

Nutrient Composition in Ground Pork using Regression Techniques

Juhi Williams^{1,2}, Kristine Patterson¹, Juliette Howe¹ (Retired), Ceci Snyder², Karen Boillot², Phillip Lofgren², Leslie Thompson³, Anamarie Luna³, Abhijit Nalawade³, Larry Douglass⁴, Joanne Holden¹: ¹Nutrient Data Laboratory, Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, ARS/USDA, Beltsville, MD 20705, ²National Pork Board, Clive, IA 50325, ³Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 70409, ⁴University of Maryland, College Park, MD.



Abstract: New data on nutrient composition of ground pork products available in the US retail market were needed to update the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (SR) and to support nutritional intake studies of the population. A collaborative study was conducted to determine the mathematical relationship between individual nutrients and fat content of raw ground pork using mixed model regression analysis. Sixteen ground pork samples were obtained from each of the four US commercial packers. These samples were formulated by the packer to provide the following fat levels low fat, 1 to 8%; medium fat, 10 to 25%; and high fat, 26 to 30%. Samples from each fat level were divided into aliquots for raw products, grilled patties, and pan-browned crumbles. Patties and crumbles were cooked on a pre-heated electric skillet to an internal temperature of 165° F. After cooling, and draining using a prescribed method, samples were homogenized into individual or composite samples for nutrient analysis. Samples were analyzed for proximates, cholesterol, and B-vitamins using AOAC methods and for mineral content by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Quality assurance was monitored through the use of certified reference materials, in-house controls, and random duplicate sampling. For, most nutrients, in the raw sample and in cooked crumbles the relationship to raw total fat content was linear. For many lipid-associated nutrients in grilled samples however, the relationship to raw total fat was quadratic. A linear relationship existed between total fat (from 2-30%) and the minerals K, Mg, P and Se. Niacin and thiamin had a positive linear relationship with raw total fat whereas no relationship was noted with riboflavin and total fat. Equations developed during this study are designed to be used by the pork industry to estimate nutrient values for labeling ground pork products. These equations will be disseminated with SR 22.

Introduction

• Ground pork is a combination of lean meat and fat, derived from various pork cuts. It is ground finely or coarsely depending on the amount of fat present. Previous studies indicated that total fat in ground pork products sold in retail stores ranges from 4% to 30%.

• Data on the nutrient composition of representative ground pork products currently available in the US retail market was needed to update the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (SR).

• Scientists at USDA (Nutrient Data Laboratory), the National Pork Board and Texas Tech University collaborated to determine the mathematical relationship between the content of individual nutrients and the fat content of raw ground pork using mixed model regression analysis in order to predict nutrient content based on fat content.

Objectives

• Establish the mathematical relationship between the analytical values for various nutrients and the total fat content of raw ground pork using regression techniques.

• Develop regression equations for estimating the nutrient content of retail ground pork for three total fat content levels defined as low fat (2-6%), medium fat (14-18%) and high fat (26-30%).

• Applying the resulting equations to predict the nutrient profiles for ground pork (raw, pan-browned crumbles, and pan-broiled patties) at three fat levels (4%, 16% and 28%).

Methodology

• **Sampling and preparation:** Ground pork samples were obtained from four US commercial packers (Smithfield, Premium Standard Farms, Farmland, and Johnsonville). These samples were specially formulated by the packer to provide the following fat levels; low fat (2 – 6%), medium fat (14-18%) and high fat (26 - 30%). Samples from each fat level were prepared under three conditions: as raw product, pan-broiled patties, and pan-browned crumbles. They were composited within fat levels across packers; according to the sampling plan (Fig 1).

• **Pan-broiled patties** - Approximately 112 g of raw ground pork was weighed and formed into patties. Patties were grilled for 13-15 minutes, on a preheated to 204°C West Bend skillet turning once, Patties were removed from the pan when the internal temperature reached 74°C and allowed to cool for 5 minutes on a rack.

