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Temperament Does Not Affect Steer Weight Gains on Extensively Managed Semiarid Rangeland

By Justin L. Reeves, and Justin D. Derner

On the Ground

- Cattle with poor temperaments gain less weight in feedlots. However, how yearling steer temperament affects weight gain on rangelands is a knowledge gap for ranchers.
- Flight speed, the speed at which cattle exit a chute after weighing, has been used to measure temperament in past feedlot studies (faster speed = poor temperament). We used flight speed scores in this study to measure yearling steer temperament at the beginning (mid-May) and end (early-October) of grazing seasons for 3 years: 2011–2013.
- We hypothesized that steer weight gains on extensively managed semiarid rangeland with low stocking densities (~0.11–0.15 steers/ha) would not be influenced by temperament due to the much lower animal densities and fewer handling events than experienced in feedlots.
- No meaningful relationships were found between season-beginning or season-ending flight speed score and steer average daily gain, and flight speed scores were often lower at the end of the season.
- Results suggest that ranchers operating stocker enterprises with extensive management and low stocking densities on rangelands can potentially be less selective for temperament when assembling herds.
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Most ranchers have first-hand experience with differences in cattle temperament, from the cow that will blow her top when her calf is taken to be tagged, to the herd pet that needs a good scratch behind the ears before anything else can be done with the rest of the herd. Everyone likes their docile animals, as cattle with poor temperaments are not much fun to work and can even be dangerous to handlers. Beyond this added danger, cattle with poor temperaments can also have tougher meat\(^1\,\,^2\,\,^3\) and reduced weight gains\(^2\,\,^4\) in feedlots. As one example, cattle with poor temperaments gained 0.19 kg/hd/day (or 0.42 lb/hd/day) less than their counterparts with calm temperaments.\(^2\) Temperament can clearly be an important consideration when assembling herds, not only from a safety standpoint\(^5\) but also from an economic standpoint,\(^6\) as lower weight gains and tougher meat would clearly affect bottom lines. Multiple factors, such as cattle breed,\(^3\,\,^7\,\,^8\) genetics,\(^8\,\,^9\) gender, and background,\(^8\) can influence cattle temperament, so understanding such influences (and especially their relationship to beef production and quality) would clearly be valuable to ranchers.

To help make wise breeding and herd assembly decisions regarding temperament, the American Angus Association (www.angus.org) has maintained a docility Expected Progeny Difference (EPD) since spring 2008.\(^6\) Although such resources exist and the effects of temperament on cattle performance in feedlots are well known, how yearling steer temperament affects weight gain on rangelands remains a knowledge gap for ranchers. On European pastures, less docile calves have been shown to have reduced weight gains,\(^8\) but it still remains possible that when grazing extensively managed rangelands, the relationship between temperament and weight gains may differ from feedlots.

To test the relationship between temperament and yearling steer weight gains on semiarid rangeland, cattle chute-exit behavior was evaluated for 3 years (2011–2013) at the United States Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service, Central Plains Experimental Range near Nunn, Colorado. Cattle “flight speed” or “exit speed” is one widely used method to study cattle temperament\(^8\,\,^10\,\,^11\) and is simply the speed at which an animal exits a chute after handling (faster speed = poor temperament). For this study, we assigned flight speed scores\(^8\,\,^12\) during weighing events of yearling steers. These data were used to test the hypothesis that steer
weight gains on extensively managed semiarid rangeland would not be influenced by temperament due to the much lower animal densities and fewer handling events than experienced in feedlots. Determining if a relationship exists (or not) between steer temperament and weight gains on rangelands would help ranchers make more informed herd assembly decisions for stocker operations, which was one of the primary goals of this study.

**Study Location, Facilities, and Methods**

The Central Plains Experimental Range (CPER) is located about 12 km northeast of Nunn, in north-central Colorado. Mean annual precipitation is 341 mm; mean growing season (April–September) precipitation is 274 mm. The cattle handling facilities at CPER were designed and constructed by Grandin Livestock Handling Systems, Inc., Fort Collins, Colorado in 2003, following low-stress facilities principles. For instance, the facility has a high-fenced, cornerless alley with a nonslip floor, and all handlers are trained to remain quiet and calm and to utilize “flight zone” and “point of balance” principles to move only small groups of steers through the facility at a time. For season-beginning (mid-May) and season-ending (early-October) weighing events that were performed as a regular part of other grazing experiments, steers were gathered beginning at 3:00 p.m. the day prior to weighing and gathering was completed within 1 hour. Steers were then held overnight without feed or water in a corral that gave each animal four times the industry standard of ~1.9 m² per animal. Steers were individually weighed beginning at 8:00 AM the next day. To weigh steers and determine steer temperament, a Heavy Duty Extended Model Silencer hydraulic squeeze chute with a platform scale was used. At all stages of the gathering and weighing processes, cattle handlers used low stress cattle handling techniques. All experimental protocols were approved by the CPER Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Grazing Experiment and Flight Speed Scoring**

