx mori, Ch. suppressalis, Chilo suppressalis; Ch. medialis, Cnaphalocrocis medialis; De. armani, Dendroctonus armani; Dr. melanogaster, Drosophila melanogaster; H. armigera, Helicoverpa armigera; La. striatellus, Laodelphax striatellus; Ni. lugens, Nilapavarta lugens; P. humanus, Pediculus humanus corporis; So. furcifera, Sogatella furcifera; T. castaneum, Tribolium castaneum.

Figure 4: Subcellular localization of a fluorescent Lygus hesperus Spookiest (LhSpot) chimera in cultured insect cells. Cells were co-transfected with expression plasmids encoding LhSpot-Venus (green) and an endoplasmic reticulum marker (ER), Homo sapiens KDEL (Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu) endoplasmic reticulum protein retention receptor-mCherry (KDEL-R-mCherry; red). At 48 h post-transfection, cells were labelled with the nuclear marker NucBlue (blue) and live cells were imaged on a confocal scanning laser microscope using a 60× water objective. Overlay of the green and red fluorescent signals (yellow in the merge panel) suggests co-localization of LhSpot-Venus with KDEL-R-mCherry in the ER. Scale bar = 10 μm.
amplimers were detectable from head, bodies and reproductive tissue (ovary and seminal depository), albeit at varying abundances (Fig. 5B).

**RNAi-mediated knockdown of LhSpot**

To examine the functional role of LhSpot, we injected double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) corresponding to either a 547-bp fragment (nt 846–1352) of LhSpot or to the complete enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) ORF into newly eclosed fifth instars. RT-PCR analysis of transcript levels at 48 h post-injection revealed reduced expression of LhSpot in the LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs compared with the non-injected and EGFP dsRNA-injected controls with no discernible effects on expression of the control genes actin and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Fig. 6A). Because a reduction in LhSpot expression is expected to negatively affect ecdysteroid biosynthesis and thus ecdysone titres, we examined the effects of LhSpot knockdown on the expression of E74 and E75, two ecdysone-inducible transcription factors (Thummel, 1996), as a proxy for measuring circulating ecdysone. Transcript levels of E74 and E75 were both reduced in nymphs injected with LhSpot dsRNA compared with controls (Fig. 6A), strongly suggesting that LhSpot knockdown had impacted ecdysteroid levels. We next examined the effects of LhSpot knockdown on adult development. At 3 days post-injection, we detected no noticeable phenotypic difference between LhSpot dsRNA-injected and control nymphs (Fig. 6B). However, consistent with a disrupted ecdysteroid signalling pathway, LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs failed to undergo adult eclosion and maintained a nymphal appearance (absence of wings and smaller size), albeit with elongated abdomens and black cuticular banding on the dorsum, even at >20 days post-injection (Fig. 6B). Surprisingly, despite the nymphal appearance, LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs at 25 days post-injection exhibited limited oogenesis producing a few stage 3 oocytes (Spurgeon & Brent, 2010) that are typically observed in young adult females (Fig. 6C).

More comprehensive analysis of the effects of LhSpot knockdown on the timing of adult development showed that eclosion typically occurred 3–4 days after treatment in non-injected, buffer-injected and EGFP dsRNA-injected nymphs, but was never achieved within the time frame (25 days post-injection) of our study (Fig. 7). Furthermore, nymphs injected with LhSpot dsRNA exhibited higher levels of mortality relative to controls that had undergone adult eclosion (Fig. 8), but persisted for longer than expected.
Effects of exogenous 20E and 7-dehydrocholesterol following LhSpot knockdown

As Spot catalyses a relatively early step in 20E biosynthesis (presumably within the so-called ‘black box’), we hypothesized that application of ecdysteroids (eg 20E) upstream of that step should be able to rescue the knockdown phenotype as demonstrated in other systems (Ono et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2015; Shahzad et al., 2015). Topical application of 20E 24 h post-LhSpot dsRNA injection rescued adult eclosion (Fig. 9A). Non-treated and ethanol (20E vehicle) treated nymphs failed to enter the final moult. The rescue effect appeared to be highly time-dependent, as addition of 20E at the time of injection or later than 24 h post-injection either failed to rescue adult eclosion or resulted in partial eclosion with the adults trapped in the old exoskeleton (Fig. 9B).

