#### **RESEARCH**

# Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Ozone Effects on Peanut: II. Seed Yield and Quality

Kent O. Burkey,\* Fitzgerald L. Booker, Walter A. Pursley, and Allen S. Heagle

## **ABSTRACT**

Many adverse effects of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> on C<sub>3</sub> crop plants are ameliorated by elevated concentrations of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, but the extent of the interaction can vary, depending on the species, gas concentrations, and other experimental conditions. A 2-yr open-top field chamber experiment was conducted to examine this interaction in peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) by testing the effects of O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> mixtures on yield and seed quality. Treatments were ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (375 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) and CO<sub>2</sub> additions of approximately 173 and 355 µmol mol-1 in combination with charcoal-filtered (CF) air (22 nmol O<sub>3</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>), nonfiltered (NF) air (46 nmol O<sub>3</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>), and NF air plus O<sub>3</sub> (75 nmol O<sub>3</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>). At ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, pod number was suppressed 16% in NF air and 44% in elevated O<sub>3</sub>. Pod and seed mass were not significantly affected in NF air but were lowered 33 to 37% in elevated O<sub>2</sub>. Elevated CO<sub>3</sub> increased yield parameters 7 to 17% for plants grown in CF air and restored yield in NF air and elevated O<sub>3</sub> treatments to control or higher levels. Gas treatment effects on peanut market grade characteristics were small. No treatment effects were observed on the protein and oil contents of seeds, but there were changes in fatty acid composition. Overall results indicate that increasing concentrations of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> will suppress yield of O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive peanut cultivars, while elevated CO2 will moderate this response. Elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> are not expected to have major effects on peanut seed composition and quality.

USDA-ARS, Plant Science Research Unit, and Dep. of Crop Science, North Carolina State Univ., 3127 Ligon St., Raleigh, NC 27607. Received 21 Aug. 2006. \*Corresponding author (kent.burkey@ars.usda.gov).

**Abbreviations:** AA, ambient air; CF, charcoal-filtered air; ELK, extra large kernels; NF, nonfiltered air; OZ, 1.56 x ambient O<sub>3</sub>; TSMK, total sound mature kernels.

 ${
m R}$  ising concentrations of atmospheric  ${
m CO}_2$  are predicted to increase biomass production and yield of many  ${
m C}_3$  crops (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Jablonski et al., 2002; Kimball et al., 2002). It is expected, however, that the magnitude of these potential gains from CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment will be influenced by possible changes in other environmental factors such as temperature and soil water availability (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Prasad et al., 2005). Air pollutants, most notably O<sub>3</sub>, also influence the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on crop growth and yield, and vice versa (Allen, 1990; Barnes and Wellburn, 1998; Fiscus et al., 2002; Olszyk et al., 2000). Current tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> levels suppress crop growth and yield in many regions worldwide, and emissions of O<sub>3</sub> precursors and areas affected by O<sub>3</sub> pollution are anticipated to increase (Ashmore, 2005; Dentener et al., 2005; Fiscus et al., 2005; Fuhrer and Booker, 2003; Houghton et al., 2001; Morgan et al., 2003; Prather et al., 2003). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations tend to counteract O<sub>3</sub> effects on plant growth and yield, but O<sub>3</sub> can also diminish enhancements in these parameters due to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Barnes and Wellburn, 1998; Fiscus et al., 2002; Olszyk et al., 2000). The nature of the interaction depends on the sensitivity of the crop, the gas concentrations, and the influences of other biotic and environmental factors.

Published in Crop Sci. 47:1488–1497 (2007). doi: 10.2135/cropsci2006.08.0538 © Crop Science Society of America 677 S. Segoe Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA

All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Permission for printing and for reprinting the material contained herein has been obtained by the publisher.

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) production is vulnerable to current and predicted higher levels of O, pollution in the future. Some peanut cultivars exhibit relatively high sensitivity to O<sub>3</sub> (Ensing et al., 1985, 1986; Heagle, 1989; Heagle et al., 1983). For example, regression modeling based on open-top field chamber experiments indicated that yield of an O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive peanut line (NC-6) was suppressed 7 to 14% by ambient O<sub>3</sub> levels (52–56 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, 7 h daily average) relative to control treatments (25-26 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>), and even more so by higher O<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Heagle, 1989). Climate model projections forecast that the largest peanut producing regions in the world, located mainly in eastern China, central India, central Africa, the southern United States, and Indonesia (Rhoades and Nazarea, 2003), may experience significantly higher levels of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> in the coming 50 yr (Dentener et al., 2005; Prather et al., 2003; Wang and Mauzerall, 2004). Rising levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> will likely moderate the effects of increasing ground-level O3 concentrations in these regions, but eventual effects on yield in concert with other changing environmental factors are unclear.

Ozone suppresses plant growth and yield in large part by inhibiting net photosynthesis and possibly translocation processes, thus limiting photosynthate availability (Fiscus et al., 2005; Long and Naidu, 2002; Pell et al., 1997; Runeckles and Chevone, 1992). Increases in maintenance respiration and detoxification processes might curtail growth as well (Amthor, 1988). In addition, detrimental effects of  $\rm O_3$  on pollen germination and tube growth, fertilization, and abscission rates of flowers, pods, and seeds can contribute to suppressed yield in some crops (Ashmore, 2005; Black et al., 2000; Runeckles and Chevone, 1992).

Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> co-occur in the atmosphere. Studies have shown that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> ameliorates the suppressive effect of O<sub>3</sub> on yield in a number of crop species, including cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), potato (Solanum tuberosum L.), rice (Oryza sativa L.), soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.], and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Booker and Fiscus, 2005; Booker et al., 2005; Craigon et al., 2002; Fiscus et al., 2002, 2005; Heagle et al., 1999, 2000; Pleijel et al., 2000; Olszyk et al., 2000). Biomass production and yield are likely protected from O<sub>2</sub> stress at elevated CO2 by reduced O3 uptake and possibly increased availability of C substrates for detoxification and repair processes (Allen, 1990; Barnes and Wellburn, 1998; Booker and Fiscus, 2005; Cardoso-Vilhena et al., 2004; Fiscus et al., 2002, 2005; McKee et al., 1997b; Olszyk et al., 2000). However, stimulation of putative O<sub>3</sub> detoxification mechanisms by elevated CO, has not convincingly been observed to date (Booker and Fiscus, 2005; McKee et al., 1997b). An interaction between elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> is not always observed, particularly in cases where O<sub>3</sub> levels or crop cultivar sensitivity to O<sub>3</sub> were too low to result in suppressed growth and yield (Bender et al., 1999). Conversely, amelioration of  $O_3$  effects by elevated  $CO_2$  can be marginal in cases where cultivars are extremely sensitive to  $O_3$  so that  $O_3$  damage occurs despite the presence of elevated  $CO_2$  (Heagle et al., 1993, 2002, 2003). It has been suggested that elevated  $CO_2$  did not prevent suppression of wheat yield by  $O_3$  due to direct effects of  $O_3$  on reproductive organs and processes (McKee et al., 1997a; Mulholland et al., 1998).