All steers across study years (2011–2013; n = 1638) were Bos taurus and privately owned by ranchers in the Crow Valley Livestock Cooperative, Inc. New sets of steers from various producers were used each year. Steer color was recorded by the investigators each year for identification purposes, but color was not reliably equated to breed (breed information was not provided by ranchers). Study steers were all yearlings born the prior spring and the majority (~2/3) were black colored. Low stocking densities of ~0.15 steers/ha (0.06 steers/acre) were used in 2011 and 2012; this was reduced to ~0.11 steers/ha (0.045 steers/acre) in 2013 due to severe drought in 2012. For each year, steers were randomly placed in pastures that were either 65 or 130 ha at a moderate stocking rate of ~0.6 animal unit months per hectare (AUM/ha). Steers were continuously grazed for the entire grazing season (mid-May to early-October) and were weighed at the beginning and end of each grazing season to assess weight gains as a regular part of other grazing experiments. During the season-beginning weighing, steers were given a pour-on insecticide and an ear tag. Throughout the grazing season, steers were counted and visually examined for health issues at a 3-day and end of each grazing season to assess weight gains as a regular part of other grazing experiments. During the season-beginning weighing, steers were given a pour-on insecticide and an ear tag. Throughout the grazing season, steers were counted and visually examined for health issues at a distance from vehicles three times per week with minimal handling otherwise. Distances from study pastures to county roads, which bisect CPER, were similar and all pastures contained two-track trails around pasture perimeters.

Similar to prior studies, we used cattle flight speed scores as a measure of temperament. The scores used were: 1 = walk; 2 = trot; 3 = run; 4 = jump. These scores represented the speed at which the steers exited the chute and were assigned immediately after steer weight was recorded and the chute gate was opened. Steers were in the chute for less than 20 seconds on average. For all measurements, the observers were behind the chute opening, and no humans were in front of or directly beside the steers during chute release or scoring. When steers rarely fell out of the chute upon release at either the beginning or end of the season, these animals were excluded from the dataset (n = 24 total steers across years) because it was impossible to determine if agitation (or other factors, such as accidental loss of balance) caused the fall. This rating system was selected because it was easy to use and equipment was not available to measure actual flight speed. However, the methods used here have been shown to be closely related to (and interchangeable with) actual flight speed, making them useful for determining temperament relationships to weight gain. For all 3 study years, two observers (same two each year) assigned flight speed scores. Scores were immediately

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Spring precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Forage production (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Mean steer season-beginning weight (kg ± SD)</th>
<th>Mean steer ADG (kg/hd/day ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>271.4 ± 25.0</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>294.5 ± 29.1</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>277.7 ± 24.5</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Yearly data (independent of flight speed scores) for spring (April–June) precipitation and corresponding forage production (estimated from a representative study pasture), mean steer season-beginning weight, and mean steer average daily gain (ADG) at the Central Plains Experimental Range.
discussed and agreed upon for each steer in rare cases (estimated ≤5%) of initial disagreement.

To test for relationships between flight speed score and average daily gain over the grazing season (ADG; kg/hd/day), we used a mixed statistical model (REML method) in the statistical analysis program JMP 10.0.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The model included year and pasture as random effects to account for differences in steer groups and forage production (see Table 1) across years, as well as differences in steer weight gain by pasture (that would have been unrelated to flight speed/temperament). Both season-beginning and season-ending flight speed scores were tested separately as fixed effects for relationships with weight gain.

