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#### Abstract

Summary - Pratylenchus arlingtoni n. sp. from the rhizosphere of grasses Poa pratensis and Festuca arundinacea at Arlington National Cemetery, VA, USA is characterised by six to eight lines in the lateral field, and pyriform to slightly overlapping pharyngeal glands. Morphological comparisons are made with lesion nematodes having similar morphometrics, six lateral lines, or crenate tail tips. Molecular sequences of the LS 28S rDNA were generated for the new species as well as P. fallax and P. convallariae. The new species differs by only $1 \%$ from identical sequences found in $P$. fallax and $P$. convallariae.
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During a search for nematodes in Arlington National Cemetery, Arlington, VA, USA, we discovered a new lesion nematode from soil around grass roots. This new species described hereunder as Pratylenchus arlingtoni n. sp. had some morphological similarities to crenatetailed Pratylenchuscrenatus Loof, 1960 and Pratylenchus fallax Seinhorst, 1968, two other lesion nematode species found in turf in North America and Europe (Yu et al., 1998).

The purpose of this study was to morphologically and molecularly describe the new nematode and compare it with nematodes having similar characters, such as $P$. fallax and P. convallariae Seinhorst, 1959. One of these characters was the D3 region of the 28 S rDNA, which encodes part of the Large Subunit (LS) rRNA (Baldwin et al., 1997) recently used to characterise other species of Pratylenchus and their phylogenetic relationships (AlBanna et al., 1997; Duncan et al., 1999).

## Materials and methods

## MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISATION

P. arlingtoni n. sp. was found in turf (Poa pratensis and Festuca arundinacea) soil from Arlington National Cemetery, Arlington, VA, USA, in November, 1999.
P. convallariae and P. fallax were isolated from intercepted lily of the valley shipments (March, 1999 and January, 2000, respectively, from a company in Europe) after collection at JFK International Airport, Jamaica, New York by Bernice Medina and dispatched to us, for identification, by Alan Towson, USDA, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS).

Nematodes were extracted from soil with sieves or from chopped, infested roots over filter paper in Baermann funnels. Specimens were then handpicked and fixed in $3 \%$ formalin. Some specimens were studied in fixative on temporary slide mounts, others in permanent glycerine mounts (Golden, 1990), or viewed live, with or without 5 mM sodium azide for narcotisation on an agar pad (Stiernagle, 1999). Examinations were made with a compound light microscope and morphometric data obtained with an ocular micrometer. All measurements are in micrometers ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) unless otherwise specified. Light microscopic images of live and fixed nematodes were taken with the Bioquant ver. 3.2 imaging system (Biometrics, Inc., Nashville, TN, USA) on a Leitz Ortholux microscope. Differential interference contrast (DIC) images of live nematodes were taken with an imaging system employing Image-Pro Plus ver 3.0 (I-Cube Image Analysis/Image Processing, Crofton, MD, USA) on a Zeiss Ultraphot II microscope equipped with DIC optics. Nema-

[^0]todes were processed for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in room temperature 0.05 phosphate-buffered ( pH 6.8 ) $3 \%$ glutaraldehyde ( 12 h ) and $2 \%$ osmium tetroxide (2 h) and viewed after ethanol dehydration, critical-point drying and gold-palladium coating on a Jeol JSM-T300 microscope at 20 kV .

## Template preparation

Nematode extracts were prepared by the procedure of Williams et al. (1992). A single nematode was placed in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of digestion buffer ( 10 mM Tris pH 8.2 ; $2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2 ; 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl} ; 0.45 \%$ Tween $20 ; 0.05 \%$ gelatin; $60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ proteinase K ) and frozen at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min to several days. The extracts were thawed, overlaid with a drop of mineral oil, and warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Proteinase K was denatured by heating to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min .