In this study, we investigated the effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ , administered singly and in combination, on yield and quality of peanut. Because peanut is relatively sensitive to both  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  when applied individually (Heagle, 1989; Prasad et al., 2005), it was unclear how yield and quality parameters would respond to various mixtures of the gases. Comparisons were also made between plants treated with nonfiltered (NF) air in open-top chambers and plants treated with ambient air in chambers without plastic sidewalls to assess effects of the chambers on yield responses to ambient levels of  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ .

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Plant Culture Conditions and Gas Treatments

The experiment was conducted with peanut, cultivar NC-V11, during 2002 and 2003 at a site 5 km south of Raleigh, NC (35°43′48″N, 78°40′48″W), as described by Booker et al. (2007). The soil consisted of about 30 cm of Norfolk loamy sand (fine-loamy, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Kandiudult) overlying an Appling sandy loam (fine, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Kanhapludult) (Miller et al., 1988). Plants were sown in rows with 1-m spacing and with plant spacing of 9 cm (11 plants m<sup>-2</sup>). Plants were irrigated as needed to prevent visible signs of water stress. Plots were sprayed to control insects as described in Booker et al. (2007).

Plants were exposed to mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in cylindrical open-top chambers, 3 m diameter by 2.4 m tall, beginning on 30 May 2002 and 3 June 2003, as described by Booker et al. (2007). The experimental design consisted of all combinations of three CO<sub>2</sub> treatments and three O<sub>3</sub> treatments (Table 1). The CO<sub>2</sub> treatments were ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (375 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>), ambient plus 173 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>, and ambient plus 355 μmol CO, mol<sup>-1</sup>. The O<sub>3</sub> treatments were charcoal-filtered (CF) air, NF air, and NF plus 1.56 times ambient O<sub>2</sub> (OZ). Additional chambers were included to test the effects of a higher CO<sub>2</sub> addition, 634 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, added to NF. Plants were also grown in ambient air (AA) within chamber frames lacking panels to assess chamber effects. All CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> treatments were administered 7 d per week. The treatments continued until 30 Sept. 2002 and 5 Oct. 2003, when plants were harvested. Meteorological conditions and gas concentrations on a monthly basis are shown in Booker et al. (2007).

# **Harvest Procedures and Quality Analysis**

Plots consisted of two 3-m rows. Plants in two 1-m row segments of each of row were unearthed independently with a digging fork.

Table 1. Elevated  ${\rm CO_2}$  and  ${\rm O_3}$  treatment concentrations and number of replicate chambers per treatment in each year of the 2-yr experiment. Gas concentrations are seasonal 12 h d<sup>-1</sup> (0800–2000 h EST) means for the 2-yr experiment.<sup>†</sup>

Treatment abbreviation	Treatment	[O <sub>3</sub> ]	[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	Replicate chambers yr <sup>-1</sup>
	n	mol mol-	1 µmol mol-1	
CF-375	CF + ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	22	375	3
CF-548	CF + 173 $\mu$ mol CO $_2$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	22	548	2
CF-730	CF + 355 $\mu$ mol CO $_2$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	22	730	3
NF-375	NF + ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	46	375	2
NF-548	NF + 173 µmol CO <sub>2</sub> mol <sup>-1</sup>	46	548	2
NF-730	NF + 355 $\mu$ mol CO $_2$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	46	730	2
NF-1009	NF + 634 µmol CO <sub>2</sub> mol <sup>-1</sup>	46	1009	2
OZ-375	OZ + ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	75	375	3
OZ-548	OZ + 173 $\mu$ mol CO $_2$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	75	548	2
OZ-730	OZ + 355 $\mu$ mol CO $_2$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	75	730	3
AA	Ambient air	48	375	3

 $^{\dagger}$ CF, charcoal-filtered air; NF, nonfiltered air; OZ, nonfiltered air with O $_{3}$  added at 1.56 × ambient air concentration; AA, ambient air.

Pods separated from the plants during the digging process were collected from the 1-m<sup>2</sup> area beneath each excavated row segment and placed in mesh bags to air dry in a greenhouse. Harvested plants with attached pods were inverted on their respective row segments and left to dry in the field for 1 wk. Pods in each row segment were then collected by hand and placed in mesh bags to air dry in a greenhouse. Before drying, immature pods (<1-cm diameter) and pods of any size exhibiting symptoms of rot or disease were separated into a cull fraction that was dried in a forced air oven at 27°C and analyzed separately for each row segment. After drying, soil was removed from pods by agitation, and pod number and mass were determined. Pod numbers and masses from plants harvested for biomass determination (Booker et al., 2007) and the primary harvest plants were combined in the total value for each 1-m row segment. Pods from the individual row segments in each plot were then pooled, and two samples from each plot were analyzed for market grade characteristics using standard grading procedures for Virginia-type peanuts (USDA, 2003).

Following grading, peanut seeds from the market grade assessment were combined into a single sample for each plot, and two 10-g subsamples per plot were ground into flour and analyzed for oil, protein, and fatty acid content. Oil content was determined by pulsed proton nuclear magnetic resonance using a Maran pulsed NMR instrument (Resonance Instruments, Witney, Oxfordshire, UK) by the Field Induction Decay-Spin Echo procedure of Rubel (1994). Oil and moisture content were measured, and oil percent dry mass was determined by correcting for moisture content. Protein content was determined by the Dumas combustion method using a LECO FP-425 Nitrogen determinator (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI). Samples were oven-dried overnight at 80°C. Samples (0.2 g) were then prepared in tin foil packets for combustion analysis. Protein

was calculated from N values using a factor: protein (%) =  $6.25 \times$ N (%). For determination of fatty acid composition, peanut flour samples (1 g) were extracted for 12 h in 3 mL of solvent (chloroform/hexane/methanol, 8:5:2 v/v/v) in stoppered glass test tubes. Fatty acid methyl esters of the lipid extracts were prepared by transesterification using sodium methoxide. The samples were analyzed by gas chromatography using an HP 6890 GC (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE) equipped with a DB-23 (30 m by 0.53 mm) column (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Operating conditions were 1 µL injection volume, a 20:1 split ratio, and He carrier gas flow of 6 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Temperatures were 250, 200, and 275°C for the injector, oven, and flame ionization detector, respectively. Chromatograms were analyzed to identify peaks and integrate unknowns relative to authentic standards using HP ChemStation software (Agilent Technologies). Calibration of fatty acids were developed using authentic fatty acid methyl esters (American Oil Chemists Society RM-3, Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., St. Louis, MO).

# **Statistical Analysis**

The treatments consisted of all factorial combinations of three CO<sub>2</sub> levels and three O<sub>3</sub> levels. The treatments were assigned to chambers in a completely randomized design. Chamber treatment assignments were rerandomized in the second year of the experiment. There were three replicate chambers for each of the high and low  $CO_2 \times O_3$  combinations (n = 12), and two replicate chambers for each of the +173 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> and NF air treatment combinations (n = 10) (Table 1). Yield results from individual row segments were averaged for use as a chamber replicate value. Seed biomass was calculated from pod biomass and percent total kernels measured during market grade assessment. Results from the 2-yr experiment were combined for the statistical analysis. Data were checked for homogeneity of variance. Treatment effects and means for yield, market grade characteristics, and seed chemistry assays were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance for the effects of year, CO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> (SAS Proc GLM, SAS System, Ver. 8.02) (SAS Institute, 2001). Results from plants grown in AA were compared with results from the NF ambient CO, treatment in a separate analysis using a two-factor model for the effects of year and treatment. A ln transformation was applied to the pod and cull number and biomass data before analysis.

