Seasonal Weather-Related Decision Making for Cattle Production in the Northern Great Plains
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On the Ground

- Ranching is a challenging and sometimes risky business, with cattle production (and associated enterprise income) largely being dependent on seasonal weather patterns and corresponding forage production. To help reduce this risk, the USDA–Agricultural Research Service performed a multistate study of seasonal weather effects on cattle production across the Northern Great Plains (Wyoming, North Dakota, and Montana).
- Cool, wet springs and longer, cooler growing seasons increased cattle production across the Northern Great Plains. Knowledge of these seasonal weather influences on cattle production is important for management decision making, but practical application of this knowledge remains problematic.
- Increased enterprise flexibility to deal with variable forage production can be achieved by using seasonal weather forecasts, as well as reducing base cow-calf herd numbers to less than 100% of typical ranch carrying capacity. Yearlings or seasonal contract grazing can then be used to increase grazing to use additional forage in good years.
- Recently launched USDA Regional Climate Hubs will deliver science-based knowledge, practical information, management and conservation strategies, and decision tools to ranchers that will help them adapt to weather variability and changing climatic conditions.
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What will forage production be like this year and how should I adjust my stocking rates? These are two of the most crucial questions that ranchers face each year. However, dependable answers are very difficult to come by, particularly in advance of the grazing season. Cattle production is a challenging business, as seasonal weather patterns, especially drought (Fig. 1), can highly influence bottom lines for ranchers. In the Northern Great Plains, extreme fluctuations in forage production on rangelands can occur from year-to-year due to weather variability. For example, forage production dropped 20-fold between 2001 (a wet year; 1,976 pounds per acre), and 2002 (a dry year; 100 pounds per acre) in Cheyenne, Wyoming.1 Ranchers respond to such weather and forage production variability across years by using a range of management strategies, including hay production or purchase, not grazing pastures to reserve forage in case of drought (“grassbanking”), diversifying operations with other revenue streams (e.g., wildlife, hunting, ecotourism), and trying to match forage demand to forage availability.2,3 As a part of matching forage demand and availability, however, one underutilized strategy is incorporation of weather forecasts into yearly decision making.3,4

Long-term research data can provide a clearer understanding of how seasonal weather patterns influence cattle production. Fortunately for ranchers in the Northern Great Plains, the USDA–Agricultural Research Service (ARS) has multiple long-term cattle weight gain datasets across the Northern Great Plains dating as far back as the 1930s (Box 1). Researchers with ARS have used these historical datasets in a multistate, collaborative effort to learn how seasonal weather influences cattle production from rangelands in this region. Here, we showcase the lessons learned and how ranchers can use this information to assist with decision making for their operations to reduce risk associated with seasonal weather variability. In particular, reduced risk can be accomplished by...
planning ahead for, instead of reacting to, poor seasonal weather conditions.

**Project Overview**

Seasonal weather forecasts, such as the 1-month and 3-month outlooks available from the National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center\(^i\) can be used in decision support tools to assist ranchers with decision making.\(^5\) To align with these free and easily accessible forecasts that are available up to 1 year in advance, we examined our datasets for effects of seasonal weather patterns using seasons for which prior data or forecasts could be attained easily by the public. Because both forage production\(^1\) and corresponding cattle production\(^6\) can be highly influenced by spring (April–June) precipitation in the Northern Great Plains, our research efforts focused, in part, on this seasonal weather variable. We also assessed the influence of summer (July–September), fall/ winter (October–March), and prior growing season (prior April–prior September) precipitation, along with spring and summer temperatures, on cattle production for all years in the historical datasets (Box 1).\(^7\)\(^–\)\(^9\)

\(^i\) For more information on the National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center, visit [http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/).

How Does Seasonal Weather Influence Cattle Production in the Northern Great Plains?

Cool, wet springs\(^7\)\(^–\)\(^9\) and longer, cooler growing seasons\(^10\) resulted in increased cattle production across the Northern

---

**Figure 1.** Photographic comparisons showing forage production in drought versus wet years for the research sites included in this article (see Box 1 for site details). Seasonal weather patterns can greatly affect forage and therefore cattle production in the Northern Great Plains.