Figure 6. RNA interference-mediated knockdown of Lygus hesperus Spookiest (LhSpot). (A) LhSpot transcript expression is reduced following LhSpot double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) injection. Reverse Transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) amplification of the control genes actin and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) along with two ecdysone responsive genes (E74 and E75) and LhSpot in non-injected, enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) dsRNA-injected and LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs at 48 h post-injection. Amplimers correspond to ~500-bp fragments of the transcripts of interest except for GAPDH, which was full length. PCR products were electrophoresed in 1% agarose gels and stained with SYBR Safe. For better clarity, negative images of representative gel images are shown. Image shown is representative of three biological replicates. (B) LhSpot knockdown prevents adult eclosion. Non-injected newly emerged fifth instars and nymphs injected with LhSpot dsRNA were imaged 3 days post-injection and >20 days post-injection. Non-injected controls underwent adult eclosion, whereas LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs retained a nymphal phenotype but continued to grow and developed abdominal pigmentation. (C) Oogenesis in LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs. Representative images of ovaries from a 6-day-old untreated adult female and a nymph >20 days after injection with LhSpot dsRNA.
We next assessed the effects of topically applied 7-dehydrocholesterol, a substrate upstream of the ecylsteroid biosynthesis ‘black box’. Unlike 20E, exogenous 7-dehydrocholesterol failed to restore adult eclosion (Fig. 9A), suggesting that LhSpot catalyses an enzymatic step downstream of 7-dehydrocholesterol.

Discussion

Despite extensive molecular and biochemical characterization of the ecylsteroid biosynthetic pathway in holometabolous insects, our understanding of this pathway in hemimetabolous pests, in particular mirids, is extremely limited. To address this deficiency, we sought to mine recent Ly. hesperus transcriptome assemblies for potential orthologues of the CYP307 family (Spo, Spok and Spot) of P450 monooxygenases, the proposed rate-limiting enzymes in the 20E biosynthetic pathway. The lone orthologue identified from the search aligned more closely with Spot orthologues than with Spo or Spok orthologues. Consistent with other Spo-like sequences, LhSpot has a membrane-targeting hydrophobic amino terminus followed by a cluster of Arg/Lys residues and a Pro/Gly-rich region that function as a halt-transfer signal and a molecular hinge, respectively, and motifs (the heme-binding loop, helices C, I and K, and PERF domain) characteristic of cytochrome P450s (Werck-Reichhart & Feyereisen, 2000). Close inspection of insect CYP307 sequences reveals a clear differentiation in the region identified as helix C between Spo/Spok and Spot proteins. In Spo/Spok, this region is composed of His/Tyr-Cys-Ser/Gly-Pro, whereas Spot proteins have substituted Thr/Ile-Phe/Glu for the Cys-Ser/Gly pair (Fig. 2). Additional sequence divergence is seen ~20 amino acids upstream of this region in which the conserved Leu in the Ala-Leu-Cys-Asp motif in Spo/Spok proteins is substituted with Phe in Spot proteins. Similar paralogue variation is seen on either side of helix I. On the amino terminal side, the conserved Phe in the Leu-Glu-Asp-Phe sequence in Spo/Spok is substituted with Ile in Spot. On the carboxyl side of helix I, Spot proteins have substituted a charged basic amino acid (Lys) for the hydrophobic residue (Met/Leu) in Spo/Spok (Fig. 2). Although the impact of these amino acid substitutions on the enzymatic activity or substrate specificity of the CYP307 monooxygenases is unknown, we suggest that identification of these sites may facilitate future discrimination and annotation of Spot paralogues in other species.

LhSpot is expressed throughout Ly. hesperus development, with transcripts amplified from eggs, all nymphal stages and reproductively mature adults (Fig. 5A).
queen ovary; Yamazaki et al., 2011). This contrasts with the expression profile reported for the Spot orthologue in *T. castaneum*, which was spatially and temporally restricted to the adult male tubular accessory glands (Hentze et al., 2013). No amplimer was detected in *Ly. hesperus* accessory glands in our RT-PCR based analyses. This difference may be attributable to very low transcript levels in the accessory glands, which would raise questions regarding functional relevance, or could indicate a temporal aspect to LhSpot expression in this tissue. Alternatively, because two CYP307 paralogues (Spo and Spot) were reported in *T. castaneum*, with Spot expression limited to pre-adult stages, it is possible that the two gene products fulfill specific functions that have diverged temporally and spatially. Expression of two paralogues in *Dr. melanogaster* is likewise defined in terms of tissue and developmental stage. The *Dr. melanogaster* Spo is expressed during early embryonic development and adult ovarian maturation, whereas activity of Spok is restricted to the prothoracic gland in late embryos and larval stages (Ono et al., 2006). Rewitz et al. (2007) speculated that the distinct spatial and temporal expression profiles exhibited by the Spo paralogues reflect integration of a resequence near the promoter region, a genomic event that could alter the tissue expression specificity. Gene duplication resulting in multiple Spo paralogues may also have facilitated the development of distinct roles in ecdysteroid development as evidenced by the restricted expression of Spot in *T. castaneum*. The tissue-specific expression profile exhibited when multiple Spo paralogues are present could be an indication that LhSpot, which appears to lack temporal and spatial restrictions on expression, is the lone Spo parologue in *Ly. hesperus*.