# **RESULTS**

#### Yield

Main treatment effects of elevated  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and  $\mathrm{O}_3$  were statistically significant for all yield components measured (Table 2). Marketable pods represented 95 to 98% of total pod biomass with the remainder designated as the cull fraction consisting of immature pods (<1 cm diameter) and pods of any size showing symptoms of rot or disease. Year was significantly different for all yield variables, but there were no significant interactions of year with  $\mathrm{O}_3$  and  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ . In 2003, pod number and pod and seed biomass were about 25% lower than in 2002 while cull number and biomass increased by 42 and 67%, respectively (data not shown).

Ozone had an increasingly negative effect on yield components as concentrations increased (Table 2). Pod number was reduced 16% under NF conditions (NF-375),

Table 2. Yield of NC-V 11 peanut exposed to mixtures of  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ . Values are means  $\pm$  SE of two or three replicate chambers for each treatment combination per year (see Table 1).

Treatment <sup>‡</sup>	Pod number	Pod mass	Seed mass	Cull number§	Cull mass	
	m of row <sup>-1</sup>	——g m of row <sup>-1</sup> ——		m of row <sup>-1</sup>	g m of row <sup>-1</sup>	
CF-375	481 ± 14 (100)	790 ± 33 (100)	$575 \pm 26 (100)$	141 ± 18 (100)	34.2 ± 6.2 (100)	
CF-548	531 ± 19 (110*)	905 ± 46 (115*)	671 ± 32 (117*)	148 ± 23 (105)	$36.8 \pm 8.3 (107)$	
CF-730	514 ± 17 (107)	881 ± 41 (112)	639 ± 29 (111)	179 ± 25 (127)	46.8 ± 9.5 (137)	
NF-375	405 ± 15 (84***)	$712 \pm 37 (90)$	530 ± 32 (92)	95 ± 15 (67*)	$17.8 \pm 4.0 (52*)$	
NF-548	494 ± 18 (103)	848 ± 43 (107)	628 ± 32 (109)	171 ± 26 (121)	43.2 ± 9.7 (126)	
NF-730	528 ± 19 (110)	905 ± 46 (115*)	667 ± 32 (116*)	184 ± 29 (131)	44.7 ± 10.1 (130)	
NF-1009	520 ± 19 (108)	886 ± 45 (112)	646 ± 32 (112)	188 ± 29 (133)	46.0 ± 10.4 (134)	
OZ-375	272 ± 8 (56***)	501 ± 21 (63***)	387 ± 26 (67***)	42 ± 5 (29***)	9.4 ± 1.7 (27***)	
OZ-548	414 ± 15 (86**)	707 ± 36 (89)	525 ± 32 (91)	91 ± 14 (65*)	$20.6 \pm 4.6$ (60)	
OZ-730	483 ± 14 (100)	806 ± 34 (102)	597 ± 26 (104)	141 ± 18 (100)	$39.0 \pm 7.0 (114)$	
Source						
<b>Year</b>	***	***	***	**	***	
00,	***	***	***	***	***	
$O_3$	***	***	***	***	***	
Year × CO <sub>2</sub>	NS <sup>1</sup>	NS	NS	NS	NS	
/ear × O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
$OO_2 \times O_3$	***	**	NS	**	*	
Year × CO <sub>2</sub> × O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

<sup>\*</sup>Significance at the 0.05 probability level.

but suppressive effects on pod and seed mass were not large enough to be statistically significant relative to the CF control (CF-375) given the experimental variability among chambers. Significant reductions in pod number (-44%), pod mass (-37%), and seed mass (-33%) were observed in plants exposed to elevated  $O_3$  (OZ-375). Cull number and mass were lower (-33% and -48%, respectively) in the NF-375 treatment compared with the control, and strongly reduced (-73%) by added  $O_3$  in the OZ-375 treatment.

In general, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> had a positive effect on yield parameters. The relative magnitude of the effect, however, was dependent on the O<sub>3</sub> treatment, and vice versa (i.e., the negative effect of O<sub>3</sub> on yield was dependent on CO<sub>2</sub> concentration) (Table 2). Under subambient O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, pod mass was 15% higher for plants in the CF-548 treatment compared with the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> control (CF-375), but the smaller increase in pod mass in the CF-730 treatment was not statistically significant. Similar trends were observed for seed mass and pod number. There was a tendency for increased cull number and cull biomass at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, but the effects were not statistically significant. In NF treatments, pod number, pod mass, and seed mass were increased up to 30% at the

higher  $CO_2$  concentrations (NF-548 and NF-730) relative to ambient  $CO_2$  (NF-375) ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Cull number and mass increased approximately twofold at elevated  $CO_2$  (NF-730) compared with ambient  $CO_2$  in NF (NF-375) ( $P \le 0.01$ ). There was no additional benefit observed in the highest level of added  $CO_2$  (NF-1009) for any yield parameter. Under elevated  $O_3$  concentrations of 1.56 × ambient, pod number, pod mass, and seed mass were 78, 61, and 47% higher, respectively, in the elevated  $CO_2$  treatment (OZ-730) relative to ambient  $CO_2$  (OZ-375) ( $P \le 0.01$ ). Cull number and mass increased three- to fourfold by the higher level of elevated  $CO_2$  (OZ-730) relative to ambient  $CO_2$  (OZ-375) ( $P \le 0.01$ ).

There were significant  $O_3 \times CO_2$  interactions for all yield parameters except seed mass (Table 2). The relative effects of elevated  $CO_2$  were much more pronounced in the NF air and added  $O_3$  treatments than in the CF air treatments. Decreased pod number in the NF-375 treatment was counteracted by  $CO_2$  enrichment. Pod and seed mass values in the NF-730 treatment exceeded control values by about 15%. Yield suppression in the OZ-375 treatment also was diminished by elevated  $CO_2$ . Pod and seed mass in the OZ-548 and OZ-730 treatments were not significantly different from the control. However, pod number in the

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.01 probability level.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.001 probability level.

<sup>\*</sup>Values in parentheses indicate percent of the control treatment (CF-375) and statistical significance of difference from the control treatment.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$ Treatments were (i) charcoal-filtered (CF) air-ambient CO $_2$  (CF-375); (ii) CF air plus 175 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (CF-548); (iii) CF air plus 355 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (CF-30); (vi) NF air plus 173 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-548); (vi) NF air plus 355 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-730); (vii) NF air plus 634 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-1009); (viii) 1.5 × ambient O3–ambient CO $_2$  (OZ-375); (ix) 1.5 × ambient O3 plus 173 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (OZ-548); and (x) 1.5 × ambient O3 plus 355 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (OZ-730).

<sup>\$</sup>Culls are defined as pods of <1-cm diameter or pods of any size that show symptoms of rot or disease.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>NS, nonsignificant.

OZ-548 treatment was 14% less than the control, although differences between the OZ-730 and control treatments were not statistically significant for this parameter.