• **Pan-browned crumbles** - Approximately 224g of raw ground pork were weighed and blended into patties. Three to four patties were placed on a pre-heated 204°C West Bend electric skillet and pan-browned for 5 minutes. Patties were broken apart with a silicon turner while browning to form crumbles and removed from the pan when the internal temperature reached 74°C. Crumbles were drained in a colander to remove excess fat. Crumbles were allowed to cool at room temperature for 5 minutes then placed into clean unsealed vacuum bags and stored in the cooler at 3°C.

• **Analytical Methodology:** Valid and accepted methods of the AOAC¹ were used for nutrient analysis as indicated in Fig 1.

• **Analytical Quality Control:** Quality control was monitored through the use of Certified reference materials (NIST 1546), in-house control composites (Beechnut Beef baby food and Beechnut Chicken baby food) and random duplicate sampling.

• **Statistics:** Regression equations were developed for each nutrient in the raw and each of the cooked products relative to fat level using mixed model Regression analyses (SAS,2004)². These equations were then used to estimate nutrient profiles.

| Packer | Samples/Unit | Analytical Unit | Nutrient Analyses | Analytical Method |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Compositing plan: Level I^a | | | | |
| 1 | Individual packers, | Individual packers, | Nitrogen/Protein | Combustion AOAC 968.06 ¹ |
| 2 | 4 samples/4 packers/ | 4 samples/4 packers/ | Total Fat | Acid Hydrolysis |
| 3 | 3 fat levels; n=48 samples/ preparation method. | 3 fat levels; n=48 samples/ preparation method. | Moisture | Forced Air oven AOAC 950.46 ¹ |
| 4 | Total samples =138 | Total samples =138 | Ash | Gravimetric AOAC 923.03 ¹ |
| | | | Cholesterol | GC/Direct Saponification AOAC 994.10 ¹ |
| Compositing plan: Level II^b | | | | |
| 1 | 2 samples/4 packers/ | 2 samples/4 packers/ | Minerals | Atomic absorption spectrometry |
| 2 | 3 fat levels; n=24 samples/ preparation method. Total samples=69 | 3 fat levels; n=24 samples/ preparation method. Total samples=69 | Fatty acids (C8-C24) | Gas chromatography (GC) AOAC 996.06 ¹ |
| Compositing plan: Level III^c | | | | |
| 1 | 1 sample/4 packers/ | 1 sample/4 packers/ | Thiamin | Fluorometric AOAC 942.23 ¹ |
| 2 | 3 fat levels; n=12 samples/ preparation method. Total samples=33 | 3 fat levels; n=12 samples/ preparation method. Total samples=33 | Niacin | Microbiological AOAC 944.13 ¹ |
| 3 | | | Riboflavin | Microbiological AOAC 940.33 ¹ |
| 4 | | | Amino Acids | HPLC |
| | | | Hydroxyproline | Colorimetric |
| Compositing plan: Level IV^a | | | | |
| 1 | all samples/all packers/ | all samples/all packers/ | Choline | LC-ESI-MS/MS ¹ |
| 2 | 3 fat levels; n=4/ preparation method. Total samples=9 | 3 fat levels; n=4/ preparation method. Total samples=9 | Folate | Tri-enzyme analysis |
| 3 | | | Pantothenic acid/ Vitamin B ₅ | AOAC (945.74/AOAC 961.15/ AOAC 952.20) ¹ |
| 4 | | | Vitamin B ₆ /Vitamin B ₁₂ | AOAC 952.20 ¹ |

^aPacker 4 only provided 2 samples of low fat product (4-6% fat). Total samples for all packers, all fat levels and all preparation methods were: 138 (Level I), 69 (Level II), 33 (Level III), and 9 (Level IV) samples.

Figure 1. Sampling, compositing, and nutrient analysis plan for ground pork products varying in total fat content and preparation (raw, pan-browned crumbles and pan-broiled patties).