## Amplification and sequencing

The D3 expansion region of 28S rDNA ( 345 nucleotide base pairs (bp) raw sequence) was amplified separately from two adult nematodes of each species, using hotstart reactions as described by Chou et al. (1992) with the following modifications. Manufacturer-supplied DisplayTAQ buffer (PGC Scientific, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dNTPs, 4 mM MgCl 2 , and $600 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each ribosomal DNA primer, originally designed by W. Kelley Thomas, University of Missouri, Kansas City, MO, USA. Primers D3A ( $5^{\prime}$-GACCCGTCTTGAAACACGGA-3') and D3B ( $5^{\prime}$-TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA- $3^{\prime}$ ) (Baldwin et al., 1997) were added to the bottom of 0.5 ml thinwall microcentrifuge tubes. A drop (ca $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of paraffin wax was overlaid and allowed to cool, forming an even barrier. The remaining TAQ buffer, template and Display TAQ were then layered on top of the wax. Cycling conditions were: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~min}$ (to allow hot start); $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1 \mathrm{~min} ; 52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}, \times 35$ cycles; $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 10 min . Reactions were analysed by gel electrophoresis.

DNA sequences were obtained by sequencing PCR products directly or by sequencing cloned PCR products. For direct sequencing, whole nematode extracts ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were included in the PCR reactions to generate a sufficient amount of PCR product. Prior to sequencing, the DNA was purified using the Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Sequencing reactions included 100 ng PCR product and 3.2 pmol D3A or D3B primer. To generate cloned PCR products for sequencing, $2 \mu 1$ nema-
tode extract was included in each PCR reaction. The resultant PCR products were cloned into the vector pCR2.1 using the Topo-TA Cloning kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Plasmid DNA was purified from bacterial cultures using Wizard Preps (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The sequencing reactions contained 200 ng plasmid template and the M13 forward or M13 reverse primers. All BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing was performed using an ABI 377 Sequencer (PE-Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Negative controls included reactions with water or a mock extract (no nematode) instead of DNA. A reaction containing 5 ng Meloidogynejavanica genomic DNA was included as a positive control. To confirm the authenticity of the sequences obtained, PCR amplification and DNA sequencing were performed on two individuals from the same nematode population. To account for the possibility of PCR-generated errors in the cloned PCR products, we compared the sequences from two or more clones obtained from the same nematode extract. If ambiguities were detected between clones, sequences derived directly from PCR products were used to resolve the conflict.

The sequences for $P$. arlingtonin. sp., P. convallariae and P. fallax have been deposited in the GenBank database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) as AF307328, AF196351, and AF264181, respectively.

## Alignment

From the 345 bp of raw sequence, 305 bp were used in the final alignment. The new sequences were aligned with the Clustal W (ver 1.4) program (Clustal W, WWW Service at the European Bioinformatics Institute, Rodrigo Lopez, Services Programme, http://www2.ebi.ac. uk/clustalw; Thompson et al., 1994) with all Pratylenchus sequences in the GenBank database. The closest sequence to the new species from the GenBank database is also shown in the alignment provided here (Fig. 5). Positions are numbered where 1 corresponds to number 3324 of the Caenorhabditis elegans 28S rRNA gene (Ellis et al., 1986; Al-Banna et al., 1997). The number and position of nucleotide differences among the four taxa were noted.

Table 1. Morphometrics of Pratylenchus arlingtoni $n$. sp. females. (All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$.) Values are means $\pm$ standard deviation. Values in parentheses indicate ranges.

| $n=20$ | Holotype | Paratypes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L | 423 | $455 \pm 37$ | $(405-535)$ |
| a | 24.8 | $27 \pm 3$ | $(21-33)$ |
| b | 4.0 | $4.4 \pm 0.4$ | $(4.1-5.3)$ |
| c | 20.6 | $22 \pm 2$ | $(81-28)$ |
| V | 81.5 | $82.3 \pm 1.2$ | $(16-17.5)$ |
| Stylet length | 17 | $16.8 \pm 0.5$ | $(1.1-1.5)$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | 2.0 | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | $(19-25)$ |
| Tail annule number | 21 | $20.9 \pm 1.8$ | $(6-8)$ aerolate extremes |
| Lateral line number | - | - | $(15-25)$ |
| Post-uterine sac | 25 | $19.4 \pm 2.8$ | $(14-17.5)$ |
| Vulval width | 15 | $15.9 \pm 1.1$ | $(43-70)$ |
| Vulva-Anus distance | 53 | $55.9 \pm 7.1$ | $(1.5-2.5)$ |
| Post-uterine sac/Vulval width | 1.7 | - | $(28-42)$ |
| Post-uterine sac/Vulva-anus $\times 100$ | 47 | - | $(6-31)$ pyriform to overlap |
| Pharyngeal overlap | - | $20 \pm 7.8$ | Not found/oval |
| Males/spermatheca | - | 3, slightly offset | Pharyngeal-intestinaljunction |
| Lip number, shape | - | $70 \pm 10$ | $(48-85)$ |
| Excretory pore position | - | $17 \pm 1.4$ | $(15-20)$ |
| Excretory pore-lips | - | $101 \pm 3.5$ | $(93-107)$ |
| Body diam. | 15 | $21 \pm 1.8$ | $(15-23)$ |
| Pharynx length | - | $2.7 \pm 0.3$ | $(2.5-3.0)$ |
| Tail length | - | $4.6 \pm 0.2$ | $(4.5-5.0)$ |
| Stylet knob height | - | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $(1.6-1.8)$ |
| Stylet knob width | - | $8.1+0.4$ | $(7.5-9.0)$ |
| Stylet knob width/height | - | $2.8 \pm 0.3$ | $(2.5-3.0)$ |
| Head diam. | - | $2.8 \pm 0.3$ | $(2.6-3.2)$ |
| Head height | - |  |  |
| Head diam./height |  |  |  |