# **Market Grade Characteristics**

Market value of Virginia-type peanuts is based in part on pod and seed size. Pods larger than 1.3 cm in diameter are given the term "fancy" with a greater value placed on bulk peanuts that have more than 40% fancy pods. Seed mass associated with a known mass of bulk peanuts is measured to determine percent total kernels. Seeds larger than 0.85 cm in diameter are considered extra large kernels (ELK). Extra large kernels are a subset of total sound mature kernels (TSMK), defined as seeds with a diameter of 0.6 cm or greater. There were significant year differences for percent fancy pods (3% lower in 2003) and ELK (37% lower in 2003), but not for TSMK or percent total kernels (data not shown). There were no interactions of year with any of the gas treatments (Table 3).

Fancy pods represented greater than 80% of harvested peanuts for all gas treatment combinations (Table 3). There was a tendency for added  $O_3$  to decrease values for percent fancy pods, but the changes were small (3–5% for a given

 $\rm CO_2$  treatment) and were not significantly different from the CF-375 control. Elevated  $\rm CO_2$  increased values for fancy pods up to 6%, but the  $\rm CO_2$ -induced increases were significantly different from the CF-375 control only in the CF-730 and NF-1009 treatments.

Added  $O_3$  increased percent TSMK and percent total kernels up to 10%, with the largest effects observed at ambient  $CO_2$  (OZ-375) (Table 3). Values for TSMK and percent total kernels were significantly higher than the control in the NF-375, OZ-375, and OZ-548 treatments. There was a significant  $CO_2 \times O_3$  interaction for percent total kernels, probably due to the strength of the  $O_3$ -induced increase at ambient  $CO_2$ .

# **Seed Chemistry**

There were differences between years for most seed chemistry variables, but there were no interactions between year and any of the gas treatment variables (Table 4). Neither O<sub>3</sub> nor elevated CO<sub>2</sub> had any statistically significant effect on oil or protein content of peanut seeds.

There were, however, significant  $O_3$  and  $CO_2$  effects on fatty acid composition (Table 4). Fatty acid analysis

Table 3. Market grade characteristics of NC-V 11 peanut as influenced by CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>.†

Treatment <sup>‡</sup>	% Fancy pods§	% ELK <sup>1</sup>	% TSMK#	% Total kernels††	
CF-375	82.9 ± 1.2 (100)	36.5 ± 2.8 (100)	67.8 ± 1.0 (100)	70.9 ± 0.7 (100)	
CF-548	84.8 ± 1.5 (102)	41.0 ± 3.4 (112)	69.1 ± 1.3 (102)	$72.0 \pm 0.8 (102)$	
CF-730	88.1 ± 1.4 (106**)	$39.0 \pm 3.1 (107)$	68.2 ± 1.2 (101)	$71.4 \pm 0.8 (101)$	
NF-375	81.7 ± 1.5 (99)	$38.2 \pm 3.4 (105)$	71.5 ± 1.3 (105*)	$73.3 \pm 0.8 (103*)$	
NF-548	83.2 ± 1.5 (100)	$38.2 \pm 3.4 (105)$	68.9 ± 1.3 (102)	$71.9 \pm 0.8 (101)$	
NF-730	86.2 ± 1.5 (104)	$38.3 \pm 3.4 (105)$	$69.4 \pm 1.3 (102)$	$72.3 \pm 0.8 (102)$	
NF-1009	88.0 ± 1.5 (106*)	$38.0 \pm 3.4 (104)$	$68.6 \pm 1.3 (101)$	$71.6 \pm 0.8 (101)$	
OZ-375	79.4 ± 1.2 (96)	47.5 ± 2.8 (130*)	74.7 ± 1.0 (110***)	$76.2 \pm 0.7 (107***)$	
OZ-548	81.7 ± 1.5 (99)	$38.2 \pm 3.4 (105)$	71.2 ± 1.3 (105*)	$73.4 \pm 0.8 (104*)$	
OZ-730	84.0 ± 1.2 (101)	$37.7 \pm 2.8 (103)$	$69.4 \pm 1.0 (102)$	$72.2 \pm 0.7 (102)$	
Source					
Year	**	***	NS <sup>‡‡</sup>	NS	
CO <sub>2</sub>	***	NS	NS	NS	
O <sub>3</sub>	*	NS	**	**	
Year × CO <sub>2</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Year × O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	
$CO_2 \times O_3$	NS	NS	NS	*	
Year × CO <sub>2</sub> × O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	

<sup>\*</sup>Significance at the 0.05 probability level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.01 probability level.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.001 probability level.

<sup>†</sup>All values are expressed as percent of pod biomass. Values in parentheses indicate percent of the control treatment (CF-375) and statistical significance of difference from the control treatment. Values are means ± SE of two or three replicate chambers for each treatment combination per year (see Table 1).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$ Treatments were (i) charcoal-filtered (CF) air-ambient CO $_2$  (CF-375); (ii) CF air plus 175 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (CF-548); (iii) CF air plus 355 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (CF-730); (vi) NF air plus 173 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-548); (vi) NF air plus 355 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-730); (vii) NF air plus 634 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-1009); (viii) 1.5 × ambient O $_3$ -ambient CO $_2$  (OZ-375); (ix) 1.5 × ambient O $_3$  plus 173 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (OZ-548); and (x) 1.5 × ambient O $_3$  plus 355 µmol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (OZ-730).

<sup>§</sup>Fancy pods are large pods that will not pass through a 1.3 by 7.6 cm screen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Extra large kernels (ELK) are sound, whole kernels that will not pass through a 0.8 by 2.5 cm screen.

<sup>\*</sup>Total sound mature kernels (TSMK) are all whole kernels not passing through a 0.6 by 2.5 cm screen including ELK and sound split kernels.

<sup>††</sup>Total kernels include TSMK and all other kernels, including nonmarketable pieces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡‡</sup>NS, nonsignificant.

showed that the major constituents in the peanut seeds were palmitic (16:0), oleic (18:1), and linoleic (18:2) acids, which together accounted for approximately 90% of the total. Added O<sub>3</sub> increased stearic acid (18:0) and decreased lignoceric acid (24:0) concentrations about 10% compared with the control. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> decreased palmitic acid (16:0) values up to 3% with the largest declines observed in the OZ-730 and NF-1009 treatments. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased oleic acid (18:1) values up to 4% at all of the high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (CF-730, NF-730, NF-1009, and OZ-730). The increase in oleic acid (18:1) at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was associated with a decline in linoleic acid (18:2) of the same magnitude.

# **Open-Top Chamber Effects**

The comparison between plants grown in NF air with those grown in AA (chamber frames without plastic side

panels) suggested that any chamber effects on yield components and market grade characteristics were minor. Values were higher for pod number and mass, seed mass, and percent fancy pods, and lower for cull number and mass in AA, but these differences were not statistically significant (Table 5). Seed oil and protein concentrations were not significantly different in the two treatments, but there was some variation in fatty acid composition between AA and NF treatments. There was significantly less palmitic acid (3%) and linoleic acid (6%) in seeds from plants grown in AA versus NF conditions. Conversely, there was significantly more stearic acid (9%) and oleic acid (5%) in seeds from the AA treatment (Table 5).