Table 1. Nutrient content of low fat ground pork (96% lean, 4% fat)

| Nutrients | Unit | n ¹ | 96% lean, 4% fat | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|----------------|------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | Raw | S.E.M. | Pan-browned crumbles | S.E.M. | Pan-broiled patties | S.E.M. |
| Water | g | 14 | 73.6 | 0.3 | 61.0 | 2.5 | 60.1 | 1.0 |
| Energy | kcal | - | 120 | - | 187 | - | 183 | - |
| Protein | g | 14 | 21.1 | 0.2 | 30.6 | 1.5 | 31.7 | 0.5 |
| Total lipid | g | 14 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 1.3 |
| Ash | g | 14 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| Calcium | mg | 7 | 15 | 0.5 | 19 | 0.9 | 20 | 1.1 |
| Iron | mg | 7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Sodium | mg | 7 | 67 | 5.8 | 84 | 4.5 | 88 | 0.9 |
| Vitamin C ² | mg | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Vitamin A ² | IU | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Vitamin D | mcg | 1 | 4.2 | 0 | 7.3 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 |
| Saturated FA | g | 7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Total trans FA | g | 7 | .02 | .007 | .03 | .009 | .03 | .03 |
| Cholesterol | mg | 14 | 59 | 2.8 | 78 | 4.9 | 85 | 5.2 |
| Magnesium | mg | 7 | 19 | 0.5 | 27 | 1.1 | 25 | 0.9 |
| Phosphorus | mg | 7 | 190 | 2.7 | 261 | 16.4 | 261 | 7.6 |
| Potassium | mg | 7 | 310 | 6.7 | 428 | 15.3 | 415 | 25.8 |
| Zinc | mg | 7 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.2 |
| Selenium | mcg | 7 | 34.8 | 5.6 | 46.7 | 6.6 | 49.1 | 7.5 |
| Thiamin | mg | 3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Riboflavin | mg | 3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Niacin | mg | 3 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 10.3 | 0.9 |
| Pantothenic acid | mg | 1 | 0.6 | - | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | - |
| Vitamin B ₆ | mg | 1 | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | - | 0.6 | - |
| Vitamin B ₁₂ | mcg | 1 | 0.6 | - | 0.9 | - | 0.8 | - |

Values represent Least Square Means ± S.E.M.

¹Only 2 samples were obtained from 1 packer.

²Assumed Zero's

Table 2. Nutrient content of medium fat ground pork (84% lean and 16% fat)

| Nutrients | Unit | n ¹ | 84% lean, 16% fat | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|----------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | Raw | S.E.M. | Pan-browned crumbles | S.E.M. | Pan-broiled patties | S.E.M. |
| Water | g | 16 | 64.7 | 0.2 | 51.4 | 2.5 | 52.0 | 0.9 |
| Energy | kcal | - | 216 | - | 287 | - | 301 | - |
| Protein | g | 16 | 18.0 | 0.1 | 26.7 | 1.5 | 27.1 | 0.4 |
| Total lipid | g | 16 | 16 | - | 20.0 | 2.2 | 21.4 | 1.2 |
| Ash | g | 16 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Calcium | mg | 8 | 15 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.7 |
| Iron | mg | 8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.1 | .03 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Sodium | mg | 8 | 68 | 5.2 | 89 | 4.1 | 89 | 4.3 |
| Vitamin C ² | mg | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Vitamin A ² | IU | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Vitamin D | mcg | 1 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 0.0 |
| Saturated FA | g | 8 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 0.2 |
| Total trans FA | g | 8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Cholesterol | mg | 16 | 68 | 2.0 | 89 | 3.5 | 97 | 4.9 |
| Magnesium | mg | 8 | 16 | 0.3 | 23 | 1.0 | 22 | 0.6 |
| Phosphorus | mg | 8 | 161 | 2.3 | 226 | 14.8 | 221 | 6.3 |
| Potassium | mg | 8 | 244 | 5.3 | 354 | 14.7 | 345 | 24.0 |
| Zinc | mg | 8 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.2 |
| Selenium | mcg | 8 | 30.2 | 5.4 | 42.4 | 6.3 | 43.5 | 7.2 |
| Thiamin | mg | 4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Riboflavin | mg | 4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Niacin | mg | 4 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 8.6 | 0.8 |
| Pantothenic acid | mg | 1 | 0.6 | - | 0.9 | - | 0.8 | - |
| Vitamin B ₆ | mg | 1 | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - | 0.5 | - |
| Vitamin B ₁₂ | mcg | 1 | 0.7 | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | - |

Values represent Least Square Means Values represent ± S.E.M.