For *Ly. hesperus*, circulating ecdysteroids peak ~48 h after the final nymphal–nymphal moult, with adult eclosion occurring 48 h later (Brent et al., 2016). The elevated expression of LhSpot at 24 h and subsequent decline (Fig. 5A) correlate well with the biosynthesis of ecdysteroids needed to trigger adult eclosion. LhSpot transcript expression, however, exhibits rhythmicity within the fifth-instar stage as evidenced by the expression peaks at 24 and 72 h post-emergence. Similar fluctuations in the expression of Halloween genes have been reported in *La. striatellus* (Jia et al., 2013a, 2014, 2015; Wan et al., 2014a,b), *Spodoptera littoralis* (Iga & Smaghe, 2010), *Ch. suppressalis* (Shahzad et al., 2015), *Bo. mori* (Ono et al., 2006), *Manduca sexta* (Ono et al., 2006) and *T. castaneum* (Hentze et al., 2013). We speculate that the second burst of LhSpot expression might be linked with ecdysteroid influence on the pacing of gonadal development, which may be a response to the loss of negative feedback associated with disintegration of the nymphal prothoracic gland (Marchal et al., 2010), or may signify a non-ecdysteroidal function of LhSpot. To examine the validity of these possible explanations, however, further studies are needed to develop a more thorough understanding of the physiological and endocrinological mechanisms underlying this process in *Ly. hesperus*.

RNAi-mediated knockdown of LhSpot transcripts in newly eclosed fifth instars was apparent within 24 h of dsRNA injection with phenotypic effects that extended throughout normal adult eclosion, which occurred 3–4 days post-injection in control nymphs (Fig. 7). Surprisingly, the LhSpot knockdown group never developed external adult features; rather they retained the nymphal form until death, which in some cases was 37 days after fifth instar emergence. Delayed development was reported following RNAi knockdown of Spo in *T. castaneum*, which had a 40% moult rate 9 days post-dsRNA treatment (Hentze et al., 2013), in *So. furcifera* with 24% remaining as third instars (Jia et al., 2013b) and *Ch. suppressalis* with ~25% remaining as fourth instars (Shahzad et al., 2015). Similarly, RNAi knockdown of the larval Spok paralogue in *Drosophila* resulted in ~98% of the larvae remaining as first instars (Ono et al., 2006). By contrast, Spo knockdown in *Schistocerca gregaria* and *An. gambiae* had no clear developmental phenotype despite reduced ecdysteroid production (Marchal et al., 2011; Pondeville et al., 2013). The incomplete development that we observed compared with the delayed development reported in other studies could be attributable to methodological variations in dsRNA delivery or biological compensatory effects. For both possibilities, residual enzyme activities resulting from partial or transient knockdown may be sufficient to sustain ecdysteroids at levels necessary to allow continued development. Indeed, *Sc. gregaria* Spo transcript levels in male nymphs rebounded 2 days post-injection.
Marchal et al., 2011). As ecdysteroidogenesis can be regulated by multiple factors (Marchal et al., 2010), it is possible that some regulatory feedback mechanism may compensate for the transcript knockdown triggered by the dsRNAs.