# DISCUSSION

The NC-V11 peanut cultivar used in this study was found to be sensitive to elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Pod number was

Table 4. Seed oil and protein content and fatty acid composition of NC-V 11 peanut exposed to mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>†</sup>

Treatment <sup>‡</sup>	Oil	Protein			F	atty acid co	mposition			
	-% seed mass-			weight %						
			Palmitic 16:0	Stearic 18:0	Oleic 18:1	Linoleic 18:2	Arachidic 20:0	Gadoleic 20:1	Behenic 22:0	Lignoceric 24:0
CF-375	51.8 ± 0.4 (100)	29.1 ± 0.4 (100)	10.4 ± 0.1 (100)	2.0 ± 0.0 (100)	47.0 ± 0.4 (100)	34.0 ± 0.3 (100)	1.2 ± 0.0 (100)	1.5 ± 0.0 (100)	2.6 ± 0.1 (100)	1.5 ± 0.0 (100)
CF-548	51.8 ± 0.5 (100)	29.3 ± 0.4 (101)	10.2 ± 0.1 (98)	$2.0 \pm 0.0$ (100)	$47.8 \pm 0.5$ (102)	$33.5 \pm 0.4$ (98)	$1.3 \pm 0.0$ (107)	1.4 ± 0.1 (94)	2.5 ± 0.1 (99)	$1.4 \pm 0.0$ (97)
CF-730	51.7 ± 0.4 (100)	29.9 ± 0.4 (103)	10.2 ± 0.1 (98)	$2.0 \pm 0.0$ (102)	48.4 ± 0.5 (103*)	32.9 ± 0.4 (97*)	1.2 ± 0.0 (103)	1.4 ± 0.0 (95)	2.4 ± 0.1 (95)	1.4 ± 0.0 (95)
NF-375	51.3 ± 0.5 (99)	28.9 ± 0.4 (99)	$10.3 \pm 0.1$ (100)	$2.1 \pm 0.0$ (104)	47.4 ± 0.5 (101)	$33.7 \pm 0.4$ (99)	1.2 ± 0.0 (103)	1.4 ± 0.1 (97)	$2.5 \pm 0.1$ (96)	$1.4 \pm 0.0$ (96)
NF-548	51.2 ± 0.5 (99)	29.4 ± 0.4 (101)	10.2 ± 0.1 (99)	$2.0 \pm 0.0$ (104)	47.3 ± 0.5 (101)	$33.9 \pm 0.4$ (100)	1.2 ± 0.0 (99)	1.4 ± 0.1 (95)	2.5 ± 0.1 (98)	$1.4 \pm 0.0$ (97)
NF-730	51.3 ± 0.5 (99)	29.9 ± 0.4 (103)	10.1 ± 0.1 (98)	$2.0 \pm 0.0$ (102)	48.7 ± 0.5 (104*)	$32.6 \pm 0.4$ (96*)	1.2 ± 0.0 (100)	1.5 ± 0.1 (98)	2.5 ± 0.1 (97)	$1.4 \pm 0.0$ (97)
NF-1009	51.8 ± 0.5 (100)	29.6 ± 0.4 (101)	10.0 ± 0.1 (97*)	$2.1 \pm 0.0$ (106)	$48.9 \pm 0.5$ $(104*)$	32.5 ± 0.4 (96**)	1.2 ± 0.0 (103)	1.4 ± 0.1 (96)	$2.4 \pm 0.1$ (95)	1.4 ± 0.0 (94)
OZ-375	51.8 ± 0.4 (100)	$29.0 \pm 0.4$ (99)	$10.4 \pm 0.1$ (100)	2.2 ± 0.0 (110***)	$47.5 \pm 0.4$ (101)	$33.8 \pm 0.3$ (100)	1.2 ± 0.0 (100)	1.3 ± 0.0 (85**)	2.3 ± 0.1 (91*)	1.3 ± 0.0 (90**)
OZ-548	51.4 ± 0.5 (99)	29.9 ± 0.4 (103)	10.3 ± 0.1 (100)	$2.0 \pm 0.0$ (103)	47.4 ± 0.5 (101)	$33.9 \pm 0.4$ (100)	1.2 ± 0.0 (99)	1.4 ± 0.1 (94)	$2.4 \pm 0.1$ (93)	1.4 ± 0.0 (93*)
OZ-730	$52.5 \pm 0.4$ (101)	28.5 ± 0.4 (98)	10.0 ± 0.1 (97*)	2.1 ± 0.0 (107*)	$48.5 \pm 0.4$ (103*)	32.9 ± 0.3 (97*)	1.2 ± 0.0 (103)	$1.4 \pm 0.0$ (93)	$2.5 \pm 0.1$ (96)	1.4 ± 0.0 (95)
Source										
Year	*	***	NS§	***	NS	*	***	**	***	***
CO <sub>2</sub>	NS	NS	*	NS	**	**	NS	NS	NS	NS
O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*
Year × CO <sub>2</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Year × O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
$CO_2 \times O_3$	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Year × CO <sub>2</sub> × O <sub>3</sub>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

<sup>\*</sup>Significance at the 0.05 probability level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.01 probability level.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.001 probability level.

<sup>\*</sup>Values are means ± SE. Values in parentheses indicate percent of the control treatment (CF-375) and statistical significance of difference from the control treatment.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$ Treatments were (i) charcoal-filtered (CF) air–ambient CO $_2$  (CF-375); (ii) CF air plus 175  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (CF-548); (iii) CF air plus 355  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (CF-730); (iv) nonfiltered (NF) air–ambient CO $_2$  (NF-375); (v) NF air plus 173  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-548); (vi) NF air plus 355  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-730); (vii) NF air plus 634  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (NF-1009); (viii) 1.5  $\times$  ambient O $_3$ -ambient CO $_2$  (OZ-375); (ix) 1.5  $\times$  ambient O $_3$  plus 173  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (OZ-548); and (x) 1.5  $\times$  ambient O $_3$  plus 355  $\mu$ mol CO $_2$  mol $^{-1}$  (OZ-730).

<sup>§</sup>NS, nonsignificant.

reduced 16% in NF while O<sub>3</sub> at 1.56 times ambient concentrations suppressed yield components by 33 to 44% (Table 2). This supported previous research that identified peanut as an O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive crop (Heagle et al., 1983; Ensing et al., 1985, 1986). When elevated CO, was combined with elevated O3, most yield parameters were restored to the same or greater values as the CF-375 control treatment, demonstrating an amelioration of the negative effects of O<sub>3</sub> by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 2). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in CF increased yield parameters up to 17% (Table 2), evidence for CO, stimulation of yield under conditions where O<sub>3</sub> stress was minimal. A stimulation of yield with elevated concentrations of CO, along with a protective effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> against O<sub>3</sub> reductions in yield have been found in a number of experiments with other crop plants (Booker and Fiscus, 2005; Booker et al., 2005; Craigon et al., 2002; Fiscus et al., 2002; Heagle et al., 1998, 1999, 2000; Olszyk et al., 2000). Other studies, in contrast, did not find that elevated CO, attenuated O<sub>3</sub>-induced yield losses, possibly due to toxic effects of O<sub>3</sub>

Table 5. Open-top chamber effects on yield, market grade characteristics, and seed quality of NC-V 11 peanut. Plants were exposed to non-filtered air (NF-375) and ambient air (AA; chamber frames without side panels) in ambient concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>†</sup>