## Pratylenchus arlingtoni* n. sp.

(Figs 1-3, 5)

## MEASUREMENTS

## Females

See Table 1.

## DESCRIPTION

## Female

Body vermiform with some tapering at extremities. Lip region slightly offset, three annules. Head framework extending inward for two or three annules. Anterior body annules measuring $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, tail annules measuring $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Stylet knobs broadly rounded, with anterior outer edges

[^1]directed slightly forward. Dorsal pharyngeal gland opening at 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ behind the stylet knobs. Lateral field beginning behind the level of the stylet as four narrow crenate lines, widening to five by the level of the median bulb, and six to eight by the anterior intestine level through to the vulva. Four lines extending to the phasmid just posterior to the vulva, after which three lines extend a few annules short of the tail tip. Lateral field sometimes aerolated at the extremes. Pharyngeal glands pyriform in approximately $25 \%$ of the specimens or slightly overlapping in others. Excretory pore and canal located within an area slightly above or below the pharyngeal-intestinal junction. Vulva elevated, the slit extending inward about $70 \%$ of the body diam. Anterior gonad with a single row of oocytes and extending forward for nearly three times the distance between the vulva and anus. An egg ( 36 -cell) within the body measuring $75 \times 21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; another ( 4 -cell) $64 \times 14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Oval spermatheca, $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length with apparent sperm cells of $1.4-2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam., $74 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ anterior to the vulva (one specimen out of at least 200). Distance from vulva to spermatheca, $120 \%$ of the distance between vulva and anus. Post-uterine sac generally undifferentiated, with a discernible tip cell or four columnar cells at the dorsal arch sometimes present. Phasmid located 10 to 15 annules above the tail tip. Tail terminus coarsely annulated, with variable shape from conoid, clavate to truncate, or sometimes bifid.

## Male

Not found.

## Type host and locality

Roots of turf (Poa pratensis, blue grass and Festuca arundinacea, tall fescue) under Quercus spp. (oaks) at Old Section 27, Arlington Cemetery, Arlington, VA, USA. This section of the cemetery was from the old Arlington Farm, uncultivated since the 1800 s.

## TYPE SPECIMENS

## Holotype

Slide T-543p deposited in the United States Department of Agriculture Nematode Collection (USDANC), Beltsville, MD, USA.

## Paratypes (females)

Same data and repository as holotype. Slides T-4918p4921p with 15 mixed stage females and slides T-4922p4924p with single adult females. Others deposited in the nematode collections at The University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA; The Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Centre for Plant Quarantine Pests, Ontario, Canada; Rothamsted Experimental Station, Rothamsted, UK; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Laboratoire des Vers, Paris, France; Wageningen University and Research Centre, Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen, The Netherlands, and the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg, Russia.