The rescue effect associated with exogenous application of an ecdysteroid (eg 20E) upstream of impaired Halloween gene activity has been demonstrated in diverse species including So. furcifera, Dr. melanogaster and Ch. suppressalis (Ono et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2013a; Shahzad et al., 2015). Similarly, we found that topical application of 20E at 24 h post-dsRNA injection was sufficient to restore development to adulthood. However, no rescue effect was seen when 20E was applied later than 24 h after injection, suggesting that proper timing of the ecdysone pulse, perhaps in relation to juvenile hormone titres, is critical for normal development to adulthood in Ly. hesperus. Consequently, it is possible the addition of exogenous 20E later than 24 h post-injection disrupts the timing, duration and/or interaction framework of the ecdysteroid pulse critical to perpetuate development to completion. Although our understanding of the role that ecdysteroids have in hemimetabolous insects continues to develop, it is clear that 20E affects

Figure 9. Lygus hesperus Spookiest (LhSpot) knockdown effect on adult eclosion is rescued with exogenous 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E). (A) Newly emerged fifth instars injected with LhSpot double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) were treated 24 h after injection with handling stimulus alone or topical applications of 90% ethanol (+ EtOH), 20E in 90% ethanol (+ 20E), 100% chloroform (+ CHCl3) and 7-dehydrocholesterol in 100% chloroform (+ 7dC). The developmental status was then tracked over a 5-day period and compared with non-injected nymphs. Under normal conditions, fifth instars typically undergo adult eclosion after 4–5 days at 27°C. The number of surviving individuals assessed at day 5 is indicated above the bars. (B) LhSpot knockdown nymphs enter eclosion following exogenous 20E application. Timing of the 20E application is critical to the adult moult as variations in application resulted in nymphs that only underwent a partial moult (right insect).
an intricate transcriptional regulation cascade, disruption of which can lead to uncoordinated development, poorly timed moult and impaired eclosion (Cruz et al., 2008; Mané-Padrós et al., 2010, 2012).

In some female insects, ovarian-based ecdysteroidogenesis is required for oogenesis (Terasima et al., 2005; Parthasarathy et al., 2010), with Halloween gene expression reported in female reproductive tissues of Dr. melanogaster (Ono et al., 2006), Sc. gregaria (Marchal et al., 2011), Holocerus hippochaeoculus (Zhou et al., 2013), An. gambiae (Pondelveille et al., 2013) and T. castaneum (Parthasarathy et al., 2010). RNAi-mediated knockdown of Spo and Phantom in An. gambiae and T. castaneum, respectively, impeded ovarian ecdysteroid production (Pondelveille et al., 2013), whereas in T. castaneum knockdown of Shade, the terminal enzyme in the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway, severely impaired ovarian growth and primary oocyte maturation (Parthasarathy et al., 2010). Similarly, Dr. melanogaster females with a mutation in Spo failed to produce progyn when mated with fertile wild-type males and had arrested egg development (Ono et al., 2006). The expression of LhSpot in adult female reproductive tissues (Fig. 5B) is consistent with the role described above for Halloween genes in ovarian maturation. Given the necessity of ecdysteroid production for egg development, it was surprising to observe oogenesis comparable to a reproductive 3-day-old adult female in LhSpot dsRNA-injected nymphs that had failed to undergo adult eclosion (Fig. 6C). The external characteristics of these nymphs were phenotypically fifth instar in appearance without wings or a protruding ovipositor. We speculate that the internal development of adult characteristics (ie oogenesis) is dependent on ecdysteroid biosynthesis and was able to proceed because the knockdown effects had diminished. Alternatively, because RNAi-induced knockdown does not completely eliminate the target protein, residual enzyme activities may be sufficient to sustain a level of 20E synthesis above the threshold needed for oogenesis. These findings also suggest that the transcriptional timing of the two ecdysteroid-linked events, adult eclosion and oogenesis, is disconnected. The exact role of LhSpot in oogenesis and the degree of linkage between the ecdysteroid events remain to be fully explored.

This is, to our knowledge, the first in vivo demonstration of Spot function in insect development. Here, we have shown that the LhSpot sequence aligns phylogenetically with CYP307B1 proteins, that it is expressed throughout Ly. hesperus development, and is expressed in female reproductive tissues. The phenotypic effects observed in immatures (uncoordinated development, arrested adult eclosion) following RNAi knockdown, expression throughout larval and adult development, and singularity in our transcriptome assembly, suggest that, as in Ap. mellifera, the LhSpot paralogue is the only CYP307 gene in Ly. hesperus. The presence of multiple Spo/Spok/Spot paralogues in the genomes of two hemipteran pests, Ac. pisum and Ni. lugens, suggests that loss of a former gene duplication may be a relatively recent evolutionary event.