Parameter	Treatment			
	NF-375	AA		
Yield				
Pod number, m of row <sup>-1</sup>	411 ± 12	$420 \pm 10$		
Pod biomass, g m of row <sup>-1</sup>	$722 \pm 25$	$757 \pm 20$		
Seed biomass, g m of row-1	$530 \pm 21$	$552 \pm 17$		
Cull number, m of row-1	$100 \pm 10$	$72 \pm 8$		
Cull biomass, g m of row-1	$21.2 \pm 2.3$	$16.7 \pm 1.9$		
Market grade characteristics				
% fancy pods	$81.7 \pm 1.4$	$84.7 \pm 1.2$		
% extra large kernels	$38.2 \pm 1.5$	$38.6 \pm 1.2$		
% TSMK	$71.5 \pm 1.1$	$71.7 \pm 0.9$		
% total kernels	$73.3 \pm 1.0$	$73.3 \pm 0.8$		
Seed quality				
Oil, % seed mass	$51.3 \pm 0.3$	$51.8 \pm 0.3$		
Protein, % seed mass	$28.9 \pm 0.4$	$29.3 \pm 0.3$		
Fatty acids, weight %				
Palmitic (16:0)	$10.3 \pm 0.05$	10.1 ± 0.04 (97**)		
Stearic (18:0)	$2.1 \pm 0.03$	2.2 ± 0.03 (109**)		
Oleic (18:1)	$47.4 \pm 0.2$	49.6 ± 0.1 (105***)		
Linoleic (18:2)	$33.7 \pm 0.1$	31.7 ± 0.1 (94***)		
Arachidic(20:0)	$1.2 \pm 0.01$	$1.2 \pm 0.01$		
Gadoleic (20:1)	$1.4 \pm 0.05$	$1.3 \pm 0.04$		
Behenic (22:0)	$2.5 \pm 0.04$	$2.4 \pm 0.04$		
Lignoceric (24:0)	$1.4 \pm 0.02$	$1.4 \pm 0.02$		

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.01 probability level.

on pollen tube growth and fertilization in wheat (Black et al., 2000; McKee et al., 1997a; Mulholland et al., 1998) or high sensitivity of certain snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and potato cultivars to O<sub>3</sub> (Heagle et al., 2003; Heagle et al., 2002). In this study, the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> protective effect was associated with increased net photosynthesis and reduced leaf O<sub>3</sub> uptake in peanut plants (Booker et al., 2007) leading to an enhanced physiological status to support reproductive growth. Increased availability of C skeletons with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> also might enhance defense and repair mechanisms that contribute to the protective effect (Allen, 1990; Barnes and Wellburn, 1998; Booker and Fiscus, 2005; Cardoso-Vilhena et al., 2004; McKee et al., 1997b).

Peanut is generally considered to be highly responsive to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Prasad et al., 2005). However, there was a limit to yield stimulation by elevated CO, for this peanut cultivar because no further yield increase was observed in the CF-730 treatment compared with the CF-548 treatment as well as in the NF-1009 treatment compared with the NF-730 treatment. Stanciel et al. (2000) also found that 'Georgia Red' peanut seed mass of hydroponically grown plants increased only marginally at 1200  $\mu mol~CO_{_{2}}~mol^{-1}$  compared with 800μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>. Further, plant biomass at harvest was not significantly different in the NF-730 and NF-1009 treatments (Booker et al., 2007). Thus, there is a maximum genetic potential for growth and yield stimulation by CO<sub>2</sub> in these peanut cultivars at 548 to 800 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> depending on the experimental conditions used.

Elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations did not impact market grade characteristics of peanut as much as yield (Table 3). Small increases in fancy pods were observed under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Percent TSMK and percent total kernels increased under elevated O<sub>3</sub>, suggesting either earlier maturity or higher yield potential. Given that elevated O<sub>3</sub> reduces yield, the results suggest that O<sub>3</sub> stress accelerated development. Further evidence for O<sub>3</sub> stress on plant development can be seen in the cull data (Table 2). The lower numbers and masses of culls in elevated O<sub>3</sub> treatments suggests that energy available to initiate new pod structures is limited compared with elevated CO, even though the additional pods in the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plots did not mature by the end of the growing season. Even though the additional culls in elevated CO2 plots did not mature by the end of the growing season, they represented additional reproductive potential that was not available under elevated O<sub>3</sub>.

The elevated  $O_3$  and  $CO_2$  treatments used in this study did not affect the oil and protein contents of peanut seeds (Table 4). Similar results have been reported in some cases for soybean (Heagle et al., 1998; Thomas et al., 2003). Heagle et al. (1998) compared three soybean cultivars and found that elevated  $O_3$  did not affect the seed protein content and

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Significance at the 0.001 probability level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Values are means ± SE of two (NF) or three (AA) replicate chambers for each treatment per year. Values in parentheses indicate percent of the NF-375 treatment.

had only small effects on seed oil content. Double ambient  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  did not affect soybean seed protein content (Heagle et al., 1998; Thomas et al., 2003) and had either small, variable effects (Heagle et al., 1998) or no effect (Thomas et al., 2003) on soybean seed oil content. In contrast, Mulchi et al. (1992) found that soybean grain oil content was increased and protein content decreased by elevated  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ .

The most significant effects of elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> on seed quality were on fatty acid composition. In peanut, stearic acid (18:0) increased under elevated O<sub>3</sub> and palmitic acid (16:0) declined under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 4), effects that were not observed for soybean (Heagle et al., 1998). Lignoceric acid (24:0), a long-chain fatty acid found in peanut but not soybean oil, also declined in response to elevated O<sub>3</sub>. Peanut and soybean oil composition share one common feature involving monounsaturated versus polyunsaturated 18-C fatty acids. In peanut seeds, oleic acid (18:1) content increased under elevated CO, and was associated with a decrease of the same magnitude in linoleic acid (18:2) (Table 4). Heagle et al. (1998) observed this same pattern for soybean grown in open-top chambers under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. In contrast, Thomas et al. (2003) found a similar oleic acid-linoleic acid dynamic associated with temperature, but not elevated CO2. In soybean grown to maturity under different temperature regimes, oleic acid (18:1) increased and linoleic acid (18:2) declined in soybean oil as growth temperature increased from 28 to 44°C with no effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> at any temperature tested (Thomas et al., 2003). A potential resolution to this apparent contradiction involves the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on leaf temperature. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> lowered stomatal conductance in our plants (Booker et al., 2007), which can lead to slightly higher leaf temperatures due to decreased transpiration and cooling ability (Long et al., 2004). Thus for soybean, elevated temperature within the canopy associated with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may explain the effects on oleic acid-linoleic acid dynamics. However, a distinction between peanut and soybean is that peanut pods develop underground so that seed development temperature is modulated by soil temperature. Rising soil temperature has been shown to increase oleic acid (18:1) and lower linoleic acid (18:2) in peanut seeds (Golombek et al., 1995). Soil temperature was not measured during this study, but it seems unlikely that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> would affect it. An alternative, and much more speculative hypothesis, is that a high temperature signal generated in peanut leaves then regulates oil synthesis in developing peanut seeds located underground.