## DIAGNOSIS AND RELATIONSHIPS

P. arlingtoni n . sp. is unique among lesion nematode species in having six to eight lateral lines on specimens from the pharyngeal to the vulval region, and pharyngeal glands with a pyriform basal bulb to a shallow overlap. It


Fig. 1. Pratylenchus arlingtoni $n$. $s p$. (lateral views) A: Body; B: Lateral field, midbody; C: Head and pharynx; D-F: Tail.
also has a crenate tail tip, an elevated vulva, no observed males, and a very rare, oval spermatheca.
P. arlingtoni n . sp. shares a variably crenate tail with P. cerealis Haque, 1965, P. convallariae Seinhorst, 1959,


Fig. 2. Pratylenchus arlingtoni $n$. sp. Scanning electron micrographs. A, B: Lip region (face view) with and without amphid secretion; C: Lip region (lateral view); D: Body, E: Tail and phasmid opening (arrow); F: Lateral field; G: Tail region (lateral view).


Fig. 3. Pratylenchus arlingtoni n. sp. A: Head end (lateral) with median bulb, light microscopy (LM); B: Pharynx, pyriform basal bulb (ventrolateralview), LM; C: Female tail (lateral view), LM; D: Head (lateral view), Differentialinterference contrast (DIC); E: Lateral field, midbody LM; F: Pharyngeal-intestinaljunction (pij) and excretory canal (exc) (lateral view), DIC; G: Lateral field, mid-body, DIC; H: Spermatheca with sperm (lateral view), DIC; I: Female gonad with vulva, egg, post-uterine sac (lateral view), DIC.
P. crenatus Loof, 1960, P. fallax Seinhorst, 1968, P. gibbicaudatus Minagawa, 1982, P. gutierrezi Golden, López \& Vílchez, 1992, P. pratensis (de Man, 1880) Filipjev, 1936, P. pseudofallax Café-Filho \& Huang, 1989, P. roseus Zarina \& Maqbool, 1998, P. teres Khan \& Singh, 1974, and P. yassini Zeidan \& Geraert, 1991.
$P$. crenatus, $P$. roseus and $P$. teres may also have up to six lateral lines. P. arlingtoni n . sp. is morphologically most similar to $P$. crenatus, but differs by longer pharynx (93-107 vs 57-78 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), pyriform to slightly overlapping basal pharyngeal glands (6-31 vs 17-38 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), somewhat lower b value ( $4.1-5.3$ vs $4.9-7.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $P$. crenatus; Loof, 1960; Torres \& Chaves, 1999; Urek, 1999), smaller post-uterine sac length relative to vulval-anal distance (PUS/VA $\times$ 100: $28-42$ vs $40-50$ ), lower $c^{\prime}$ value (1.1-1.5 vs $1.6-2.9$ ), more elevated vulva, and six to eight lateral lines compared to four to six lines. The excretory pore in $P$. arlingtoni n . sp. is often below the pharyngeal-intestinal junction ( $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ij}$ ), and is above the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ij}$ in $P$. crenatus. P. arlingtoni n . sp. lacks a vulval membrane, has a longer stylet ( $16-17.5 \mathrm{vs} 15-16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and a shorter pharyngealoverlap (6-31 vs 118-130 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) compared to $P$. roseus. $P$. arlingtoni n . sp. differs from P. teres by more posterior vulva ( $\mathrm{V}=81-86$ vs 69-78), fewer tail annules ( $19-25$ vs 24-30), shorter pharyngeal overlap (6-31 vs $58 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), longer PUS/VA $\times 100$ (28-42 $v s 18$ ) and six to eight lateral lines rather than only six.

When $P$. arlingtoni n . sp . is compared to both $P$. convallariae and P. fallax, it has a lower b value (4.1-5.3 vs 6.0 -9.0 and 5.2-6.7, respectively), and more posterior vulva ( $\mathrm{V}=81-86$ vs $78-81$ and $77-81$, respectively), lacks males and has two to four more lateral lines. P. arlingtoni n. sp. also has more tail annules (19-25 vs 16-19) than P. convallariae. The new species has a longer stylet (16.0$17.5 \mathrm{vs} 15.0-15.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) more offset lip region, and coarser tail annulation than P. fallax. Differences from P. fallax also apply to $P$. pseudofallax(Café-Filho \& Huang, 1989). $P$. arlingtoni n . sp. differs from $P$. penetrans by having a crenate tail in all specimens, lack of observed males, lower b value (4.1-5.3 vs 5.3-7.9), shorter pharyngeal overlap (6-31 vs 32-65 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), and longer PUS/VW (1.5-2.5 vs 1.0-1.5).