Experimental procedures

Insects

Lygus hesperus were reared in a laboratory colony at the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service, Arid Land Agricultural Research Center (Maricopa, AZ, USA) and maintained on a mix of green bean pods (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) and an ad libitum artificial diet mix (Debolt, 1982) packaged in Parafilm M (Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Chicago, IL, USA) as described previously (Patana, 1982). Insects were reared under a light:dark 14:10 h photoperiod at 27 ± 1°C and 40–60% relative humidity (RH). Eggs were deposited in oviposition packets (Parafilm M filled with agarose gel). Daily monitoring of experimental insects ensured timely collection of individuals within 24 h of nymphal moult or adult eclosion.

Transcriptomic identification and bioinformatic characterization of a Lygus Spo-like sequence

To identify putative Ly. hesperus Spo paralogues, we used the tBLASTn program to search (e-value < 10^-5) our previously assembled Ly. hesperus transcriptome (Hull et al., 2014) using queries consisting of Spo sequences from six insect species: Dr. melanogaster (NP_647975), Bo. mori (NP_001104833), Na. vitripennis (XP_001603435), T. castaneum (XP_974280), Ac. pisum (XP_001945761) and La. striatellus (AFU86444). The longest isoform from the resulting Ly. hesperus sequence hit was then re-evaluated by BLASTx (e-value < 10^-5) using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant (nr) database.

To determine phylogenetic relationships, a MUSCLE-based multiple sequence alignment (Edgar, 2004) consisting of the putative Ly. hesperus Spo-like (LhSpot) sequence and protein sequences for the suite of Halloween genes from five species (Table S1) was performed using default settings in Geneious 7.1.8 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand). Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the maximum likelihood, minimum evolution, UPGMA and neighbour-joining methods in MEGA6 v. 6.06 (Tamura et al., 2013). A more detailed analysis of the potential phylogenetic relationships between the putative LhSpot and the sequences that comprise the CYP307A1/CYP307A2/ CYP307B1 (ie Spo/Spok/Spot) group of enzymes was performed using 20 sequences annotated accordingly in the NCBI database. The evolutionary history was inferred as before in MEGA6 using the maximum likelihood method. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the neighbour-joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a Jones Thornton-Taylor (JTT) model. The tree was drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 21 amino acid sequences, with all positions containing gaps and missing data eliminated, resulting in a final data set consisting of 58 total positions. WoLF PSORT (Horton et al.,
2007) and the Target P 1.1 server (Emanuelsson et al., 2007) were used for subcellular localization prediction.

Cloning and transcriptional profiling of LhSpot

To generate a full-length clone of LhSpot, total RNA was isolated from five mixed gender fifth-instar nymphs pooled at 2 days post-ecdlosion (period when circulating ecdysteroid levels are highest; Brent et al., 2016) using TRI Reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as described by Chomczynski & Sacchi (1987). First-strand cDNAs were generated using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies) with custom-made random pentadecamers (IDT, San Diego, CA, USA) and 500 ng DNase I-treated total RNAs. Full-length LhSpot was amplified using primers (Table S2) designed to span the predicted ORF of the putative LhSpot identified in our transcriptome database search. Multiple independent reactions were performed using Premix ExTaq (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA, USA) in a 20-μl volume with 1 μl cDNA template (25 ng) and 0.2 μl of each primer. Thermocycler conditions consisted of 95°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 20 s, 55°C for 20 s, 72°C for 90 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Amplimers were separated on 1.5% agarose gels using a Tris/acetate/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid buffer system and visualized with SYBR Safe (Life Technologies). Products from each reaction were subcloned using a pCR2.1-TOPO cloning kit (Life Technologies) and sequenced at the Arizona State University DNA Core Laboratory (Tempe, AZ, USA).

To examine the expression profile of LhSpot, total RNAs were isolated as above from pooled samples of eggs, each of the first four nymphal instars, as well as fifth instars at 0, 1, 2 and 3 days post-ecdysis, and mixed gender adults sampled at 0, 1 and 2 days post-ecdlosion. For first and second instars, 20 mixed-gender nymphs were used. For third-fifth instars, 10 mixed-gender nymphs were pooled. For adult samples, five of each gender were pooled. Parallel analyses examining the tissue distribution of the LhSpot transcript used total RNAs isolated from mixed-gender fifth instars and adults of each gender: 15 heads, five thoraces and five abdomens for fifth instars, 25 mixed hindgut/midgut for adults, five pairs of pooled lateral and medial accessory glands, five pairs of testes and pooled samples of five pairs of ovaries and 20 seminal depositories. Expression profiles for each sample were generated using Sapphire Amp Fast PCR Master Mix (Clontech Laboratories), 12.5 ng of each respective cDNA template, and 0.2 μM of primers (Table S2) designed to amplify nt 1–555 of a reference gene, Lygus actin (DQ386914), or a fragment of LhSpot (nt 1–498). Thermocycler conditions consisted of 95°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 20 s, 56°C for 20 s and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were separated on 1.5% agarose gels as before with representative amplimers subcloned into pCR2.1-TOPO and the sequences verified.