**Figure 2.** Spring (April–June) precipitation levels during study years for Cheyenne, Wyoming and Mandan, North Dakota research sites. Precipitation levels are highly variable from year to year, making it difficult for ranchers to make annual weather-related stocking rate decisions. This variability is expected to increase in coming decades, with more frequent extreme weather events such as severe droughts and downpours.
Great Plains. For ranchers, knowledge of such seasonal weather influences on cattle production is important for management decision making, but practical application of this knowledge remains somewhat problematic. For example, spring precipitation is highly erratic in the Northern Great Plains (Fig. 2), and the forecasts of spring seasonal precipitation from the National Weather Service simply provide the chances for above- or below-normal precipitation using a national map. This lack of ranch-scale specificity can make it difficult for ranchers to decide how to adjust stocking rates to either capitalize on anticipated wet springs or reduce negative effects for anticipated droughts. However, it is still possible to combine our results with these 3-month forecasts to assess risk associated with spring stocking decisions. For example, if the forecast calls for below normal spring (April–June) precipitation, there is a greater risk for low forage production (and perhaps overstocking), leading to problems later in the season. As such, spring stocking rate decisions can be critical because rainfall later in the grazing season (after the end of June) is not as effective for plant growth and cannot compensate for lost spring production. Seasonal forecasts, even those at national or regional scales, can provide valuable information for yearly decision making.

Beyond the common pattern of spring precipitation increasing cattle production, we found that response to seasonal weather patterns was influenced by plant community composition. For example, in the southern part of the Northern Great Plains, where warm-season grasses are a higher percentage of the plant community under heavier stocking rates, warm and wet summers increased cattle production. Plant communities can greatly affect forage and therefore cattle response to seasonal weather patterns in the Northern Great Plains.
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Beyond the common pattern of spring precipitation increasing cattle production, we found that response to seasonal weather patterns was influenced by plant community composition. For example, in the southern part of the Northern Great Plains, where warm-season grasses are a higher percentage of the plant community under heavier stocking rates, warm and wet summers increased cattle production. Plant communities can greatly affect forage and therefore cattle response to seasonal weather patterns in the Northern Great Plains.

Figure 3. Kentucky bluegrass in experimental pasture in Mandan, North Dakota. Kentucky bluegrass invaded in the 1980s and now dominates the vegetation. Wet prior growing seasons and winters, along with cool, wet springs, became more important for cattle production on Kentucky bluegrass-invaded than native plant communities, as Kentucky bluegrass is most productive early in the growing season. Plant communities can greatly affect forage and therefore cattle response to seasonal weather patterns in the Northern Great Plains.

Figure 4. Distribution map (Map 12-M134 from USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service) showing change in cattle and calves inventory from 2007 to 2012. Each red dot represents 1,000 cattle and calves decrease; each blue dot represents 1,000 cattle and calves increase. Cattle numbers have been decreasing in the Southern Plains and increasing in the Northern Plains, although note that feedlot cattle (especially in the concentrated areas of dots) are likely playing a role in the observed map patterns. This northward movement of cattle can likely be expected to continue as climatic conditions become less favorable in the Southern Plains and potentially more favorable in the Northern Plains with climate change.
production. As another example, in North Dakota, where Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* L.) invaded many rangelands beginning in the 1980s (Fig. 3), cool and wet springs, wet winters, and wet prior growing seasons were more influential on cattle production than for the native plant community. This is likely because Kentucky bluegrass is most productive and nutritious early in the growing season, making early-season soil moisture particularly important. Clearly, considering the forage type on a ranch, and even the distribution of forages around the ranch (e.g., proportions of cool- vs. warm-season grasses) can greatly help ranchers predict forage production response to forecasted seasonal weather patterns. This sort of site-specific, precision ranching will be advantageous for adapting to a changing climate.

Box 1. ARS Research Site and Dataset Descriptions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Cattle Type and Breed</th>
<th>Plant Community</th>
<th>Grazing Methods</th>
<th>Years in Analyzed Dataset</th>
<th>Paper(s) for full details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cheyenne, WY</strong></td>
<td>High Plains Grasslands Research Station</td>
<td>Mixed-breed yearling steer herds</td>
<td>50% cool-season; 50% warm-season grasses</td>
<td>Continuous, season-long (early-June – early-October)</td>
<td>1982 – 2011 for steers</td>
<td>steers, cow-calves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mandan, ND</strong></td>
<td>Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory</td>
<td>Yearling Hereford steers</td>
<td>90% cool-season; 10% warm-season grasses</td>
<td>Continuous, season-long (mid-May – early-October)</td>
<td>1936-2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miles City, MT</strong></td>
<td>Ft. Keogh Livestock and Range Research Laboratory</td>
<td>Line 1 Hereford cow-calves</td>
<td>85% cool-season; 15% warm-season grasses</td>
<td>Two stocking rates ~37, 90 AUD/ha</td>
<td>1935-2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lessons Learned: Management Strategies for Ranchers