## Observations on intercepted Pratylenchus fallax and $P$. convallariae

P. fallax is common in sandy or sandy-peat soils (Seinhorst, 1977) around grass and ornamentals of many European countries (Webb, 1990) as well as the Canadian
provinces of Quebec and Ontario (Yu et al., 1998). However, P. fallax was found in the United States only in 1974 on strawberries in Iowa (Norton, 1984). Based on its geographic distribution and pathogenicity to barley and maize in Europe (Corbett, 1970, 1972), it is under US quarantine restriction (Joseph Cavey, pers. comm.).

A morphologicallyrelated species, $P$. convallariae Seinhorst, 1959 is a non-endemic quality pest, common in light, sandy soils (Seinhorst, 1959) from Convallaria majalis (lily of the valley) shipments from northern European countries to the United States. It was detected at least six times at the USDA Nematology Laboratory from late 1998 to late 2000. Because of its limited geographic distribution but long-term presence in the United States, it is not subject to regulatory action (Joseph Cavey, pers. comm.).
P. fallax was originally distinguished from $P$. convallariae by its shorter body length, narrower, more numerous tail annules, and lower male to female ratio (Seinhorst, 1968). P. fallax was synonymised by Frederick and Tarjan (1989) with P. cerealis Haque, 1965 because of the belief, shared by Loof (1978), that the flattened condition of the type specimens accounted for an artificially much lower a ratio. However, the original description of $P$. fallax also distinguished it from $P$. cerealis by a longer body length ( $0.42-0.56$ vs $0.39-0.43 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), more posterior excretory pore (between nerve ring and pharyngeal-intestinal valve $v s$ at level of median bulb in P. cerealis), a longer tail, and more obscure, flatter lip annules (Seinhorst, 1968).

Recently, both P. fallax and P. convallariae were detected in a shipment of $C$. majalis from France, through the Netherlands and destined for the state of Ohio, USA. Mounted specimens of original paratypes of $P$. fallax were compared with P. convallariae from a previous interception. Intermediate morphological forms were also noticed between the typical $P$. convallariae and $P$. fallax. Photographs and supplemental measurements of representative members of each species are provided and compared with previous descriptions.

The $P$. fallax population from France had typical spe-cies-diagnostic characters of crenate tail terminus in all members of the population (compared to P. penetrans), oblique, central lateral field striations, body length ( 0.48 - 50.5 vs $0.50-0.56 \mathrm{~mm}$ in original description vs 0.58 0.61 mm for $P$. convallariae), rounded to anchor-shaped stylet knobs compared to more tulip-shaped knobs in P. convallariae, tail annule numbers (19-26 vs 16-19 in $P$. convallariae), and rare males to distinguish it from P. convallariae (Table 2, Fig. 4) or P. penetrans where males are more numerous. Stylet lengths in this popula-

Table 2. Morphometrics of Pratylenchus fallax and P. convallariae (after Seinhorst, 19591, 1968², 1977³; Loof, 19914. * $=$ measure derived from original description). Values are in $\mu \mathrm{m}$, and those followed by $(n=10)$ were derived by the authors, and are presented as range followed by mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