Transient expression of a fluorescent LhSpot chimera in cultured insect cells

To examine the intracellular localization of LhSpot, insect expression vectors encoding fluorescent chimeras of LhSpot and an endoplasmic reticulum marker (KDEL receptor 1) were constructed. Overlap extension PCR (Wurch et al., 1998) using KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase (Toyobo/Novagen, EMD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) was used to generate the respective chimeras with mVenus or mCherry fused in-frame to the carboxyl terminal residues of LhSpot (LhSpot-Venus and the Homo sapiens KDEL endoplasmic reticulum protein retention receptor 1 (HsKDEL-R-mCherry), respectively. The initial PCR products were generated from plasmid DNA templates (pCR2.1TOPO/LhSpot, above; pOTB7/HsKDEL-R, NM_006801.2; Transomic Technologies, Huntsville, AL, USA; and pIB vectors containing either mVenus or mCherry) using gene-specific and chimeric primers (Table S2). Thermocycler conditions consisted of 95°C for 2 min followed by 21 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 58°C for 20 s and 70°C for 60 s, with a final incubation at 70°C for 5 min. Amplimers of the expected sizes were gel excised and purified using an EZNA Gel Extraction kit (Omega Bio-Tek Inc., Norcross, GA, USA). The respective 5’ and 3’ fragments were joined using KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase with gene-specific primers (Table S2). Thermocycler conditions consisted of 95°C for 2 min followed by 25 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 56°C for 20 s, and 70°C for 90 s with a final incubation at 70°C for 5 min. The resulting PCR products were gel excised, treated with ExTaq DNA polymerase (Clontech) to add 3’A overhangs, cloned into the pIB/V5-His TOPO TA insect expression vector (Life Technologies) and the sequences verified.

Trichoplusia ni cells (Orbigen Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), maintained as adherent cultures in serum-free insect culture media (Orbigen Inc.), were seeded into 35-mm #1.5 glass bottom dishes (MatTek Corp., Ashland, MA, USA) and allowed to settle for 20 min. Cells were then co-transfected with 2 μg of each plasmid (pIB/LhSpot-Venus and pIB/HsKDEL-R-mCherry) using 8 μl Insect Gene Juice transfection reagent (Novagen, EMD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) for 5 h. Transfection media was then removed, the cells washed twice with 1 ml serum-free media and then maintained in serum-free media at 28°C. After 48 h, the transfected cells were washed twice with 1 ml IPL-41 insect media (Life Technologies) and then imaged in 2 ml IPL-41 using a 60× phase contrast water-immersion objective (numerical aperture 1.2) on a Fluoview FV10i-LIV laser scanning confocal microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA). Images were subsequently processed (tone and contrast) in ADOBE PHOTOSHOP CS6 (Adobe System Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

dsRNA synthesis and injection

dsRNA was produced using a MEGAscript RNAi kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A 547-bp fragment corresponding to nt 846–1352 of the LhSpot ORF was amplified from plasmid DNA using Sapphire Amp Fast PCR Master Mix with primers containing a 5’ T7 promoter sequence (Table S2). The amplified fragment was TOPO-cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO (Life Technologies) and chemically competent TOP10 Escherichia coli cells (Life Technologies) were transformed. As a negative control, a dsRNA targeting the complete ORF of EGFP was generated using similar primers (Table S2). The template in the T7 reaction was amplified from the plasmid DNA with Sapphire Amp Fast PCR Master Mix and gel purified using the EZNA gel extraction kit. After transcription, the
dsRNAs were digested with nuclease to remove residual template DNA and non-annealed single-stranded RNA and then purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions on columns provided with the MEGAscript RNAi kit. The dsRNA fragments were quantitated using the Take3 multi-volume plate on a Synergy H4 hybrid multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) and then diluted to a concentration of 1 μg/μl in MEGAscript RNAi kit elution buffer (EB).