The open-top chamber approach for exposing plants to gaseous pollutants has advantages and limitations. Chambers allow for subambient  $O_3$  controls where CF treatments can be used as a reference point for interpreting effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ . For example, the inclusion of a CF control in this study revealed that  $CO_2$  stimulation of peanut yield under NF conditions was attributable

to amelioration of ambient O<sub>3</sub> effects. However, open-top chambers are known to alter environmental conditions (increased temperature, lower light levels, and constant air turbulence) that can affect plant growth (Kimball et al., 1997; Long et al., 2004; Manning and Krupa, 1992). In this study, such chamber effects were not significant for peanut yield and quality parameters because the NF-375 and AA treatments were not statistically different, small changes in fatty acid composition being the only exception (Table 5). Free air exposure systems provide an alternative approach that alleviates some concerns regarding chamber effects, but do not include a subambient O<sub>3</sub> control. The two approaches, open-top chambers versus free air exposure, have shown similar relative effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Kimball et al., 1997). A comparison of the two approaches for elevated O<sub>3</sub> is more difficult because assessment of O<sub>3</sub> effects on crop yield using free air exposure systems is limited to one recent soybean study by Morgan et al. (2006). In this case, the observed yield reductions under the free air exposure conditions were generally consistent with open-top chamber studies (Morgan et al., 2006).

# CONCLUSIONS

Peanut cultivar NC-V 11 yield was found to respond to both O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> with a significant interaction observed between the two gases. Yield losses in the presence of elevated O<sub>3</sub> were largely ameliorated by addition of CO<sub>2</sub>. Yield was also stimulated by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> under CF air conditions where O<sub>3</sub> stress was minimal, evidence that rising CO<sub>2</sub> should have a direct effect on peanut production as well. Market grade characteristics and seed protein and oil contents were not affected by elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting the major impacts of rising atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> will be on productivity, not product quality. Given the strong interaction between O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, it would seem important to include CO<sub>2</sub> as a factor in O<sub>3</sub> flux-yield models and to consider O<sub>3</sub> effects in projections of yield stimulations from elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Mike Durham, Barbara Jones, Renee Tucker, Jeff Barton, Erin Silva, Kevin Howell, Phillip Cathcart, Karl Buer, Yhenneko Jallah, and Garrett Morgan for their assistance with this project. We gratefully acknowledge Robert Philbeck for construction and maintenance of dispensing and monitoring systems and Fred Mowry for data acquisition hardware and software. Dr. Marcia Gumpertz, Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, is thanked for her assistance with the statistical analysis. We thank William Novitzky, USDA-ARS, for performing the seed chemistry analysis. We are very grateful to Dr. David Jordan, Department of Crop Science, North Carolina State University, who provided advice on peanut cultivation and harvesting, seeds, and chemicals, and granted us access to peanut grading equipment.

## References

- Ainsworth, E.A., and S.P. Long. 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ . New Phytol. 165:351–372.
- Allen, L.H. 1990. Plant responses to rising carbon dioxide and potential interactions with air pollutants. J. Environ. Qual. 19:15–34.
- Amthor, J.S. 1988. Growth and maintenance respiration in leaves of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) exposed to ozone in open-top chambers in the field. New Phytol. 110:319–325.
- Ashmore, M.R. 2005. Assessing the future global impacts of ozone on vegetation. Plant Cell Environ. 28:949–964.
- Barnes, J., and A.R. Wellburn. 1998. Air pollutant combinations. p. 147–164. *In* L.J. De Kok and I. Stulen (ed.) Responses of plant metabolism to air pollution and global change. Backhuys, Leiden, the Netherlands.
- Bender, J., U. Hertstein, and C.R. Black. 1999. Growth and yield responses of spring wheat to increasing carbon dioxide, ozone, and physiological stresses: A statistical analysis of 'ESPACE-wheat' results. Eur. J. Agron. 10:185–195.
- Black, V.J., C.R. Black, J.A. Roberts, and C.A. Stewart. 2000. Impact of ozone on the reproductive development of plants. New Phytol. 147:421–447.
- Booker, F.L., K.O. Burkey, W.A. Pursley, and A.S. Heagle. 2007. Elevated carbon dioxide and ozone effects on peanut: I. Gasexchange, biomass, and leaf chemistry. Crop Sci. 47:1475–1487.
- Booker, F.L., and E.L. Fiscus. 2005. The role of ozone flux and antioxidants in the suppression of ozone injury by elevated carbon dioxide in soybean. J. Exp. Bot. 56:2139–2151.
- Booker, F.L., J.E. Miller, E.L. Fiscus, W.A. Pursley, and L.A. Stefanski. 2005. Comparative responses of container-versus ground-grown soybean to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>. Crop Sci. 45:883–895.
- Cardoso-Vilhena, J., L. Balaguer, D. Eamus, J.H. Ollerenshaw, and J. Barnes. 2004. Mechanisms underlying the amelioration of O<sub>3</sub>-induced damage by elevated atmospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. J. Exp. Bot. 55:771–781.
- Craigon, J., A. Fangmeier, M. Jones, A. Donnelly, M. Bindi, L. De Temmerman, K. Persson, and K. Ojanpera. 2002. Growth and marketable-yield responses of potato to increased CO<sub>2</sub> and ozone. Eur. J. Agron. 17:273–289.
- Dentener, F., D. Stevenson, J. Cofala, R. Mechler, M. Amann, P. Bergamaschi, F. Raes, and R. Derwent. 2005. The impact of air pollutant and methane emission controls on tropospheric ozone and radiative forcing: CTM calculations for the period 1990–2030. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 5:1731–1755.
- Ensing, J., G. Hofstra, and E.J. Adomait. 1986. The use of cultivar yield data to estimate losses due to ozone in peanut (*Arachis hypogae*). Can. J. Plant Sci. 66:511–520.
- Ensing, J., G. Hofstra, and R.C. Roy. 1985. The impact of ozone on peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) exposed in the laboratory and field. Phytopathology 75:429–432.
- Fiscus, E.L., F.L. Booker, and K.O. Burkey. 2005. Crop responses to ozone: Uptake, modes of action, carbon assimilation and partitioning. Plant Cell Environ. 28:997–1011.
- Fiscus, E.L., J.E. Miller, F.L. Booker, A.S. Heagle, and C.D. Reid. 2002. The impact of ozone and other limitations on the crop productivity response to CO<sub>2</sub>. Technology 8:181–192.
- Fuhrer, J., and F.L. Booker. 2003. Ecological issues related to ozone: Agricultural issues. Environ. Int. 29:141–154.
- Golombek, S.D., R. Sridhar, and U. Singh. 1995. Effect of soil