| Measure | P. fallax Seinhorst, 1968 |  |  | P. convallariae Seinhorst, 1959 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | 420-560 |  |  | 580-610 |  |  |
| a | 24-33 |  |  | 23-27 |  |  |
| b | 5.2-6.7 |  |  | 6.0-9.0 |  |  |
| c | 18-24 |  |  | 17-28 |  |  |
| V | 77-81 |  |  | 78-81 |  |  |
| Stylet length | 15-15.5 |  |  | 16-17 |  |  |
| Tail annule number | 16-26 |  |  | 16-19 |  |  |
| Lateral line number | 4 , centre often oblique |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Post-uterine sac/Vulval width | 1.0-1.6 |  |  | 1.4-2.0 |  |  |
| Post-uterine sac/Vulva-anus $\times 100$ | 25-33 |  |  | 21-25 |  |  |
| Pharyngeal overlap | 20-44 (31) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | 31-55 (41) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| Male:Female ratio | 1:5 |  |  | up to $1: 1$ |  |  |
| Spermatheca shape | round/oval when empty |  |  | round |  |  |
| Lip number | 3 |  |  | 3 , offset |  |  |
| Excretory pore position | at/posterior to nerve ring |  |  | at/posterior to nerve ring |  |  |
| Phasmid-tail terminus | 9-13 annules ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | $6^{*}-8$ annules ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |  |  |
| Pharynx | 92-115 | $102.6 \pm 7.7$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 102-122 | $111 \pm 7.2$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| Pharyngeal overlap | 25-35 | $30.2 \pm 3.7$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 30-40 | $34.1 \pm 3.1$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | 4.3-5.2 | $4.8 \pm 0.4$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 4.0-4.8 | $4.4 \pm 0.2$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| $c^{\prime}$ | 1.7-2.2 | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 2.0-2.4 | $2.2 \pm 0.1$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| Post-uterine sac | 16-25 | $20.6 \pm 3.4$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 16-26 | $19.9 \pm 2.6$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| Vulva-anus distance | 72-90 | $78.8 \pm 6.1$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 70-88 | $76.8 \pm 6.5$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| Vulval width | 16-20 | $17.9 \pm 1.3$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 14-20 | $17.1 \pm 1.6$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| Vulva-spermatheca | 28-42 | $32.6 \pm 4.9$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 23-50 | $34.2 \pm 7.3$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |
| Vulva-spermathecaNulva-anus $\times 100$ | 31-58 | $42 \pm 10$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | 33-59 | $44 \pm 10$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) |

tion (15.5-17 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) overlapped the narrow range for both P. fallax (15-15.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) and $P$. convallariae (16-17 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). The $P$. fallax population also had male tails with a slight concavity on the posterior third of the bursa (Fig. 4I) as in the original drawing (Seinhorst, 1968). This P.fallax male bursal profile with the concavity after the papillar phasmid is different from the uniformly convex bursal profile descriptions (Seinhorst, 1959) and observations from P. convallariae (Fig. 4N). Measurement ranges (Table 2), supplementing those in the literature, include $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ values, post-uterine sac length, vulva-anus distance, vulva width, vulva spermatheca and vulva-spermatheca relative to vulva-anus distance. These measures are similar for $P$. fallax and $P$. convallariae, but pharynx length or overlap was slightly greater in $P$. convallariae than P. fallax. The pharyngeal overlaps occurred within the range of those already published (Loof, 1991). However, even the highest value of the $30-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overlap (average $=34 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) measured here for $P$. convallariae fell within the lower
quarter of the 32-65 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ range for $P$. penetrans overlap (Loof, 1991). The number of tail annules from the terminus to the phasmid was another differentiating character between P. fallax (9-13 annules) and $P$. convallariae (less than nine annules) based on literature descriptions and observations in specimens from these two populations. Exceptional individuals had $P$. fallax-like tail annulation, with $P$. convallariae-like stylet knob-shape and length or bifurcated tail termini with more tail annules. One of these individuals had identical 28 S rDNA D3 sequences to those of completely true-to-type $P$. convallariae and P. fallax from separate shipments. Conversely, we measured a population $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ with all the other characteristics of $P$. convallariae except for smaller body length ( 0.46 - 0.58 mm ) that bridges the originally non-overlapping published length ranges for P. fallax ( $0.42-0.56 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Seinhorst, 1959) and $P$. convallariae ( $0.58-0.51 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Seinhorst, 1968). A voucher specimen (UCDNC 3279) of this $P$. crenatus conformed to the species description.


Fig. 4. Comparison of Pratylenchus fallax and P. convallariae (lateral view), DIC A, B: P. fallax head; C: P. fallax lateral field, midbody; $D$ : P. fallax female tail; E: P. fallax male tail; F, G: P. convallariae female heads; H: P. convallariae lateral field, mid-body; I, J: P. convallariae female tails.

## Molecular characterisation

Shown in Fig. 5 are aligned sequences of the D3 region of the LS 28 S rDNA for $P$. arlingtoni, designated as 'arl', for $P$. convallariae as 'con', and for $P$. fallax as 'fal'. Also shown is the sequence for P. penetrans (Al-Banna et al., 1997) from the GenBank database that most nearly resembled our new sequences.