Injection needles were made from 5-μl disposable soda lime glass pipettes (Thermo Fisher, Kimble Chase, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) using a Narishige PN-30 Magnetic Microelectrode Horizontal Puller (Narishige International, Amityville, NY, USA) at heater level 84.4 and magnet level 25.5. To facilitate injection, sharp edges were made by snapping off the needle tips. The manual dsRNA delivery system consisted of a 60-cm-long tygon tube with a 1000-μl pipette tip inserted into one end (narrow tip protruding for clamping the pulled glass needles) and a second 1000-μl pipette tip inserted in the opposite orientation at the other end of the tube. The glass needles were fastened in the narrow opening with Parafilm M. To calibrate the needles, 0.25 μl (for nymphs) or 0.5 μl (for adults) of RNase-free water pipetted with a 2.5-μl pipettor was drawn directly from the pipette tip using the manual injection system. The needles were marked and the calibration was repeated three times to ensure accuracy. Before injection, the insects were immobilized by cooling at 4°C for 20 min. A freezer icepack, cleaned with ethanol, was used as the injection stage. Insects were injected on the ventral right side between the fifth and seventh abdominal tergites, then allowed to recover for 10 min. Those failing to recuperate were discarded. Survivors were maintained at 27°C and 30% RH in Huhtamaki waxed cups (Huhtamaki, De Soto, KS, USA) with a mesh screen, and provided green bean pods (Ph. vulgaris L.) every 2 days.

Fifth instars were collected within 24 h of the nymphal moult. Three biological replicates were conducted, each having four treatment groups: non-injected controls; nymphs injected with 0.25 μl EB; nymphs injected with 250 ng/0.25 μl EGFp dsRNA; and nymphs injected with 250 ng/0.25 μl LhSpot dsRNA. Each treatment and replicate consisted of 15 nymphs, which were monitored daily for the incidence and timing of adult eclosion. After a minimum of 25 days post-injection, survivors were dissected and phenotype images collected using a Leica DFC425 camera attached to a Leica M165C microscope with an LED ring light (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA).

**RT-PCR verification of RNAi-mediated LhSpot knockdown**

Total RNA was isolated from fifth instars (three per treatment) as described above. Potentially contaminating genomic DNA was removed using a DNA-free DNA removal kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg DNase-free RNA with a RETROscript kit (Life Technologies) in a 20-μl reaction according to the manufacturer’s instructions using the supplied oligo(dT) primer. Full-length Ly. hesperus GAPDH (nt 1–1002; Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly (TSA) accession GBHO01012854) and ~500-bp fragments of Lygus actin (nt 1–555), the ecdysone responsive genes E74 (nt 61–568; TSA accession GBHO01008524) and E75 (nt 1–556; TSA accession GBHO01011368), and LhSpot (nt 1–498) were PCR amplified using Sapphire Amp Fast PCR Master Mix in a 20-μl reaction containing 0.5 μl cDNA template (50 ng) and 0.2 μM of each primer (Table S2). Thermocycler conditions consisted of initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 56°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 60 s with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were electrophoresed as before but using 1% agarose gels containing SYBR Safe. Amplimers were visualized using an ImageReader LAS4000 (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan), subcloned as before and the sequences validated.

**Exogenous application of 20E and 7-dehydrocholesterol**

In total, 280 fifth-instar females over three experimental replicates were collected within 24 h of moulting and injected with 250 ng/0.25 μl LhSpot dsRNA. Injected nymphs were placed in groups of 10 within Petri dishes (10 × 1.5 cm) for overnight maintenance. At 24 h post-injection, the insects were collected together in a single vial and dead nymphs removed. The insects were immobilized by cooling at 4°C for 20 min and then treated with 0.25 μl (1 μg/μl) 20E (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) in 90% ethanol, 0.25 μl 90% ethanol alone, 0.25 μl (1 μg/μl) 7-dehydrocholesterol (Sigma-Aldrich) in 100% chloroform, or 0.25 μl 100% chloroform alone. One Petri dish was used for every 10 treated nymphs and the nymphs were allowed to recover for 2 h, after which dead nymphs were removed. A non-replicated group of 30 non-injected female nymphs, subject only to handling stress, was also monitored for adult eclosion under the same conditions (10 insects/Petri dish). Petri dishes with insects were maintained at 27°C and 30% RH and provided with a green bean pod section that was replaced every 2 days. Insects were surveyed daily to determine timing of the adult moult.
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