Steer Weight Gain Not Related to Temperament
Independent of temperament, steer weight gains differed by year at CPER due to variations in precipitation and corresponding forage production (see Table 1; Fig. 1A and B). However, our statistical model took these differences into account. Although the model found a statistically significant effect of season-beginning flight speed score on weight gain between steers with scores of 1 and 2 (Fig. 1C), the difference was very small (~0.02 kg/hd/day more for 1s than 2s). The difference was likely only found by the model because of high numbers of steers in the dataset (i.e., high statistical power; n = 641 and 688 steers with scores of 1 and 2, respectively). All other comparisons between other season-beginning scores were non-significant (see Fig. 1C) and there was no effect of season-ending flight speed score on steer ADG (Fig. 1D).

Across years, to start the grazing season, steers were most likely (42%) to display a flight speed score 2 (trot; see Fig. 2A). However, to end the grazing season, steers were most likely (66.2%) to exhibit a score of 1 (walk; see Fig. 2A). Scores of 3 (run) and 4 (jump) represented 11.7% and 7.1% of total steers across years, respectively, to start the grazing season. At season’s end, these percentages dropped to just 4.1% and 0.2%, respectively (see Fig. 2A). Mean seasonal flight speed score difference (start minus end score) across all steers (n = 1638) was −0.5 ± 0.8 SD. Mean score difference was −1.0 ± 0.9 SD across steers that changed in flight speed score at the end of the season (i.e., non-zero difference; n = 772).
Management Implications

Our hypothesis that steer weight gain on extensively managed semiarid rangeland would not be related to temperament was supported. No meaningful relationships were found between season-beginning or ending flight speed score and steer ADG. The stocking densities used here (~0.11–0.15 ha/steer) are several orders of magnitude lower than in typical US feedlots (~430–717 steers/ha).16 It was not surprising, then, that differences in effects of temperament on weight gain exist between extensively managed rangeland and feedlots. As a result, ranchers operating stocker enterprises with extensive management and low stocking densities on rangelands can potentially be less selective for temperament when assembling herds.

Previous studies have shown consistency and even increases in flight speed across measurements,4,8 and agitated cattle (particularly bulls) often remain agitated across handling events.5,17 However, many of our study steers had reduced flight speed scores at the end of the grazing season, which has also been observed by others.1,2 One possible explanation for reduced cattle temperament ratings over time is that cattle can become acclimated to handling and experience less stress if treated well across events.18–20 We can hypothesize that the flight speed score reductions observed here may have occurred because the steers were processed through the cattle handling facility once before with use of low-stress animal handling techniques (causing the animals to potentially habituate).1,2 However, because 5 months went by between flight speed scores in our study (making it difficult to determine if habituation did occur), another possible explanation is that ear tag application at the season-beginning weighing could have contributed to heightened agitation and therefore higher flight speed scores at the start of the grazing season. Regardless of the specific reason for the seasonal score reductions, again no meaningful relationships were found between flight speed score and steer ADG.

Prior studies have shown interesting variability in various temperament scores, so more research is needed to determine when and why temperament scores can be expected to differ over time. For example, larger animal size can be related to both increased4 and decreased7 temperament ratings, so there is still much to be learned about cattle temperament and its relationship to performance in a variety of settings.

Where Do We go From Here?

Given that low stocking densities were used in this study, it should be examined if temperament affects weight gains on semiarid rangeland at very high or ultra-high (“mob grazing”) stocking densities (e.g., ~200 to >1000 steers/ha, respectively),21 which much more closely mimic stocking densities in feedlots. These much higher stocking densities (and associated increased human contact) may lead not only to potentially higher cattle stress, but may also affect weight gains via lower diet selectivity on rangeland.21 Under such circumstances, cattle temperament may still need to be a priority when assembling stocker steer herds.

Another open question is the transferability of the temperament improvements by our study steers to feedlots and subsequent feedlot performance. That is, will steers with higher initial flight speed scores maintain their lower scores and gain similar weight in feedlots compared with the initially calmer steers in their herd? It has been shown that flight speed of weaned calves was negatively related to later feedlot performance (high flight speed = lower weight gains),2 so it is possible that extensively managed steers on semiarid rangeland may still perform relatively poorly in feedlots if they have poor temperaments. However, steers that habituate to handling on rangelands can also have reduced weight gains in feedlots compared to unhabituated counterparts.22 The relationship between rangeland temperament eventual feedlot weight gains should be examined in future studies, as it would benefit the cattle industry to understand if and how
management and animal handling affects yearling steer performance on rangelands versus feedlots. As a start, our study shows that under extensive management and low stocking densities, steers with initially poor temperaments can be expected to still gain weight well, and may even be calmer at the end of the grazing season.
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