The 28 S rDNA sequences of typical specimens of P. convallariae and P. fallax were identical. P. arlingtoni n . sp. differed from that sequence by three base pairs (bp)/305 ( $1 \%$ difference), which included a G substitution from A at position 55 in the sequence or 56 in the alignment, and a T substitution from C at position 71 in
the sequence or 73 in the alignment, both within the variable region of the sequence. The third change, a G insertion between G and T at position 251 in the sequence or 256 in the alignment, occurred in a highly conserved region of the molecule. The P. arlingtoni sequence differed by $9 / 305 \mathrm{bp}$ ( $3 \%$ difference) from P. penetrans which included the previous changes, plus changes in common with $P$. fallax and $P$. convallariae. These shared differences among the three species relative to $P$. penetrans included a C substitution from G at position 39 in the alignment, C substitution from A at position 69 , G from T at position 71, T from A at position 82, G from A at position 84, C from T at position 139, G from A at position 148, and A from G at position 231. The sequence
fal CCAAGGAGTTTATCGTGTGCGCAAGTCATTGGGTGTTCAAAACTC-AAAGGCGCAATGAA con CCAAGGAGTTTATCGTGTGCGCAAGTCATTGGGTGTTCAAAACTC-AAAGGCGCAATGAA arl CCAAGGAGTTTATCGTGTGCGCGAGTCATTGGGTGTTCAAAACTC-AAAGGCGCAGTGAA pen CCAAGGAGTTTATCGTGTGCGCAAGTCATTGGGTGTTGAAAACTC-AAAGGCGCAATGAA 120
fal AGTAAA-GCAGCC--GCAAGGTTGCGACGTGTGATCTGAGCAATCACGATTGCCTGGAGC con AGTAAA-GCAGCC--GCAAGGTTGCGACGTGTGATCTGAGCAATCACGATTGCCTGGAGC arl AGTAAA-GCAGCT--GCAAGGTTGCGACGTGTGATCTGAGCAATCACGATTGCCTGGAGC pen AGTAAA-GAATCC--GCAAGGATACGACGTGTGATCTGAGCAATCACGATTGCCTGGAGC 180
fal AACATGGCCCCATTCTGGCCGCTTGCGGCGGGGTGGAGGAAGAGCGTACGCGGTGAGACC con AACATGGCCCCATTCTGGCCGCTTGCGGCGGGGTGGAGGAAGAGCGTACGCGGTGAGACC arl AACATGGCCCCATTCTGGCCGCTTGCGGCGGGGTGGAGGAAGAGCGTACGCGGTGAGACC pen AACATGGCCCCATTCTGGTCGCTTGCGACGGGGTGGAGGAAGAGCGTACGCGGTGAGACC 240
fal CGAAAGATGGTGAACTATTCCTGAGCAGGATGAAGTCAGAGGAAACTCTGATGGAAGTCC con CGAAAGATGGTGAACTATTCCTGAGCAGGATGAAGTCAGAGGAAACTCTGATGGAAGTCC arl CGAAAGATGGTGAACTATTCCTGAGCAGGATGAAGTCAGAGGAAACTCTGATGGAAGTCC pen CGAAAGATGGTGAACTATTCCTGAGCAGGATGAAGCCAGAGGAAACTCTGGTGGAAGTCC 300
fal GAAGCGATTCTGACG-TGCAAATCGATCGTCTGACTTGGGTATAGGGGCGAAAGACTAAT con GAAGCGATTCTGACG-TGCAAATCGATCGTCTGACTTGGGTATAGGGGCGAAAGACTAAT arl GAAGCGATTCTGACGGTGCAAATCGATCGTCTGACTTGGGTATAGGGGCGAAAGACTAAT pen GAAGCGATTCTGACG-TGCAAATCGATCGTCTGACTTGGGTATAGGGGCGAAAGACTAAT 305
fal CGAAC
con CGAAC
arl CGAAC
pen CGAAC
Fig. 5. Sequence alignments of $L S 28 S r D N A$ with Clustal $W$ for Pratylenchus arlingtonin. sp., P. convallariae, P. fallax and P. penetrans. pen: Closest sequence in Genbank to the others, P. penetrans, accession number U47546 (Al-Banna et al., 1997); arl: P. arlingtoni; con: P. convallariae; fal: P. fallax; -: Gap. Nucleotides differing from the others are underlined and in bold.
of $P$. fallax/P. convallariae differed from $P$. penetrans by $12 / 305$ bp ( $4 \%$ ). The sequence for $P$. crenatus, Accession number U47549 (not shown in Table 5) (Al-Banna et al., 1997) was fairly distant from P. arlingtoni n. sp., differing by 49/305 bp ( $16 \%$ ), where all but six major changes occurred in a variable region between alignment positions 60 and 175.