Most ranching operations in the Northern Great Plains are cow-calf enterprises. However, in many cow-calf operations, logistical constraints can make it difficult to be flexible and adaptively manage grazing for the highly variable seasonal weather patterns and forage production. For example, genetic considerations of the herd can preclude quickly reducing herd numbers at the onset of dry/drought conditions. Ranchers can avoid such constraints and achieve higher economic returns and stability in the face of seasonal weather variability by reducing cow herd numbers to perhaps two-thirds or three-fourths of the typical carrying capacity of the ranch. Then, yearlings can be used to provide the flexibility in numbers of grazing animals across years for increasing or...
Future of Cattle Production in the Northern Great Plains

Although many negative effects are predicted for most rangelands in the United States related to climate change, the Northern Plains region is predicted to potentially benefit. For example, spring and winter precipitation is expected to increase in the Northern Great Plains, which is anticipated to increase forage and therefore livestock production. However, anticipated warmer spring temperatures may offset some of this potential production increase. Regardless, the possible net increase in forage and livestock productivity may lead to an increase in cattle numbers in the Northern Great Plains in coming decades. The potential northward movement of cattle from the Southern Plains and Southwestern United States may already be underway (Fig. 4), and can probably be expected to continue as these regions are anticipated to experience less precipitation coupled with increased temperatures in coming decades.

Other important issues related to climate change that may affect future cattle production in the Northern Great Plains include 1) increases in invasive species, 2) woody plant encroachment, 3) lengthened growing seasons, and 4) increases in extreme weather events (e.g., drought, downpours, heat waves, and cold spells). Potential respective adaptation strategies include using sheep and/or goats to control weeds and woody plants (although cattle themselves can be effective in some cases too), beginning grazing seasons earlier and/or ending them later, not grazing some pastures (“grassbanking”) to have emergency forage reserves in case of severe drought, and temporary geographical herd relocation.

New Resource for Enhancing Climate-Smart Decision Making by Ranchers

The USDA has recently launched Regional Climate Hubs to deliver science-based knowledge, practical information, management/conservation strategies, and decision tools such as the USDA–Natural Resources Conservation Service Drought Calculator to ranchers that may help them adapt to weather variability and changing climatic conditions. The USDA Northern Plains Regional Climate Hub will provide technical support for responding to changing climate conditions such as drought, extreme weather events, and lengthening growing seasons by showcasing applied research and adaptation demonstrations through partnerships to reduce enterprise risk and enhance resilience of rangelands. For example, data from our multilocation research will be made available via the Climate Hub portal to agencies or commercial ventures for use in developing and disseminating decision tools for ranchers. Other outreach and education efforts and products will be provided to ranchers on science-based risk management through land grant universities, cooperative extension, and USDA service agencies.

Conclusions

Ranching is a challenging and sometimes risky business, in part because highly variable seasonal weather patterns from year to year can cause rapid swings between boom and bust forage production. To effectively manage for such weather and forage variability, a better understanding of weather effects on cattle production is needed. Scientists with the USDA–ARS have begun to decipher these relationships using historical long-term datasets from the Northern Great Plains. Armed with the resulting knowledge that cool, wet springs, longer,
cooler growing seasons, and other plant–community–specific weather patterns can increase forage and cattle production, ranchers can use multiple strategies to adaptively manage their enterprise. For instance, seasonal weather forecasts such as those described from the National Weather Service can potentially provide an indication of forage production well in advance of the grazing season, although more specific and more local forecasts are needed. Also, because stocking rate can increase cattle production sensitivity to weather patterns, cow–calf herds can be reduced to less than 100% of a given ranch’s typical carrying capacity, with yearlings or seasonal contract grazing providing flexibility to increase forage utilization to normal (or even beyond) in times of ample forage production. New resources such as the USDA Regional Climate Hubs and remote sensing of forage production via satellite imagery will soon help to further facilitate effective decision making for ranchers in the Northern Great Plains. Using any number of these strategies will allow ranchers to plan for, instead of be forced to react to, poor seasonal weather conditions.
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