¹Four samples per packer.

²Assumed Zero's

Table 3. Nutrient content of high fat ground pork (72% lean, 28% fat)

| Nutrients | Unit | n ¹ | 72% lean, 28% fat | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|----------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | Raw | S.E.M. | Pan-browned crumbles | S.E.M. | Pan-broiled patties | S.E.M. |
| Water | g | 16 | 55.7 | 0.3 | 41.7 | 2.6 | 43.9 | 1.0 |
| Energy | kcal | - | 311 | - | 388 | - | 373 | - |
| Protein | g | 16 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 22.8 | 1.5 | 22.6 | 0.5 |
| Total lipid | g | 16 | 28 | - | 32.9 | 4.1 | 31.4 | 1.3 |
| Ash | g | 16 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | .08 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Calcium | mg | 8 | 16 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.7 | 20 | 1.0 |
| Iron | mg | 8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| Sodium | mg | 8 | 69 | 5.7 | 94 | 4.5 | 91 | 4.7 |
| Vitamin C ² | mg | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Vitamin A ² | IU | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Vitamin D | mcg | 1 | 29.4 | 0 | 33.5 | - | 32.0 | - |
| Saturated FA | g | 8 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.3 | 10.8 | 0.3 |
| Total trans FA | g | 8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Cholesterol | mg | 16 | 76 | 2.7 | 100 | 4.8 | 99 | 4.9 |
| Magnesium | mg | 8 | 13 | 0.3 | 19 | 1.1 | 18 | 0.7 |
| Phosphorus | mg | 8 | 132 | 3.1 | 192 | 16.3 | 181 | 7.6 |
| Potassium | mg | 8 | 178 | 8.9 | 280 | 19.2 | 275 | 25.6 |
| Zinc | mg | 8 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.2 |
| Selenium | mcg | 8 | 25.6 | 5.5 | 38.0 | 6.2 | 37.9 | 7.3 |
| Thiamin | mg | 4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Riboflavin | mg | 4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Niacin | mg | 4 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 6.9 | 0.9 |
| Pantothenic acid | mg | 1 | 0.6 | - | 1.0 | - | 0.8 | - |
| Vitamin B ₆ | mg | 1 | 0.4 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.4 | - |
| Vitamin B ₁₂ | mcg | 1 | 0.8 | - | 1.2 | - | 1.1 | - |

Values represent Least Square Means ± S.E.M.

¹Four samples per packer

²Assumed Zero's

Results

• The mathematical relationship between various nutrients and total fat was developed for ground pork varying in total fat content 4 - 28% fat using regression techniques.

• In the raw samples the relationship between all nutrients and total fat content was linear. (Figures 2 and 3).

• The relationship between minerals and total fat content of pan-browned samples was linear. However, for pan-broiled samples, the relationship between the lipid-soluble nutrients, cholesterol and fatty acids, the relationship with total fat was quadratic (Fig 3).

• Nutrient profiles for raw, pan – browned crumbles and pan-broiled patties for all three fat ranges were derived from these equations. (Table 1, 2 and 3).

Summary

• The equations developed during this study are designed to be used by the meat industry to estimate nutrient values for labeling ground pork products.

• Nutrient profiles for ground pork products containing approximately 4%, 16% and 28% fat will be released in SR 22 <http://www.ars.usda.gov>.

• These data will be used in nutrition monitoring, establishment of national nutrition policy, health research, food product development and to assist industry vendors for in developing labels to meet the proposed new labeling regulations for single nutrient pork products.

Discussion

• The relationship between nutrients and total fat in ground pork appears to be affected by the cooking method.

• The results of this study provide a mechanism by which the nutrient content of ground pork can be estimated for any product formulated with a fat content ranging from 4% to 28%.

References

1. AOAC International 2000. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International 17th Ed., AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
2. The SAS System (version 9.1), SAS Institute, Cary, NC-27513.
3. Koc, H., Mar, M.H., Ranasinghe, A., Swenberg, J.A., and Zeisel, S.H. (2002). Quantitation of choline and its metabolites in tissues and foods by liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization-isotope dilution mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 74, 4734-4740.