## Discussion

The unusual character of six or eight lateral lines was reported (Roman \& Hirschmann, 1969) in a small proportion of the populations of P. brachyurus (Godfrey, 1929) Filipjev \& Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941, P. coffeae (Zimmerman, 1898) Filipjev \& Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941, P. penetrans (Cobb, 1917) Filipjev \& Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941, P. scribneri Steiner, 1943, P. vulnus Allen \& Jensen, 1951 and P. zeae Graham, 1951. How-
ever, P. arlingtoni n . sp. is the first nematode with a consistent six to eight, just as four to six have been found in a few other lesion nematode species.

Pharyngeal characters of P. arlingtoni n . sp. are important for its identification. The pharyngeal overlap was a diagnostically and phylogenetically reliable character in well-preserved specimens in recent morphological and molecular studies with multiple Pratylenchus species (Loof, 1991; Al-Banna et al., 1997; Duncan et al., 1999).

Despite the morphological similarity of $P$. arlingtoni n. sp. to $P$. crenatus populations described from Europe (Loof, 1960, 1991; Urek, 1999) and South America (Torres \& Chaves, 1999), there is substantial 28 S rDNA sequence difference between $P$. arlingtoni n . sp. and the P. crenatus population from Oregon (Al Banna et al., 1997) and a population from Ohio (unpubl.). Just as AlBanna et al. (1997) proposed the genus Pratylenchus to be polyphyletic, crenate-tailed nematodes with $P$. crena-tus-like morphology might be polyphyletic as well.

Although $P$. arlingtonin. sp. and $P$. crenatus are morphologically similar, they are molecularly distant. However, the identical DNA sequences here for $P$. fallax and $P$. convallariaecould lend support to a proposal that $P$. fallax be considered a subspecies or synonym of $P$. convallariae due to morphological similarity. It would be premature to synonymise $P$. fallax as $P$. cerealis, as suggested by Frederick and Tarjan (1989), when both species might be better synonymised with $P$. convallariae, due to overlapping morphological and molecular characters and priority of description. Breeding studies might be helpful since the morphologically intermediate specimens in this report may have been sterile hybrids of two species, or simply natural variants within a single biological species.
P. fallax was originally described as being morphologically similar to the highly variable $P$. penetrans (Seinhorst, 1968), with fewer males, a generally longer pharyngeal overlap (Loof, 1991) and occasional populationswith crenate tails (Tarté \& Mai, 1976). P. fallax was also different from P. penetrans in lacking fertile hybrid progeny (Perry et al., 1980) and on isozyme gels (Ibrahim et al., 1995) or with restriction-enzyme-digested fragments of the rDNA ITS region (Waeyenberge et al., 2000). Here, it appears that $P$. fallax may share at least as close a biological relationship with $P$. convallariae as with $P$. penetrans. Both $P$. convallariae and $P$. fallax have relatively narrow, overlapping morphometric ranges compared to other lesion nematodes based on keys and information presented here, and both are found with similar soil types, hosts and overlapping geographic regions. However, careful comparative pathogenicity testing has been limited for $P$. convallariae and P. fallax (Webb, 1990).
Because of the morphological and molecular relationship of $P$. arlingtoni n. sp., P. fallax and $P$. convallariae, and their limited distribution or absence in the United States, there is a need for further survey of lesion nematodes, particularly in the United States, Canada and Eurasia. It is difficult to believe that $P$. fallax is restricted to the southern provinces of Canada but not the northern states of the United States. However, if true, there may be a parallel in $P$. fallax being common in Great Britain (Corbett, 1970), while both $P$. convallariae and $P$. fallax are found at lower latitudes in Europe (Seinhorst, 1959, 1977). The influence of temperature on morphological variability in these species as currently characterised might be considered. Further tests of European, Canadian and U.S. populations of these related nematodes are needed to evaluate current regulatory restrictions on P. fallax.
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