- temperature on the seed composition of three spanish cultivars of ground nut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 43:2067–2070.
- Heagle, A.S. 1989. Ozone and crop yield. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 27:397–423.
- Heagle, A.S., M.B. Letchworth, and C.A. Mitchell. 1983. Injury and yield responses of peanut to chronic doses of ozone in open-top field chambers. Phytopathology 73:551–555.
- Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, F.L. Booker, and W.A. Pursley. 1999. Ozone stress, carbon dioxide enrichment, and nitrogen fertility interactions in cotton. Crop Sci. 39:731–741.
- Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, K.O. Burkey, G. Eason, and W.A. Pursley. 2002. Growth and yield responses of snap bean to mixtures of carbon dioxide and ozone. J. Environ. Qual. 31:2008–2014.
- Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, and W.A. Pursley. 1998. Influence of ozone stress on soybean response to carbon dioxide enrichment: III. Yield and seed quality. Crop Sci. 38:128–134.
- Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, and W.A. Pursley. 2000. Growth and yield responses of winter wheat to mixtures of ozone and carbon dioxide. Crop Sci. 40:1656–1664.
- Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, and W.A. Pursley. 2003. Growth and yield responses of potato to mixtures of carbon dioxide and ozone. J. Environ. Qual. 32:1603–1610.
- Heagle, A.S., J.E. Miller, D.E. Sherrill, and J.O. Rawlings. 1993. Effects of ozone and carbon dioxide mixtures on two clones of white clover. New Phytol. 123:751–762.
- Houghton, J.T., Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P.J. van der Linden, and D. Xiaosu (ed.). 2001. Climate change 2001: The scientific basis. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Jablonski, L.M., X. Wang, and P.S. Curtis. 2002. Plant reproduction under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions: A meta-analysis of reports on 79 crop and wild species. New Phytol. 156:9–26.
- Kimball, B.A., K. Kobayashi, and M. Bindi. 2002. Responses of agricultural crops to free-air  ${\rm CO_2}$  enrichment. Adv. Agron. 77:293–368.
- Kimball, B.A., J. Pinter. P.J., G.W. Wall, R.L. Garcia, R.L. Lamorte, P.M.C. Jak, K.F.A. Frumau, and H.F. Vugts. 1997. Comparisons of responses of vegetation to elevated carbon dioxide in free-air and open-top chamber facilities. p. 113–130. *In* L.H. Allen, Jr., M.B. Kirkham, D.M. Olszyk, and C.E. Whitman (ed.) Advances in carbon dioxide effects research. ASA Spec. Publ. 61. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI.
- Long, S.P., E.A. Ainsworth, A. Rogers, and D.R. Ort. 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: Plants FACE the future. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55:591–628.
- Long, S.P., and S.L. Naidu. 2002. Effects of oxidants at the biochemical, cell and physiological levels, with particular reference to ozone. p. 69–88. *In* J.N.B. Bell and M. Treshow (ed.) Air pollution and plant life. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Manning, W.J., and S.V. Krupa. 1992. Experimental methodology for studying the effects of ozone on crops and trees, p. 93–156. *In* A.S. Lefohn (ed.) Surface level ozone exposures and their effects on vegetation. Lewis, Chelsea, MI.
- McKee, I.F., J.F. Bullimore, and S.P. Long. 1997a. Will elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations protect the yield of wheat from O<sub>3</sub> damage? Plant Cell Environ. 20:77–84.
- McKee, I.F., M. Eiblmeier, and A. Polle. 1997b. Enhanced ozonetolerance in wheat grown at an elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: Ozone exclusion and detoxification. New Phytol. 137:275–284.
- Miller, J.E., R.P. Patterson, A.S. Heagle, W.A. Pursley, and W.W. Heck. 1988. Growth of cotton under chronic ozone stress at

- two levels of soil moisture. J. Environ. Qual. 17:635-643.
- Morgan, P.B., E.A. Ainsworth, and S.P. Long. 2003. How does elevated ozone impact soybean? A meta-analysis of photosynthesis, growth and yield. Plant Cell Environ. 26:1317–1328.
- Morgan, P.B., T.A. Miles, G.A. Bollero, R.L. Nelson, and S.P. Long. 2006. Season-long elevation of ozone concentration to projected 2050 levels under fully open-air conditions substantially decreases the growth and production of soybean. New Phytol. 170:333–343.
- Mulchi, C.L., L. Slaughter, M. Saleem, E.H. Lee, R. Pausch, and R. Rowland. 1992. Growth and physiological characteristics of soybean in open-top chambers in response to ozone and increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 38:107–118.
- Mulholland, B.J., J. Craigon, C.R. Black, J.J. Colls, J. Atherton, and G. Landon. 1998. Growth, light interception, and yield responses of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown under elevated  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  and  $\mathrm{O}_3$  in open-top chambers. Glob. Change Biol. 4:121–130.
- Olszyk, D.M., D.T. Tingey, L. Watrud, R. Seidler, and C. Andersen. 2000. Interactive effects of O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>: Implications for terrestrial ecosystems. p. 97–136. *In* S.N. Singh (ed.) Trace gas emissions and plants. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, the Netherlands.
- Pell, E., C.D. Schlagnhaufer, and R.N. Arteca. 1997. Ozone-induced oxidative stress: Mechanisms of action and reaction. Physiol. Plant. 100:264–273.
- Pleijel, H., J. Gelang, E. Sild, H. Danielsson, S. Younis, P. Karlsson, G. Wallin, L. Skarby, and G. Sellden. 2000. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide, ozone and water availability on spring wheat growth and yield. Physiol. Plant. 108:61–70.
- Prasad, P.V.V., L.H. Allen, and K.J. Boote. 2005. Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide and interaction with temperature: Grain legumes. J. Crop Improve. 13:113–155.
- Prather, M., G. Gauss, T. Berntsen, I. Isaksen, J. Sundet, I. Bey, G.

- Brasseur, F. Dentener, R. Derwent, D. Stevenson, L. Grenfell, D. Hauglustaine, L. Horowitz, D. Jacob, L. Mickley, M. Lawrence, R. von Kuhlmann, J.-F. Muller, G. Pitari, H. Rogers, M. Johnson, J. Pyle, K. Law, M. van Weele, and O. Wild. 2003. Fresh air in the 21st century? Geophys. Res. Lett. 30:1100, doi:10.1029/2002GL016285.
- Rhoades, R.E., and V. Nazarea. 2003. World geography of the peanut [Online]. Available at www.lanra.uga.edu/peanut/ (verified 18 Apr. 2007). Univ. of Georgia, Athens.
- Rubel, G. 1994. Simultaneous determination of oil and water contents in different oilseeds by pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 71:1057–1062.
- Runeckles, V.C., and B.I. Chevone. 1992. Crop responses to ozone. p. 189–270. *In* A.S. Lefohn (ed.) Surface level ozone exposures and their effects on vegetation. Lewis, Chelsea, MI.
- SAS Institute. 2001. SAS system for Windows, release 8.2. SAS Inst., Cary, NC.
- Stanciel, K., D.G. Mortley, D.R. Hileman, P.A. Loretan, C.K. Bonsi, and W.A. Hill. 2000. Growth, pod, and seed yield, and gas exchange of hydroponically grown peanut in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. HortScience 35:49–52.
- Thomas, J.M.G., K.J. Boote, L.H. Allen, Jr., M. Gallo-Meagher, and J.M. Davis. 2003. Elevated temperature and carbon dioxide effects on soybean seed composition and transcript abundance. Crop Sci. 43:1548–1557.
- USDA. 2003. Farmers' stock peanuts inspection instructions. USDA Agricultural Marketing Service Fruit and Vegetable Division, Washington, DC.
- Wang, X., and D.L. Mauzerall. 2004. Characterizing distributions of surface ozone and its impact on grain production in China, Japan, and South Korea: 1990 and 2020. Atmos. Environ. 38:4383–4402.